



Quality Q3/L3 QA Evaluation Criteria for Plant Enquiry No: KBG2630SL

Requirement	Criteria	Deliverable	Scoring Methodology	Weighting	Rating	% Rating	% Score	[Supplier Name] Response	Eskom Comments
1. QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM	Quality Management System: Demonstrate that the supplier Quality Management System (QMS) is in compliance with the requirements of ISO 9001:2015, or equivalent, as identified in 238-769T Rev 1.	The returnables are: 1. Copies of Quality Management System Certification or proof of Management System compliance. 2. Quality Assurance Manual or Programme that provide a description of the processes and supporting information that reflects how processes are managed and work/operation is prepared, reviewed, carried out, recorded, assessed and improved . Examples of processes to be described can include Resource Selection, Quality Control, Receipt Inspection, Purchasing, etc.	100% - Valid Quality Management System Certification and/or proof of compliance together with Quality Assurance Manual or Programme submitted. 75% - Valid Quality Management System Certification and/or proof of compliance submitted. 50% - Quality Assurance Manual or Programme with no proof compliance submitted. 0% - No documents submitted	35%		0%	0.0%		
2. QA PERSONNEL REQUIREMENTS	Personnel Certification and Authorisation Process Evidence of a personnel qualification, certification and authorisation process.	Tenderer to submit procedure, process document and records demonstrating implementation of personnel qualification, certification and authorisation process. Submit list of registered Lead Auditors and Auditors.	100% - Personnel Qualification procedure, qualification process and list of personnel meeting requirements. 75% - Personnel Qualification procedure and qualification process only. 50% - Personnel Qualification procedure only submitted. 0% - No documents submitted	35%		0%	0.0%		
3. NONCONFORMING PRODUCT/SERVICE	Non-conformance and Corrective Action Process: Control of nonconforming service and application for concession (238-103 Rev 3 Section 3.4 refers)	Tenderer to submit procedure, process document and records demonstrating implementation of Non-conformance and Corrective Action Process. Show non-conformance, deviation and concession process, including disposition. Proof of customer notification for non-conforming services as identified and reported.	100% - Non-conformance and Corrective Action procedure and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions. 75% - Non-conformance and Corrective Action procedure and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. 50% - Method Statement or documented procedure that defines processes followed for dealing with nonconforming product/service. 0% - No documents submitted	30%		0%	0.0%		
	TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%			

Final Analysis			
1. QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM	35%	0.0%	
2. QA PERSONNEL REQUIREMENTS	35%	0.0%	
3. NONCONFORMING PRODUCT/SERVICE	30%	0.0%	
TOTAL	100%	0.0%	

The scoring of the Functional Evaluation is conducted as follows:
A supplier is given a score in each of the sub-categories. These sub-categories are requirements detailed in the specification or contract. Scores are allocated as follows:
0 - 0% - Does not meet
1 - 50% - Partial meet (Large gap)
2 - 75% - Partial Meet (Small gap)
3 - 100% - Meet
The score is then summed to a weighted average per category. The category scores are analysed as follows:
0% - 79% - Does not meet
80% - 100% - Meet

Compiled by: Philisile Zwane
Signature: 
Date: 
2024-12-12