Functional Evaluation Criteria for Enquiry

The Supply and Delivery of Radiation Protection Products for Nuclear Operating Unit (NOU) on an "as and when" required basis for a period of five(5) years Contract - Batch 1: Plastic Spares

Criteria	Requirements	Deliverables	Scoring Methodology	Weighting	Rating	% Score	Comments
	Tenderers are required to demonstrate performance in comparable projects of similar size and nature, List of previous similar projects pertaining to the supply of Plastic Spares	Three (3) contactible reference letters in company letterheads as proof of previous experience successfully implementing supply of Plastic Spares for any corporation.	Does not Meet: No Information provided, i.e. No Previous Experience submitted or Inadequate information submitted (i.e., submission not relating to supply of Plastic Spares Partial Meet (Large gap): One (1) project reference letter submitted of previous experience in the supply of Plastic Spares, with contactable references. Partial Meet (Small gap) :Two (2) project reference letters submitted of previous experience in the supply of Plastic Spares, with contactable references. Meet :Three (3) project reference letters submitted of previous experience in the supply of Plastic Spares, with contactable references.	60%		0%	
	Tenderers' product Defects Management Plan	Show evidence of: 1. Communication with manufacturers/ distribution outlets for replacing low quality defective items 2. Tenderers' recommendation of better quality.	Does not Meet: No evidence provided, i.e. No documents submitted. Partial Meet (Large gap): Only 1 out of the 3 listed documents have been submitted Partial Meet (Small gap): Only 2 out of the 3 listed documents have been submitted Meet: All the 3 listed documents have been submitted and are in line with the requirements to a Defects Management Plan.	40%		0%	
				100%		0%	
	Demonstrate ability to comply with Eskom Specifications	Tender must submit Technical datasheet/s for all Plastic Spares listed in Appendix A under Batch 1	Does not Meet: No Information provided, i.e. No documents submitted. Meet: 100% off the technical datasheets were submitted.	100%		0%	
				100%		0%	
Final Analysis							
TECHNICAL				70.0%	0.0%		
QUALITY				30.0%	0.0%		
TOTAL				100%	0.0%		

The scoring of the Quality Evaluation Criteria is conducted as follows:

A supplier is given a score in each of the sub-categories. These sub-categories are requirements detailed in the specification or contract. Scores are allocated as follows:

0 - 0% - Does not meet

1 - 40% - Partial meet (Large gap)

2 - 70% - Partial Meet (Small gap)

3- 100% - Meet

The overall score for functionality criteria is analysed as follows:

0% - 79% - Does not meet

80% - 100% - Meet

COMPILER: Hannellie Van Jaarsveld

SIGNATURE:

REVIEWER: Chalton van Rensburg

SIGNATURE:

APPROVER: Yongama Kontsiwe

SIGNATURE: