

1.TENDER EVALUATION CRITERIA

The following criteria will be used to award the tender. Should there be a criteria over and above the listed below, that will be used, such criteria will be specifically stated.

No.	<u>Pre-Qualification Criteria</u> TECHNICAL DESCRIPTION (The technical evaluation will be used as a threshold. All bidders who do not meet the minimum threshold of 70 points will not proceed to the final stage of evaluation.)	Weightings												
2.1	<p><u>Delivery Plan and Final Lead time</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Plan and final Lead time equals/less than 30 working days 35 points • Project Plan and final Lead time between 31 – 35 working days 25 points • Project Plan and final Lead time 36 – 40 working days 10 points • Project Plan final Lead time 41 – 45 working days 5 points • Project Plan and final Lead time more than 45 working days 0 • Project Plan with no Lead time 0 <p>(Note: Project Plan must be submitted either in excel or MS project format).</p>	35 points												
2.2	<p><u>Methodology</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Methodology responds to the scope of work (Supply, delivery and repairs for canteen & guard house buildings at the Rotating Machines Depot.) and outline the proposed approach from commencement leading to the completion of the project. • Methodology is a set of principles and practices that will guide in organizing this project (design and manufacture of a dashboard and miscellaneous interior cabin components for a port hauler vehicle) to ensure its optimum performance. <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Criterion: Methodology, including quality control and assurance.</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Scoring</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>No information provided, or submission of no substance/ irrelevant information provided.</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>The technical approach and/ or methodology is poor/ is unlikely to satisfy project objectives or requirements. The Tenderer has misunderstood certain aspects.</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5 points</td> </tr> <tr> <td>The technical approach is tailored to address the specific project objectives and methodology. The approach does adequately deal with the critical characteristics of the project. The project plan and risk is to be managed etc is tailored to the key aspects of the programme</td> <td style="text-align: center;">10 points</td> </tr> <tr> <td>The approach is tailored to address the specific project objectives and methodology and is sufficiently flexible to accommodate changes that may occur during execution. The project plan and approach to managing risk etc is tailored to the critical characteristics of the project. The programme is good and has allowed for all critical aspects.</td> <td style="text-align: center;">20 points</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Over above meeting the good rating, the important issues are approached in an innovative and efficient way, indicating that the tenderer has excellent knowledge of working state of the art approaches. The programme is well throughout and makes allowance for all key issues.</td> <td style="text-align: center;">30 points</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Criterion: Methodology, including quality control and assurance.	Scoring	No information provided, or submission of no substance/ irrelevant information provided.	0	The technical approach and/ or methodology is poor/ is unlikely to satisfy project objectives or requirements. The Tenderer has misunderstood certain aspects.	5 points	The technical approach is tailored to address the specific project objectives and methodology. The approach does adequately deal with the critical characteristics of the project. The project plan and risk is to be managed etc is tailored to the key aspects of the programme	10 points	The approach is tailored to address the specific project objectives and methodology and is sufficiently flexible to accommodate changes that may occur during execution. The project plan and approach to managing risk etc is tailored to the critical characteristics of the project. The programme is good and has allowed for all critical aspects.	20 points	Over above meeting the good rating, the important issues are approached in an innovative and efficient way, indicating that the tenderer has excellent knowledge of working state of the art approaches. The programme is well throughout and makes allowance for all key issues.	30 points	30 points
Criterion: Methodology, including quality control and assurance.	Scoring													
No information provided, or submission of no substance/ irrelevant information provided.	0													
The technical approach and/ or methodology is poor/ is unlikely to satisfy project objectives or requirements. The Tenderer has misunderstood certain aspects.	5 points													
The technical approach is tailored to address the specific project objectives and methodology. The approach does adequately deal with the critical characteristics of the project. The project plan and risk is to be managed etc is tailored to the key aspects of the programme	10 points													
The approach is tailored to address the specific project objectives and methodology and is sufficiently flexible to accommodate changes that may occur during execution. The project plan and approach to managing risk etc is tailored to the critical characteristics of the project. The programme is good and has allowed for all critical aspects.	20 points													
Over above meeting the good rating, the important issues are approached in an innovative and efficient way, indicating that the tenderer has excellent knowledge of working state of the art approaches. The programme is well throughout and makes allowance for all key issues.	30 points													

No.	Pre-Qualification Criteria TECHNICAL DESCRIPTION (The technical evaluation will be used as a threshold. All bidders who do not meet the minimum threshold of 70 points will not proceed to the final stage of evaluation.)	Weightings
2.3	<p><u>Specific knowledge</u></p> <p>Track record in the general building work.</p> <p>Bidders to provide evidence to demonstrate capability and track record. This may include brochures, reports and/or references (with contact number(s)) to indicate company's capability and previous relevant experience.</p> <p><u>Brochures/ catalogues</u></p> <p>Sufficient Evidence (brochures/pictures of completed projects, showing work during execution and after work has been completed) Provided 10 points Evidence provided (brochures/ catalogues) not satisfactory 0 Evidence (brochures/ catalogues) not provided 0</p> <p><u>Reference letters / completion certificates</u></p> <p>Only records for completed projects will be accepted, the projects shall have been done within the past 10 years. Reference letters or completion certificates to have contactable references are acceptable.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4 or more submitted reference letters or completion certificates 25 points • 3 submitted reference letters or completion certificates 20 points • 2 submitted reference letters or completion certificates 15 points • 1 submitted reference letter or completion certificate 10 points • 0 submitted reference letters or completion certificate 0 <p>Each reference letter shall have 2 dates (date of project completion and date showing as to when the letter was signed), otherwise it will not be acceptable and zero (0) score will be allocated for such rejected letter.</p> <p>Each reference letter shall have contactable contact person(s) and working contact numbers, otherwise it will not be acceptable and zero (0) score will be allocated for such rejected letter.</p> <p>The reference letters or completion certificates shall be verifiable, contact persons shall be contactable and the contact numbers shall be for working land lines and/or mobile numbers .</p>	35 points

Total Weighting:	100 points
Minimum qualifying score required:	70 points

Name: Zolani Mngqithi Designation: Engineering Technician Signature and date:  11.04.2024

Name: Lindo Ngcobo Designation: Maintenance Manager Signature and date:  11/04/2024

Name: Mhlonipheni Nxumalo Designation: Executive Manager Signature and date:  11/04/2024