

## MASONS MILL DEPOTS

### 1.TENDER EVALUATION CRITERIA

The following criteria will be used to award the tender. Should there be a criteria over and above the listed below, that will be used, such criteria will be specifically stated.

Category	Criteria	Weighting	Scoring Methodology (Based on Weight)	Evidence
1.1	<b>COMPANY PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE:</b>	<b>15 Points</b>	1. None-submission of the following <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• None – submission of reference letter(s).</li> </ul> <b>= 0 Points.</b>	Track record in provision of gardening services.  1. Non-submission of the following will be awarded zero points: Reference letter(s).
			2. Submission of the following will score 5 points: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• One (1) reference letter</li> </ul> <b>=5 Points.</b>	1. Submission of the following will result in score 5 points <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• One reference letter on company letterhead with date of completion and project cost. Only reference letter for projects completed for the provision of gardening services, will be accepted.</li> </ul>
			3.Submission of the following will score 10 points: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Two (2) reference letters</li> </ul> <b>= 10 Points.</b>	2. Submission of the following will score 10 points. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Two reference letters on a company letterhead with date of completion and project cost. Only reference letters for projects completed for the provision of gardening services will be accepted.</li> </ul>
			4.Submission of the following will score 15 points: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Three (3) reference letters</li> </ul> <b>= 15 Points.</b>	3. Submission of the following will score 15 points. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Three reference letters on a company letterhead with date of completion and project cost. Only reference letters for projects completed for the provision of gardening services, will be accepted.</li> </ul>

Category	Criteria	Weighting	Scoring Methodology (Based on Weight)	Evidence
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Only verifiable and contactable references for completed projects will be accepted, the projects shall have been done within the last 10 years (from the expiry date of gardening contract until the date of closing of this tender).</li> <li>Each reference letter shall have a project completion date, otherwise it will not be acceptable and zero (0) score will be allocated.</li> <li>Each reference shall have contactable contact person(s) and working contact numbers, otherwise it will not be acceptable and zero (0) score will be allocated.</li> <li>Each reference letter shall be in the letterhead of the previous client, otherwise it will not be acceptable and zero (0) score will be allocated.</li> </ul>
1.2	Submission of PCO registration certificate copy	15 points	<p>1.1 Submitted certified copy of proof of registration as pest control operator <b>15 points</b></p> <p>1.2 No submission of a certified copy of proof of registration <b>0 Points</b></p>	<p><b>Registration / affiliation</b></p> <p>The tenderer shall submit the copy of proof of registration as PCO to apply herbicides for industrial weed control issued in accordance with the Fertilizers, Farm Feeds, Agricultural Remedies and Stock Remedies Act, 1947 (Act No 36 of 1947).</p>

Category	Criteria	Weighting	Scoring Methodology (Based on Weight)	Evidence
1.3	<b>APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>70 Points</b>	<p>1.No information provided, or submission of no substance/ irrelevant information provided. = <b>0 Points</b></p> <p>2.The technical approach and/ or methodology is poor/ is unlikely to satisfy project objectives or requirements. The Tenderer has misunderstood certain aspects. 1 to 3 methodology topics covered. = <b>10 Points</b></p> <p>3.The technical approach is tailored to address the specific project objectives including responding to the scope of work (<b>provision of gardening services</b>) The approach does adequately deal with the critical characteristics of the project. The project plan and risk is to be managed etc. is tailored to the key aspects of the programme. 4 to 6 methodology topics covered. = <b>25 Points</b></p> <p>4. The technical approach is tailored to address the specific project objectives and methodology. The approach does adequately deal with the critical characteristics of the project. The project plan and risk is to be managed etc. is tailored to the key aspects of the programme. 7-9 methodology topics covered. = <b>35 points</b></p>	<p><b><u>Methodology</u></b></p> <p>The methodology responds to the scope of work (provision of gardening services) and outline the proposed approach from commencement leading to the completion of the project.</p> <p>The method statement is a set of principles and practices that will guide in organizing this project.</p> <p><b><u>Methodology topics.</u></b></p> <p>Methodology shall be provided with full details of items such as the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Work time (knock on time, tea time, lunch time and knock off time).</li> <li>2.Toolbox/safety talks.</li> <li>3.PPE.</li> <li>4. Contingency plan (e.g. Plan around public transport strike, sick/absent staff members).</li> <li>5. Chemicals (weed killer).</li> <li>6. Cutting grass and trees along railway lines and boundary fences.</li> <li>7. 1.5m clearance from infrastructure.</li> <li>8. Cutting 20m high, 4m (horizontal length) trees to 2m height.</li> <li>9. Removal of rubbish from Transnet Engineering site.</li> <li>10. Using net to prevent stones from damaging cars in the vicinity.</li> <li>11. Assurance of quality of work, supervision of work.</li> <li>12.Plan on working during December and January months.</li> <li>13.Submission of payment claims / Invoices.</li> <li>14. Dates of staff salary payments.</li> <li>15.Compliance with National Minimum Wage Act 9 of 2018.</li> </ol>

			<p>5.The approach is tailored to address the specific project including responding to the scope of work (<b>provision of gardening services</b>) objectives and methodology and is sufficiently flexible to accommodate changes that may occur during execution. 10-13 methodology topics covered.</p> <p><b>=50 Points</b></p>	
			<p>6. Over above meeting the good rating, the important issues are approached in an innovative and efficient way, indicating that the tenderer has excellent knowledge of working state of the art approaches. The programme is well throughout and makes allowance for all key issues. 14 methodology topics or more than 14 methodology topics covered.</p> <p><b>= 70 Points</b></p>	
<b>Total Weighting</b>	<b>100 points</b>			
<b>Threshold</b>	<b>70 points</b>			

**Name:** Zolani Mngqithi

**Designation:** Engineering Technician

**Signature and date:**  24.10.2025

**Name:** Motsabi Ramothathaki

**Designation:** Assets Manager

**Signature and date:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Name:** Sphamandla Mkhwanazi

**Designation:** Plant Engineer

**Signature and date:** \_\_\_\_\_