

TECHNICAL EVALUATION

Technical Evaluation



PAVING AT DANGER POINT LIGHTHOUSE, FOR TRANSNET SOC LTD (Reg. NO 1990/000900/30) OPERATING AS TRANSNET NATIONAL PORTS AUTHORITY (HEREINAFTER REFERRED TO AS "TNPA").

Evaluation Criteria	Description	Scoring principal	Supporting Evidence	Weighting	Bidders		
					TWIZEYGRAPH		
Approach Paper	Project Schedule to indicate the project duration, start, intermediate milestones and completion. Activity Schedule to be aligned with Works Information Target Duration = 3 months	No Submission = 0	Project Schedule	10	10		
		Poor (Meeting a few requirements and exceeds target duration by more than 1 months) = 1					
		Satisfactory (Meeting all requirements and target duration is 3 months) = 2					
		Good (Meeting all requirements and target duration is less than 3 months) = 3					
Methodology	Project specific documents to indicate how the project will be implemented.	No Submission = 0	Method Statement	10	10		
		Poor (Generic method statement. Method statement do not address the works information specifically, however it addresses the works in general and Satisfactory (Method statement is vague, ambiguous, incomplete and does not apply directly to the works and addresses between 50% and 70% of the works) = 2					
		Good (Method statement is clear, unambiguous, concise and addresses between 70% to 80% of the works) = 3					
Health and Safety Plan	Compliance with applicable Health and Safety requirements and legislation. How Health and Safety will be incorporated into the project.	No submission = 0	H&S Plan Accreditation Certificate	20	20		
		Poor (Generic plan) H&S Accreditation not available e.g ISO, OHSAS or any certificate accreditation by a recognised international body = 5					
		Poor (List covers only some critical components, may not meet minimum required availability) = 10					
		Satisfactory (Job specific plan, in line with legislation OR Certification in place for OHSAS 18001 or ISO 14001 accreditation) = 15					
Tenderer's experience	Tenderer's technical experience of personnel. Tenderer must supply an Organogram indicating Key Personnel for the Project and attached their CV's	No Submission = 0	CV's Submitted	30	30		
		Poor (Technical skilled employees have an experience of between 3 and 5 years in similar previous projects - CV's attached) = 1					
		Satisfactory (Technical skilled employees have an experience of between 5 and 8 years in similar previous projects - CV's attached) = 2					
		Good (Technical skilled employees have an experience of more than 8 years in similar previous projects - CV's attached) = 3					
	Tenderer's experience with respect to Building Works involving multi-story buildings and working at heights	No Submission or No experience = 0	Proof of previous experience (similar projects successfully completed with Client/s contact details)	30	30		
		Poor (1-4) projects successfully carried out similar to the works) = 1					
		Satisfactory (5-7 projects successfully carried out similar to the works) = 2					
		Good (More than 7 projects successfully carried out similar to the works) = 3					
Sub total	Sub total			100	100		
TOTAL RATING	MINIMUM QUALIFYING SCORE			70			

Technical Qualification Threshold = 70%.

Comments:

Approval:


Acting Regional Manager

22/11/2022

Date


Operations Supervisor

22/11/2022

Date