

ANNEXURE A (TECHNICAL EVALUATION)

Table 1: Mandatory Requirements

	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
	<p>Mandatory requirement:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Qualification and SACNASP registration: ND Diploma in Environmental Management/Natural Sciences or Analytical Chemistry and must be SACNASP registered2. 5-year related experience in Fugitive Dust Sampling for industrial area Power station environment including mining. A list of traceable references to be provided.	Technical specifications	<p>Qualifications and SACNASP registration ensure quality and integrity of the results</p> <p>Experience is required for efficiency and quality of service.</p>

Table 2: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

Qualitative Technical Criteria Description		Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Criteria Weighting (%)	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)	Evaluation Scoring Breakdown			
			100%		0	2	4	5
1.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A proof of a existing relationship with an SANAS accredited laboratory. 	Technical specifications		20%	0 Submission not provided, or requirements not met	1-2 requirements met	3-4 requirements met	All 5 requirements met
1.2	Legislative Guiding statement and framework outlining legislation requirements targets			20%	No Comprehensive legal framework	legal framework without targets	Both targets and framework demonstrated	Excellent Framework and Targets demonstrated
1.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A project outline indicating roles, timelines for reports and chain of custody stages. 			20%	0-1 project outline not acceptable	2– 3 project outlines have no clear roles and chain of custody not demonstrated	4 – 5 project outlines comprehensive, timeline is acceptable, roles in line with SoW, chain of custody clearly demonstrated	More than 6 requirements met exceedingly

	1.4	Recognized latest sampling methodology and quality assurance statement (diagram for demonstration to be included)			40%	0-1 Sampling methodology and quality assurance not accepted	2-3 Sampling Methodology accepted but no demonstration diagram	4-5 Sampling method is latest, and well demonstrated with a clear quality assurance	More than 6 Excellent sampling methodology and excellent demonstration for quality assurance
		TOTAL (100%)							

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 70%.