



**TENDER CLARIFICATION DATE
EXTENSION**

Form No: Foskor PROC 024

Revision No: 01

Effective Date: August 2024

TENDER NUMBER : FOSPHB-RFP-49-25/26

TENDER DESCRIPTION : STRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT AND CIVIL
REHABILITATION FOR ROADS ON THE SOCIAL LABOUR PLAN

ADDENDUM: NO. 1

NOTICE TO ALL BIDDERS

Kindly note the following clarifications to the scope of works document.

As per our communication yesterday at the tender briefing for the SLP Roads, may you kindly share the GPS coordinates of all the Streets forming part of this tender.

Response:

3.3.1 Namakgale

- **Chianelo Crescent Street** – 2.0 km:
 - **Coordinates - (Start: 23°56'35.2"S 31°02'01.3"E; End: 23°56'38.6"S 31°02'08.4"E)**
- **Stadium Street** – 0.5 km
 - **Coordinates - (Start: 23°56'23.0"S 31°02'00.3"E; End: 23°56'08.7"S 31°02'01.5"E)**
- **Radio Station (Impala) Street** – 1.3 km
 - **Coordinates - (Start: 23°56'06.8"S 31°02'16.0"E; End: 23°56'34.5"S 31°02'46.7"E)**
- **Rock Street** – 0.6 km
 - **Coordinates - (Start: 23°55'26.4"S 31°01'58.1"E; End: 23°55'41.9"S 31°02'05.5"E)**
- **Asibasabi Street** – 0.4 km
 - **Coordinates - (Start: 23°55'39.9"S 31°01'27.9"E; End: 23°55'33.8"S 31°01'39.8"E)**
- **Thulani Street** – 0.9 km
 - **Coordinates - (Start: 23°55'24.7"S 31°01'42.1"E; End: 23°55'49.8"S 31°01'49.3"E)**
- **Solly Magotswa / Phophate Street** – 1.75 km
 - **Coordinates - (Start: 23°56'31.9"S 31°01'51.7"E End: 23°57'01.2"S 31°02'17.3"E)**

Subtotal Namakgale: 7.45 km

3.3.2 Lulekani

- **Joe Slovo Street** – 1.4 km
 - **Coordinates** - (Start: 23°52'23.7"S 31°05'03.6"E; End: 23°51'55.2"S 31°05'40.3"E)
- **Park Street** – 1.2 km
 - **Coordinates** - (Start: 23°51'27.1"S 31°04'55.9"E; End: 23°51'58.0"S 31°04'48.2"E)
- **Bridgeway Street** – 0.6 km
 - **Coordinates** - (Start: 23°52'13.8"S 31°04'51.3"E; End: 23°51'57.1"S 31°04'51.9"E)

Subtotal Lulekani: 3.2 km

Technical evaluation criteria (Pg 41 of SOP 8 PROJ)

1. The scoring bands for item 1a (Company – Relevant Road/Civil Engineering Experience) are based on date ranges; 2-3 years, 4-5 years, 6-7 years and 8+ years. The documents to be submitted as proof however require a list of projects in the past 5 years. Are the scoring bands correct?

Response:

The scoring bands are correct and remain unchanged.

Bidders are required to submit:

A list of relevant projects executed within the **last 5 years** as evidence, and

Indicate the number of years of relevant company experience in road and/or civil engineering design.

The evaluation will consider:

The relevance and quality of projects completed in the past 5 years, and

The company's total relevant experience (as declared and supported by references).

The past 5-year project list is required for verification and comparability, while the scoring bands reflect overall experience.

2. The scoring bands for item 1b (Company – Project Value Thresholds (Road/Drainage Design Projects)) are assumed to be total project value (professional fees and construction value). We intend providing a list of projects with project value, etc, as requested. Are the scoring bands based on the total value of projects listed, the average value of projects listed, other? How many projects do we need to include for the evaluation?

Response:

The scoring bands are based on the **total cumulative project value** of relevant road and drainage design projects executed by the company within the past 5 years.

Bidders must:

Submit a list of **at least 3 and no more than 10** relevant projects from the past 5 years, and

Indicate the professional fees or project value associated with each project.

The evaluation will consider:

Total cumulative value of listed projects, and

Relevance and similarity to the scope of this tender (road rehabilitation and drainage design).

3. We have a SANAS accredited ISO 9001:2015 Quality Management System (QMS). Will including our latest certification suffice as proof of QMS/QCP documentation for item 2b?

Response:

ISO 9001:2015 certification is acceptable as proof of an established Quality Management System.

However, in accordance with Section 9 of the Scope of Work, the bidder must also submit a **project-specific Quality Plan (QCP)** aligned to this project, covering:

Design review and verification,

Document control and revision management,

Compliance with SANS, SANRAL, TRH/TMH and municipal standards, and

Professional sign-off procedures.

Commercial evaluation (Pg42 of SOP 8 PROJ)

4. Do we need to include a bank guarantee as per item (a). This is not normally done for professional services contracts. In addition, item (b) refers to suppliers account being in good standing. Please clarify what is required here.

Response:

A bank guarantee is **not required** for this professional services contract unless explicitly stated in the final contract conditions.

“Supplier’s account in good standing” refers to:

A valid tax clearance certificate,

A valid letter of good standing from the Compensation Commissioner, and

No adverse compliance or contractual history with Foskor.

Bill of Quantities (Pg44 of SOP 8 PROJ)

5. The quantity for item 3.3 is a provisional sum. There is however no sum provided. Please clarify.



**TENDER CLARIFICATION DATE
EXTENSION**

Form No: Foskor PROC 024

Revision No: 01

Effective Date: August 2024

3.3	Additional drawings if required (beyond baseline)	Sum	1		
3.4	Bill of Quantities (BOQ) for construction phase	Sum	1		
3.5	Methodology for road & drainage rehabilitation works	Sum	1		
Sub-total Section 3					R

6. Item 6.2 is for additional site inspections if required (beyond baseline of 8). Is this meant to also be a provisional sum? If so, please provide an updated BOQ with a value for the provisional sum. If not, we are able to establish a rate for item 6.1 (cost per visit), but have no idea what to allow for and include in item 6.2. Please provide guidance on what should be included here.

6. CONSTRUCTION (Site Management – Engineer’s Role)					
6.1	Site inspections & supervision during key milestones – includes up to 8 inspections	Visit	8		
6.2	Additional site inspections if required (beyond baseline of 8)	Visit	4		
6.3	Quality control verification (civil, fire suppression, steel shelving)	Sum	1		
6.4	Attendance at site progress meetings	Meeting	6		
6.5	Inspection & approval of Final Design Drawings	Sum	1		
Sub-total Section 6					R

Name: Lungile Mngomezulu

Designation: Acting Procurement GM

Foskor (Pty) Ltd

Date: 31/12/2025

*(*To be signed by the person with the delegated authority)*



Signed with Impression - Chain of Custody



Signature Request

Signature Request ID:	88a1cb16-2921-4db5-bb41-dfeb2746b515	Timestamp:	2025-12-31 13:03:19 GMT
Signee Name:	Lungile Mngomezulu	Sender Name:	Thembi Sebuthuma
Request Type:	WebSigning	Request Status:	WEBVIEWER SIGNED

Original Document

Document Name:	ADDENDUMFOSPHBRFP492526.docx	Document Size:	411.3 KB
----------------	------------------------------	----------------	----------

Email Evidence

Signee Email:	lungilemn@foskor.co.za	Email Subject:	A document from Thembi Sebuthuma is ready for signature
Email Sent Timestamp:	2025-12-31T10:14:16.558646	Email Opened Timestamp:	Not available in Silent Mode

Web Evidence

Signee IP Address:	197.185.166.95	Request Timestamp:	2025-12-31 13:02:29 GMT
Signee GPS (if shared):	ZA: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/143.0.0.0 Safari/537.36 Edg/143.0.0.0	Terms Accepted Timestamp:	2025-12-31 13:02:38 GMT

Annotations and Modifications

Signature Count:	1	Form Fields Filled Count:	0
Text Annotation Count:	0	Initial All Pages Count:	0
Single Initial Count:	0		

Signing Evidence

Signee Mobile:	+27000000000	Sign Type:	WebSigning
Security Challenge:	NONE	Part of Workflow:	9d63da1a-3404-4b59-890f-2217c3d34098

Chain Of Custody Generation

Attached Document Name:	20251231T130319.107346Z ADDENDUMFOSPHBRFP492526.docx	Attached Timestamp:	2025-12-31 13:03:19 GMT
-------------------------	---	---------------------	-------------------------

