


	Strategy	Engineering
---	-----------------	--------------------

Title: Western Cape Asbestos Replacement Technical Tender Evaluation Strategy for Outeniqua
 Unique Identifier: **WG-ASBR-SE-E62**
 Alternative Reference Number: **N/A**
 Area of Applicability: **Engineering**
 Documentation Type: **Strategy**
 Revision: **1**
 Total Pages: **13**
 Next Review Date: **N/A**
 Disclosure Classification: **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

Compiled by



Senzo Duma
Civil Engineer

Reviewer by



Thato Mathe
Snr. Technologist

Approver by



Andile Maneli
Middle Manager

Date: 22/06/2023

Date: 2023/06/27

Date: 29 - 06 - 2023

1. CONTENTS

	Page
1. CONTENTS	2
1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES	3
2.1 SCOPE	3
2.1.1 Purpose	3
2.1.2 Applicability	3
2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES	3
2.2.1 Normative	4
2.2.2 Informative	4
2.3 DEFINITIONS	4
2.3.1 Classification	4
2.4 ABBREVIATIONS	4
2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	4
2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING	5
2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS	5
3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY	6
3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD	6
3.2 TET MEMBERS	7
3.3 MANADATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	8
3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA (A)	8
3.5 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS	12
3.5.1 Risks	12
3.5.2 Exceptions / Conditions	12
4. AUTHORISATION	13
5. REVISIONS	13
6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM	13
7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	13

TABLES

Table 1: List of Abbreviations	4
Table 2: Evaluation Scoring Table	6
Table 3: TET Members	7
Table 4: Acceptable Technical Risks	12
Table 5: Unacceptable Technical Risks	12
Table 6: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions	12
Table 7: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions	12

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

1. INTRODUCTION

This document establishes the technical evaluation strategy for the evaluation of tenders that will be received in response to the request to tender for civil work to be done for the Western Cape Asbestos Replacement Project. This strategy is a high-level consideration of the key aspects that will give direction to the technical evaluation process. This strategy is applicable for scope of work that only includes the replacing and the installation of roof sheeting, gutters, downpipes, ceiling board, trench covers, asbestos conduits. This project will be held at various sites to be discussed in the section below.

2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES

2.1 SCOPE

This document covers the technical evaluation strategy for the project was raised by the Grid because most of the substations were not complying with the Environmental Act. An inventory was conducted by the asset owner in order to be able to quantify the exact amount of asbestos existing on site. The list included buildings in terms of roof, ceiling, gutters, downpipes, ceiling board and trench covers where specified, asbestos conduits which were identified as asbestos hence required to be replaced. The Western Cape Asbestos Removal project in the Outeniqua CLN includes the following substations:

- Droerivier SS
- Proteus SS.

The aim of this document is to provide a technical evaluation strategy that shall be used for the technical evaluation of the tenders for the all the minor civil related work. Furthermore, it will ensure transparency in the evaluation process as per the requirements set out in the Tender Engineering Evaluation Procedure (240-48929482) [1].

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

2.1.2 Applicability

This document shall apply to the removal of asbestos related works.

2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

2.2.1 Normative

[1] 240-48929482: Tender Engineering Evaluation Procedure

2.2.2 Informative

To assess whether the supplier/s submitted the required **technical documentation** as specified in the Enquiry referenced above, and that such quality documentation complies with the specified requirements.

2.3 DEFINITIONS

2.3.1 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary)

2.4 ABBREVIATIONS

Table 1: List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Description
CV	Curriculum Vitae
EDWL	Engineering Design Work Lead
LDE	Lead Discipline Engineer
N/A	Not Applicable
OHSA	Occupational Health and Safety Act
ORHVS	Occupational Regulations for High Voltage Systems
SANS	South African National Standards
TET	Technical Evaluation Team
TST	Transmission Standard

2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Engineering Manager: All Engineering Managers throughout Eskom shall ensure that all staff, in their respective areas understand and adhere to this procedure.

Engineering Design Work Lead (EDWL): The EDWL is responsible to manage the execution and adherence to this procedure. Typically on New Build projects the EDWL role is fulfilled by the Lead Discipline Engineer (LDE) and on existing asset projects the EDWL role is fulfilled by the relevant System Engineer / Plant Engineer.

Technical Evaluation Team (TET) member: The delegated engineers / technical specialists who are responsible to review and evaluate technical aspects of the tender documentation as per the Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

N/A

2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY

3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

The scoring for each tender will be done as per the scoring table shown below. This table is as per the requirements of Tender Engineering Evaluation Procedure [1]. The minimum weighted average required for the tender to be considered for further evaluation is 70%. The team will perform risk analysis on tenders falling below the 70% threshold to substantiate the result and to authenticate the credibility of the evaluation process and results.

Table 2: Evaluation Scoring Table

Score	Percentage	Definition
5	100	COMPLIANT Meet technical requirement(s) AND; No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements.
4	80	COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS Meet technical requirement(s) with; Acceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; Acceptable exceptions AND/OR; Acceptable conditions.
2	40	NON-COMPLIANT Does not meet technical requirement(s) AND/OR; Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR; Unacceptable conditions.
0	0	TOTALLY DEFICIENT OR NON-RESPONSIVE
<p>Note 1: The scoring table does not allow for scoring of 1 and 3. Note 2: Foreseen acceptable and unacceptable risk(s), exceptions and conditions shall be unambiguously defined in the relevant Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy.</p>		

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

3.2 TET MEMBERS

Table 3: TET Members

TET number	TET Member Name	Designation
TET 1	Senzo Duma	Civil Engineer – Substation civil engineering

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3.3 MANADATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

N/A

3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA (A)

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is **70%**.

The tenderers will be evaluated using the criteria listed in Table 4 below. Each criterion is allocated a weight. An overall scoring will be given for each tenderer. The overall score is a sum total of the individual scores allocated for each criterion. Substation Engineering seeks to test the capabilities of the contractor based on table 4.

Table 4: Evaluation Criteria

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Criteria Weighting (%)	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)																						
1.	Construction Program/technical Schedule: Applicable scope ticked <table border="1" style="margin-left: 20px;"> <tr> <td>a) Foundations and/or Plinths</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>b) Trenches</td> <td style="text-align: center;">x</td> </tr> <tr> <td>c) Earthworks</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>d) Roads</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>e) Drainage</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>f) Yardstone</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>g) Buildings</td> <td style="text-align: center;">x</td> </tr> <tr> <td>h) Fencing</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>i) Steelwork i.1. Columns & Beams i.2. Equipment support structure i.3. Floodlight mast</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>j) Security lighting</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>k) Earthmat & earthtails</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	a) Foundations and/or Plinths		b) Trenches	x	c) Earthworks		d) Roads		e) Drainage		f) Yardstone		g) Buildings	x	h) Fencing		i) Steelwork i.1. Columns & Beams i.2. Equipment support structure i.3. Floodlight mast		j) Security lighting		k) Earthmat & earthtails			20	
a) Foundations and/or Plinths																										
b) Trenches	x																									
c) Earthworks																										
d) Roads																										
e) Drainage																										
f) Yardstone																										
g) Buildings	x																									
h) Fencing																										
i) Steelwork i.1. Columns & Beams i.2. Equipment support structure i.3. Floodlight mast																										
j) Security lighting																										
k) Earthmat & earthtails																										

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

		l) Substation electrical in buildings l.1. Lighting installation l.2. Ventilation installation l.3. Electrical installation (DB)																												
	1.1	A program with the order in which main activities will be done				60																								
	1.2	Time durations of main activities from start to end				40																								
2.	Construction Method Statements Tick Applicable				30																									
		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>a) Foundations and/or Plinths</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>b) Trenches</td> <td>x</td> </tr> <tr> <td>c) Earthworks</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>d) Roads</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>e) Drainage</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>f) Yardstone</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>g) Buildings</td> <td>x</td> </tr> <tr> <td>h) Fencing</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>i) Steelwork l.4. Columns & Beams l.5. Equipment support structure l.6. Floodlight mast</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>j) Security lighting</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>k) Earthmat & earthtails</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>l) Substation electrical in buildings l.7. Lighting installation l.8. Ventilation installation l.9. Electrical installation (DB)</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		a) Foundations and/or Plinths		b) Trenches	x	c) Earthworks		d) Roads		e) Drainage		f) Yardstone		g) Buildings	x	h) Fencing		i) Steelwork l.4. Columns & Beams l.5. Equipment support structure l.6. Floodlight mast		j) Security lighting		k) Earthmat & earthtails		l) Substation electrical in buildings l.7. Lighting installation l.8. Ventilation installation l.9. Electrical installation (DB)				
a) Foundations and/or Plinths																														
b) Trenches	x																													
c) Earthworks																														
d) Roads																														
e) Drainage																														
f) Yardstone																														
g) Buildings	x																													
h) Fencing																														
i) Steelwork l.4. Columns & Beams l.5. Equipment support structure l.6. Floodlight mast																														
j) Security lighting																														
k) Earthmat & earthtails																														
l) Substation electrical in buildings l.7. Lighting installation l.8. Ventilation installation l.9. Electrical installation (DB)																														

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

	<p>Addition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Method of concrete mix</u> The contractor to specify the method of concrete placement, batching on site or supply of ready mix. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ If Batching – the contractor to provide the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Concrete Mix design; - Aggregate to be used; - Location/supplier of aggregate; and - Mixing and testing to be included in the method statement. ○ If ready mix - If Ready mix – the contractor to provide the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The supplier of Ready mix and the distance from site; - How results (and what results)will be obtained from the supplier; and - How concrete will be tested on site . • <u>Method of steel erection: (where the crane is required)</u> If the contractor specified that he/she will not subcontract the steel erection, he/she should specify there is a qualified rigger and crane operator to perform the work. If the contractor does not have a qualified rigger, he/she must specify that there will be a subcontractor company responsible for steelwork in this section or under list of subcontractor section. 			
	2.1 Relevant method statement with a description of how the main activities will be constructed			100
	3 List of Subcontractors		10	
	3.1 Any company supplying material, plant and equipment that the contractor may			40

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

		hire. List company with the material, plant and equipment which they are supplying			
	3.2	Specify if there will be any company/contractor performing any construction work not done by the main contractor			60
4.		List of Tools, Plant and Machinery		10	
	4.1	All relevant earthing tools, plant and machinery to be used during construction owned by the contractor. (All hired to be included in the list of subcontractor)			100
5.		Relevant Previous Projects Completed		20	
	5.1	List of relevant and comparable previous projects executed successfully			60
	5.2	Including project scope, completion date and client contact person and details			40
6.		CV's and Qualifications of Key Personnel		10	
	6.1	CV's of Construction Manager/Project Manager, Site Manager/Site Agent and Site Supervisor			40
	6.2	CV's to include academic qualifications and experience of key personnel detailing relevant project specific work experience			30
	6.3	Proof/copies of certified academic qualifications			30
				TOTAL: 100	

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3.5 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

3.5.1 Risks

Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	None.

Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	None.
2.	Contractors who do not have the relevant experience.

3.5.2 Exceptions / Conditions

Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	None.

Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	Skill for civil construction not adequate.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

4. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation
Andile Maneli	Substation Engineering: Civil: Middle Manager

5. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
22/06/2023	1	Senzo Duma	First issue

6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

N.A.

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

N.A.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS database, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the database.