

	Strategy	Medupi Power Station
---	-----------------	-----------------------------

Title: **Tender Evaluation Strategy Report for calibration of testing equipment**

Unique Identifier:

Alternative Reference Number: **N/A**

Area of Applicability: **Electrical Maintenance Department**

Documentation Type: **Strategy**

Revision: **3**

Total Pages: **10**

Next Review Date: **01 August 2028**

Disclosure Classification: **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

Compiled by



**Cathrine Hlanyane
Senior Technician
Electrical**

Date: 2025-11-11

Functional Responsibility



**Nkosana Zwane
Electrical Maintenance
Manager (Acting)**

Date: 2025/11/11

Authorised by



**Portia Lutumbu
Maintenance Manager Execution
(Acting)**

Date: 2025/12/10

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES	3
2.1 SCOPE	3
2.1.1 Purpose	3
2.1.2 Applicability	3
2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES	3
2.2.1 Normative	3
2.2.2 Informative	4
[1] 241-2022150: Medupi Power Station calibration of testing equipment Specification	4
2.3 DEFINITIONS	4
2.3.1 Classification	4
2.4 ABBREVIATIONS	4
2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	4
2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING	4
2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS	4
3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY	4
3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD	5
3.2 TET MEMBERS	5
3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	6
3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	6
3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES	8
3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS	8
3.6.1 Risks	8
3.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions	9
4. AUTHORISATION	10
5. REVISIONS	10
6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM	10
7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	10
8. ANNEXURE A	10

TABLES

Table 1: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria	6
Table 2: TET Member Responsibilities	8
Table 3: Acceptable Technical Risks	8
Table 4: Unacceptable Technical Risks	8
Table 5: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions	9
Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions	9

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

1. INTRODUCTION

Medupi Power Station is in the process of establishing a contract for calibration of testing equipment. An invite will be issued for prospective suppliers to participate in the tendering process for the said contract. This document sets out the method and criteria that will be used to technically evaluate the tenderers for calibration of testing equipment contract.

2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES

2.1 SCOPE

The document describes the acceptable and unacceptable risks and qualifications and /or conditions. The Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy will define the following technical evaluation criteria:

- Mandatory Evaluation criteria
- Qualitative Evaluation criteria
- TET Member Responsibilities
- Acceptable/Unacceptable Qualifications

No changes will be permitted to be made to the evaluation criteria once the Technical Evaluation Strategy is approved by the relevant Maintenance Group Manager.

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

2.1.2 Applicability

This document applies to the Tender Evaluation Team for Medupi Power Station calibration of testing equipment in accordance with the authorised procurement strategy.

2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

- [1] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

2.2.2 Informative

[1] 241-2022150: Medupi Power Station calibration of testing equipment Specification

2.3 DEFINITIONS

2.3.1 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

2.4 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
EMD	Electrical Maintenance Department
ISO	International Standard Organisation
LV	Low Voltage
N/A	Not Applicable
SOW	Scope of Work
TET	Technical Evaluation Team
ITP	Inspection Test Plan
OEM	Original Equipment Manufacture
SANS	South African National Standards
SANAS	South African National Accreditation System
SABS	South African Bureau standards
IEC	International Electro technical Commission

2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

As per 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

N/A

2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

N/A

3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY

This section details the methodology to be employed by Eskom in scoring the "Technical" category of the Tender evaluation. This evaluation exercise is performed by the appointed Eskom TET members. The evaluation of the tenders will be based on the tenderer's ability to meet the technical requirements. The evaluation consists of mandatory criteria and qualitative criteria. Results of mandatory evaluation will be "Compliant" or "Non-Compliant."

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

The qualitative evaluation shall apply a weighted score card approach to evaluate the tenders against the Specifications and Employer's requirements.

Table 1: Qualitative Evaluation Criteria Scoring Table

SCORE	%	DESCRIPTION
5	100	COMPLIANT <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meet technical requirement (s) AND. • No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements.
4	80	COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meet technical requirement(s) with. • Acceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR. • Acceptable exceptions AND/OR. • Acceptable conditions.
2	40	NON-COMPLIANT <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does not meet technical requirement(s) AND/OR; • Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; • Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR; • Unacceptable conditions.
0	0	TOTALLY DEFICIENT OR NON-RESPONSIVE

3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 75%.

3.2 TET MEMBERS

Table 2: TET Members

TET number	TET Member Name	Designation
TET 1	Prince Twala	Senior Supervisor -EMD
TET 2	Rejoice Mavutana	Senior Technician –EMD
TET 3	Xolani Mcawe	Technician -EMD
TET 4	Cathrine Hlanyane	Senior Technician –EMD
TET 5	Thabo Lamola	Senior Technician-Compliance

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

None

3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 1: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Criteria Weighting (%)	(%)	Scoring Criteria
1.	Supplier Capabilities		30%		
1.1	Proof of 4 Calibration certificates of previously Calibrated test equipment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide a minimum of 4 calibration certificates of previously calibrated test equipment. 	30%	100%= 5	4 Calibration certificates provided.
				80%= 4	3 Calibration certificates provided.
				40%= 2	2 calibration certificates provided.
				0%=0	No calibration certificate provided.

Tender Evaluation Strategy Report for calibration of testing equipment

Unique Identifier:
Revision: 3
Page: 7 of 10

2.	Turnaround Time		20%		
2.1	Supplier Delivery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide a signed letter on company letterhead indicating the lead time for collection and delivery of equipment to site after issuing the Task Order 	20%	100%= 5	Signed Lead time letter provided is less than 15 days
				80%= 4	Signed Lead time letter provided is between 15 to 30 days.
				40%= 2	Signed Lead time letter provided is more than 30 days
				0%= 0	nothing provided
3.	Supplier Calibrating Capabilities		50%		
3.1	Proof of SANAS Accreditation (ISO 17025) Certificate for the company.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide copy of accreditation certificate from SANAS (ISO 17025). Alternatively, submit a formal signed confirmation or agreement letter from a SANAS-accredited laboratory (ISO 17025), confirming that the laboratory will provide the required calibration services for the test equipment. 	50%	100%= 5	Accreditation certificate or formal signed confirmation or agreement letter from a laboratory accredited provided
				0%=0	No Certificate/Formal confirmation or agreement letter provided
TOTAL			100%		

3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

Table 2: TET Member Responsibilities

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4
1	X	X	X	X
2	X	X	X	X
3	X	X	X	X

3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

3.6.1 Risks

Table 3: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Tendering without conducting site visit/s for plant walk-down/s.

Table 4: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Failure to meet mandatory criteria requirement

3.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions

Table 5: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	

Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	Deviation from technical criteria requirements

4. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation
Xolani Mcawe	Technician-EMD
Khotso Magaela	Technician –EMD
Prince Twala	Senior Supervisor Tech –EMD
Rejoice Mavutana	Senior Technician- EMD

5. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
June 2025	3	SC Hlanyane	Revised Document
August 2024	2	SC Hlanyane	Final Document

6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

Khotso Magaela
Xolani Mcawe
Prince Twala

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

N/A

8. ANNEXURE A

None

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.