

	Strategy	Materials Management
---	-----------------	---------------------------------

Title:	Camden Power Station Cleaning Chemicals Project Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy	Unique Identifier:	383-CMDN- AABB-D00138-85
		Alternative Reference Number:	N/A
		Area of Applicability:	Stores
		Documentation Type:	Strategy
		Revision:	1
		Total Pages:	14
		Next Review Date:	N/A
		Disclosure Classification:	CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES.....	3
2.1 SCOPE	3
2.1.1 Purpose	4
2.1.2 Applicability.....	4
2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES.....	4
2.2.1 Normative	4
2.2.2 Informative.....	4
2.3 DEFINITIONS.....	4
2.3.1 Classification	4
2.4 ABBREVIATIONS.....	5
2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES.....	5
2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING.....	5
2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS.....	5
3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALAUTION STRATEGY.....	5
3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD.....	5
3.2 TET MEMBERS.....	7
3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	8
3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	8
3.4.1 Electrical Evaluation Criteria (50%).....	8
3.4.2 Mechanical Evaluation Criteria (50%).....	9
3.4.3 TET Member Responsibilities	9
3.5 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS.....	10
3.5.1 Risks.....	10
3.5.2 Exceptions / Conditions.....	10
4. AUTHORISATION.....	12
5. REVISIONS	12
6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM	12
7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	12

TABLES

Table 1: Evaluation Scores.....	6
Table 2: TET Members.....	7
Table 3: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria.....	8
Table 4: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria.....	8
Table 5: TET Member Responsibilities.....	9
Table 6: Acceptable Technical Risks.....	10
Table 7: Unacceptable Technical Risks	10
Table 8: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions.....	10
Table 9: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions	11

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

1. INTRODUCTION

Camden Power Station needs to establish the long-term supply and delivery for the office cleaning materials. In the past purchase orders were placed via Procurement, and we were faced with a lot of challenges as local supplier did not have vendor numbers, and suppliers delivering low quality of items or suppliers not delivering at all. We opted for local purchase orders but due to the high demand of the items we could not place orders monthly on a continuous basis. The establishment of the long-term contract will assist in terms of control/issuing of the cleaning materials and also eliminate the issue of quality received.

This document will outline the strategy and criteria that should be used to evaluate the technical suitability of various service providers. Also, it will be used to determine which service provider is capable to complete the task and strictly comply with all the requirements, as set out in the works information.

2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES

2.1 SCOPE

This document covers various aspects that will be evaluated and scored by the Technical Evaluation Team (TET) to complete the technical evaluation of the enquiry for the replace? The document also describes the acceptable and unacceptable risks and qualifications and/or conditions.

The Technical Evaluation Strategy will define the following technical evaluation criteria:

- Mandatory Evaluation Criteria
- Qualitative Evaluation Criteria
- TET Member Responsibilities

Once the Technical Evaluation Strategy is authorised no changes will be made to the evaluation criteria without appropriate authorisation.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and Technical Evaluation Team responsibilities for the tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as a basis for the tender evaluation process.

2.1.2 Applicability

This document applies to the Camden Power Station Office Cleaning Materials Project.

2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

- [1] 240-168966153: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [2] 32-1034: Eskom Procurement Procedure
- [3] [383-CMDN-AABZ28-SP0004-39] - Camden Power Station Cleaning Chemicals Project

2.2.2 Informative

None

2.3 DEFINITIONS

2.3.1 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

2.4 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
SABS	South African Bureau of Standards (MSDS)
TET	Technical Evaluation Team

2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

As per 240-168966153: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure [1].

2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

The document shall be reviewed as and when required to be always in line with the best technological practices, Eskom's procurement policies and the Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure (240-48929482) [1].

2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

Not applicable.

3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALAUTION STRATEGY

3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

A weighted score-card approach shall be used to evaluate the technical compliance of the tenders against the specifications. The overall minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tenderer to technically qualify for further evaluation is 70%.

The scoring method will consider the following qualitative evaluation criteria table:

Score	(%)	Definition
5	100	COMPLIANT

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meet technical requirement(s), AND • No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirement
4	80	COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS Meet technical requirement(s) with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acceptable technical risk(s), AND/OR • Acceptable exceptions, AND/OR • Acceptable conditions.
2	40	NON-COMPLIANT <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does not meet technical requirement(s), AND/OR • Unacceptable technical risk(s), AND/OR • Unacceptable exceptions, AND/OR • Unacceptable conditions.
0	0	
Note 1: The scoring table does not allow scoring of 1 and 3 Note 2: Foreseen acceptable and unacceptable risk(s), exceptions and conditions shall be unambiguously defined in the relevant Tender Evaluation Strategy.		

The evaluation scores will be weighted as follows according to disciplines:

Table 1: Evaluation Scores

Technical (100%)	
6.1 Number of years in experience (proof of orders/contracts)	50%
6.2 SABS (MSDS)	50%
TOTAL (100%)	
Overall minimum threshold for qualification (70%)	

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3.2 TET MEMBERS

Table 2: TET Members

TET number	TET Member Name	Designation
I	Petronella Magagula	Officer Business Administrator
II	Jabulani Radebe	Senior Technical Advisor Electrical
III	Ralph Griffiths	Senior Supervisor Stores

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 3: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

No.	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification/Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
1	Number of years in experience		

3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 4: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

3.4.1 Number of years in experience (50%)

No.:	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description		Criteria Weighting (%)	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)	Tender Returnable(s)	Scoring Criteria
1.	[??] Number of years in experience		50			
	1.1	1 year experience	25%			
	1.2	2 years' experience or more	50%			
			TOTAL: 50			

Commented [MB1]: Something need to be here, else just have number 1 to 4

Commented [MB2]: You not have this as a gatekeeper

Commented [MB3]: Why so much points on experience and less on MSD's?

3.4.2 Proof of MSDS reports (50%)

No.:	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description		Criteria Weighting (%)	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)	Tender Returnable(s)	Scoring Criteria
2.	[??]		50			
	2.1	Safety data sheet for all the chemicals registered under the company	25%			
	2.2	Proof of SABS approval	25%		•	
	2.3					
			TOTAL: 100			

Commented [MB4]: Something need to be here, else just have number 1 to 4

3.4.3 TET Member Responsibilities

Table 5: TET Member Responsibilities

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4	TET 5
1					
Qualitative Criteria Number					
1.					
2.					

3.5 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

3.5.1 Risks

Table 6: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Marginally failing to meet the 70% threshold as stipulated in section 3.1.

Table 7: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Failing to meet the Mandatory Technical criteria as listed in section 3.3, Table 2.

3.5.2 Exceptions / Conditions

Table 8: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	As per the requirements set out under the Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria section 3.4 of this document.

Table 9: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	As per the requirements set out under the Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria section 3.3 of this document.

4. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation

5. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
September 2021	1	M. Mkhize	Original doc
November 2022	2	B. Jansen	Rev 1

6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

None

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.