

SECOND CLARIFICATION QUESTION AND ANSWERS

TENDER DESCRIPTION: CONTRACTOR APPOINTMENT FOR DESIGN AND BUILD OF THE REHABILITATION OF RUNWAYS, TAXIWAYS, TAXILANES AND APRONS PROJECT FOR A PERIOD OF 60 MONTHS AT KIMBERLY AIRPORT

Tender Number: KA7664/2024/RFP

Date: 14 May 2025

	Question	Response			
1	<p>The asphalt is specified as follows in the tender document.</p> <p>“The asphalt base and surfacing shall be a continuously graded asphalt (SABITA Manual 35, Ver 5) sand skeletal, coarse grading mix with a 14mm NMPS. An AP-1 binder shall be used in the wearing course mix.”</p> <p>The KIA7664_2024_RFP_Clarification Questions and Answers_30 April 2025 document answered the question regarding MPD as follows:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="181 932 1205 1066"> <tr> <td data-bbox="181 932 215 1066">8</td> <td data-bbox="215 932 757 1066">A 60mm thick course grade mix would be difficult to achieve IRI rideability specification due to the depression of the rollers on the thickness. Will a 40mm layer with a 20mm UTFC layer as total combined 60mm be considered or accepted?</td> <td data-bbox="757 932 1205 1066">It appears that the question relates more to the MPD (Texture Depth) rather than the IRI. A UTFC is not acceptable but the required 1.0mm texture depth is achievable if the procedures of SABITA Manual 35 / TRH8 Revised Fifth Edition: February 2023 are followed.</td> </tr> </table> <p>It is of our opinion, as well as industry specialists that we consulted with, that a 1 mm texture depth is not possible with a sand skeletal continuously graded mix. We confirmed this with one of the co-authors of SABITA manual 35.</p> <p>Can you please revisit the specification of provide more clarity on which SABITA manual 35 mix to use?</p> <p>Alternately, can we propose a SMA mix.</p>	8	A 60mm thick course grade mix would be difficult to achieve IRI rideability specification due to the depression of the rollers on the thickness. Will a 40mm layer with a 20mm UTFC layer as total combined 60mm be considered or accepted?	It appears that the question relates more to the MPD (Texture Depth) rather than the IRI. A UTFC is not acceptable but the required 1.0mm texture depth is achievable if the procedures of SABITA Manual 35 / TRH8 Revised Fifth Edition: February 2023 are followed.	<p>Whilst it is possible to achieve a 1mm Mean Profile Depth (MPD) using a continuously graded asphalt (SABITA Manual 35, Ver 5) sand skeletal, coarse grading mix with a 14mm NMPS, AP-1 binder for the wearing course, we accept that there are difficulties in achieving this.</p> <p>Therefore, the alternative proposal of a 60mm thick Stone Mastic Asphalt (SMA), 14mm NMPS, AP1 Modified binder for the wearing course is accepted if a 1mm MPD can be achieved. <i>Please refer to Addendum 7 published on 14 May 2025 on Government E-Tenders portal.</i></p>
8	A 60mm thick course grade mix would be difficult to achieve IRI rideability specification due to the depression of the rollers on the thickness. Will a 40mm layer with a 20mm UTFC layer as total combined 60mm be considered or accepted?	It appears that the question relates more to the MPD (Texture Depth) rather than the IRI. A UTFC is not acceptable but the required 1.0mm texture depth is achievable if the procedures of SABITA Manual 35 / TRH8 Revised Fifth Edition: February 2023 are followed.			



	Question	Response
2	Would you kindly forward me the above tended document in order for me to print out.	<p>All documents pertaining to this Tender bid submission should be downloaded from the Government E-Tenders Portal.</p>  <p>The screenshot shows the eTenders.gov.za website. At the top, there is a navigation bar with the 'TENDER' logo and the South African flag. Below this, the main heading reads 'Find public sector tender opportunities in South Africa'. A sub-heading states: 'This site provides access to information on all tenders made by all public sector organisations at all spheres of government.' A search bar is visible with the text 'Contractor Appointment for Design and Build of The Rehabilitation of Runways, Taxiways, Taxiways and Aprons Project for a Period of 60 Months at Kimberley Airport'. A green 'Search' button is next to the search bar. Below the search bar, there is a disclaimer: 'DISCLAIMER: Please note we are only searching for open/active tenders. Please click on Browse Opportunities to view other Tender options' and a 'Dismiss' button.</p>



	Question	Response
3	Will the Consultants Key Person role of Project Manager only be accepted with Pr CPM at SACPCMP, or can the criteria be expanded to also accept Pr Eng at ECSA in this role?	<p>The Project Manager must be Pr CPM registered with SACPCMP. The decisions for a Pr CPM to perform the duties of the construction project manager ensures that the RFP complies with the Council for Built Environment Management Act. Below are the categories as set out in the Government Gazette to confirm the regulatory framework requirements. An architect is not professionally qualified to perform the duties of a quantity surveyor and similarly an engineer is not suitably qualified to perform the duties of a construction project manager.</p> <p>“built environment profession” means any of the following registrable professions:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">(a) Architectural profession;(b) Project and construction management professions;(c) Engineering profession;(d) Landscape architectural profession;(e) Property valuers profession; or(f) Quantity surveying profession;



	Question	Response
4	Do you require that Form C2 : Proposed Domestic Subcontractors be completed with subcontractors supporting documents as per Form C3 : Subcontractors supporting documents?	Form C2 to be populated and signed. Form C3 to be populated and signed. All supporting documents are to be provided to ensure your bid can be evaluated for compliance and deemed responsive.
5	Must the scope of work also be attached to the document for submission?	Reference is made to Clause C.2.13 Submitting a tender offer of Part T1.3 Standard Conditions of Tender. Yes, it must be included for the purposes of submitting a complete bid offer. ACSA provided clarity to all bidders in attendance at the Compulsory Tender Briefing at Kimberley Airport on 10 April 2025, where we physically presented to all attendees a complete printed set of the bid document. The purpose of the demonstration was to make the bidders aware of the expected volume of paper in the final submission and ACSA recommended that bidders use archive type filling box (cardboard box), clearly marked with the complete set of tender submission documents placed inside for ease of identification and for proper document control.
6	Please will you e-mail a excel BOQ version of the tender document for tender KIA7664/2024/RFP: Contractor Appointment for Design and Build of the Rehabilitation of Runways, Taxiways, Taxilanes and Aprons Project for a Period of 60 Months at Kimberly Airport for estimating purposes	This is an NEC ECC Contract, Option A – Priced Contract with Activity Schedules. There is no BOQ, and bidders can refer to Question 2 and Response 2 in the below referenced document on Government e-Tenders portal KIA7664_2024_RFP_Clarification Questions and Answers_30 April 2025.pdf for confirmation.



Question	Response												
<p>7 Addendum 4: Tender closing date extension. Unfortunately, on the addendum it does not state the new closing date.</p>	<p>See below excerpt from Addendum No 4, page 2 in response. <u>AMENDMENT</u></p> <p>Important: Bidders are required to acknowledge this Addendum in their bid submission in table for RECORD OF ADDENDA TO TENDER DOCUMENTS.</p> <p>Bidders to take note of the following changes to the bid document:</p> <p>See Attachment 1: <i>TE05 Notice of Extension -Attachement 1 to Addendum 4</i></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1249 608 2110 799"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Original Dates</th> <th>New Dates</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Query Closure Date</td> <td>22 April 2025</td> <td>12 May 2025</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ACSA Response to Queries</td> <td>30 April 2025</td> <td>14 May 2025</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Tender Closing Date</td> <td>14 May 2025</td> <td>28 May 2025</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Original Dates	New Dates	Query Closure Date	22 April 2025	12 May 2025	ACSA Response to Queries	30 April 2025	14 May 2025	Tender Closing Date	14 May 2025	28 May 2025
	Original Dates	New Dates											
Query Closure Date	22 April 2025	12 May 2025											
ACSA Response to Queries	30 April 2025	14 May 2025											
Tender Closing Date	14 May 2025	28 May 2025											
<p>8 I would like to get clarity on the pricing of the bill since there are no quantities in the document , do we price the amount per hour or per day as a rate only type of a bill or do we do our own quantities.</p>	<p>A NEC ECC Contract – Option A: Priced Contract Activity Schedules has no BOQ. ACSA selected Option A as set out in NEC Users Guide below.</p> <p>1.2.2 Use of the different main payment options 1.2.2.1 Overview</p> <p>The ECC has six main options, one of which must be chosen. These are as follows.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">21</p> <hr/> <p>NEC3: A User's Guide</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Option A: priced contract with activity schedules. ■ Option B: priced contract with bills of quantities. ■ Option C: target contract with activity schedules. ■ Option D: target contract with bills of quantities. ■ Option E: cost-reimbursable contract. ■ Option F: management contract. <p>These options govern how the <i>Contractor</i> is paid, and are therefore the primary means of allocating risk under the contract.</p>												



Question	Response						
<p>9 Is Milestone dates for the Activity Schedule required and can bidders breakdown the Activity schedule further to incorporate Sectional Milestones date?</p>	<table border="1" data-bbox="1240 347 2197 488"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1240 355 1294 384">3</th> <th data-bbox="1339 355 1393 384">Time</th> <th data-bbox="1637 355 2186 480"></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1240 400 1294 429">30.2</td> <td data-bbox="1339 400 1630 429">The <i>completion date</i> is</td> <td data-bbox="1637 392 2186 480">A maximum of 15 months (excluding public holidays and the annual builder's break) from the <i>Starting Date</i> upon the completion of the whole of the <i>works</i> and the Project Manager certifies Completion of the <i>works</i></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Dates can be applied in whichever way the bidders desire as long as they comply with Clause 30.2 of the NEC contract for the whole of the works Completion certification.</p> <p>The Activity schedule must be priced and returned without any amendments by bidders to allow for a financial evaluation of prices compared to ACSA benchmark bid estimates per activity.</p>	3	Time		30.2	The <i>completion date</i> is	A maximum of 15 months (excluding public holidays and the annual builder's break) from the <i>Starting Date</i> upon the completion of the whole of the <i>works</i> and the Project Manager certifies Completion of the <i>works</i>
3	Time						
30.2	The <i>completion date</i> is	A maximum of 15 months (excluding public holidays and the annual builder's break) from the <i>Starting Date</i> upon the completion of the whole of the <i>works</i> and the Project Manager certifies Completion of the <i>works</i>					
<p>10 Form C3-Subcontractor Supporting Documents (If Applicable): Please kindly waive the submission of the subcontract agreement and all the supporting documents required from the subcontractors to be provided after award, Bidders will commit to the 30% Subcontracting at tender stage,</p>	<p>A pre-bid agreement must be included as a supporting document for evaluation purposes. Changes can be made after award prior to Construction. On CIDB registered contractors list we found 73 x CIDB Grade 6CE and 31 x Grade 7CE contractors registered to operate in the Northern Cape Province alone. Bidders have had access to the bid documents as from 28 March 2025 and sufficient time was allowed for all bidders to engage CIDB CE graded sub-contractors over a period of 2 months to ensure their bids are compliant. Non-submission of the required supporting documents will lead to a non-responsive bid.</p>						
<p>11 Page 26 of 101 - C.2.13.6 Where a two-envelope system is required in terms of the tender data, place and seal the returnable documents listed in the tender data in an envelope marked "financial proposal" and place the remaining returnable documents in an envelope marked "technical proposal". Each envelope shall state on the outside the employer's address and identification details stated in the tender data, as well as the tenderer's name and contact address.</p> <p>Query: Is this a Two-envelope system submission</p>	<p>As per the screenshot below taken from the Bid document, it states that if a two-envelop system is required, then the instruction on C.2.13.6 will apply. It is not applicable to this tender as confirmed at the compulsory tender briefing held on 10 April 2025.</p> <p>C.2.13.6 Where a two-envelope system is required in terms of the tender data, place and seal the returnable documents listed in the tender data in an envelope marked "financial proposal" and place the remaining returnable documents in an envelope marked "technical proposal". Each envelope shall state on the outside the employer's address and identification details stated in the tender data, as well as the tenderer's name and contact address.</p>						