

	Strategy	Kusile Power Station
---	-----------------	-----------------------------

Title: Kusile Power Station long-term agreement (5 years) Bearing spares Supply and Delivery technical evaluation strategy

Document Identifier: KUS-20250353

AlternativeReference Number: N/A

Area of Applicability: Kusile Power Station

Functional Area: Engineering, MM & Maintenance

Revision: 1

Total Pages: 8

Next Review Date: March 2028

Disclosure Classification: Controlled Disclosure

Compiled by	Supported by	Functional Responsibility	Authorized by
Date:	Date:	Date:	Date:

Content	Page
1. Introduction.....	3
2. Supporting Clauses	3
2.1 Scope.....	3
2.1.1 Purpose.....	3
2.1.2 Applicability	3
2.1.3 Effective date.....	3
2.2 Normative/Informative References	3
2.2.1 Normative.....	3
2.2.2 Informative.....	4
2.3 Definitions	4
2.4 Abbreviations	4
2.5 Roles and Responsibilities	4
2.6 Process for Monitoring.....	4
2.7 Related/Supporting Documents.....	5
3. Tender Evaluation Criteria Strategy.....	5
3.1 Technical Evaluation Criteria.....	5
3.2 TET Members	6
3.3 TET Member Responsibilities.....	7
3.4 Foreseen Acceptable / Unacceptable Qualification.....	7
3.4.1 Risks	7
3.4.2 Exceptions/Conditions	7
4. Acceptance.....	8
5. Revisions.....	8
6. Development Team	8
7. Acknowledgements	8

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

1. Introduction

The tender for supply and delivery of Bearing spares at Kusile Power Station will be issued to the market through Eskom Tender Bulletin. This document sets out the method and criteria that will be used to evaluate the tenders that will result from this pre-qualification invite.

2. Supporting Clauses

2.1 Scope

The Technical Evaluation Strategy outlines the tender requirements for supply and delivery of a 5-year contract Bearing spares at Kusile Power Station

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

2.1.2 Applicability

This document shall apply throughout Eskom Holdings Limited Divisions

2.1.3 Effective date

This document will be effective from the date of its authorisation

2.2 Normative/Informative References

N/A

2.2.1 Normative

- [1] ISO 9001 Quality Management Systems
- [2] National Environmental Management Waste Act No 26 of 2014.
- [3] Kusile Power Station Waste Management Work Instruction (240-105776552)
- [4] Occupational Health and Safety & Regulations Act No 85 of 1993.
- [5] 240-102008506 Kusile Power Station Maintenance spares strategy for coal handling plant
- [6] 240-83797737 In- Service monitoring of Lubricating oils and Hydraulic fluids
- [7] 240-111387470 Kusile Power Station Mixed Ash Spares Strategy

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

2.2.2 Informative

- [1] 414-32-C&IM-SP: Kusile Maintenance User Requirement Specification
- [2] 32 – 726: S.H.E. Requirements for the Eskom Procurement and Supply Chain Management process

2.3 Definitions

Definition	Explanation
Contractor	Service provider contracted for supplying specific service to Eskom, Kusile Power Station
Employer	Kusile Power Station
Control Disclosure	Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary)

2.4 Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Explanation
B-BBEE	Broad Base Black Economic Empowerment
SD&L	Supplier Development and Localisation
TES	Technical Evaluation Strategy
TET	Technical Evaluation Team

2.5 Roles and Responsibilities

Compiler	The document compiler is responsible for ensuring that this document is up-to-date and that this document is not a duplication of an existing documentation, regarding the document’s objectives and content
Functional Responsibility (BOP Maintenance Manager)	The Functional Responsible Person shall determine if the document is fit for purpose before the document is submitted for authorisation.
Authoriser (Maintenance Manager)	The document authoriser is a duly delegated person with the responsibility to review the document for alignment to business strategy, policy, objectives and requirements. He/she shall authorise the release and application of the document

2.6 Process for Monitoring

N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

2.7 Related/Supporting Documents

N/A

3. Tender Evaluation Criteria Strategy

To be declared technically acceptable. The tenderers must achieve a minimum threshold of 70%. The evaluation of tenders will be based on the tenderer's ability to meet the requirements specified in the scope of works. A weighted score card approach will be used to evaluate the tenders against the Employer's requirements. The following scoring method will be used:

3.1 Technical Evaluation Criteria

Item	Requirement	Weight
1	<p>Submit proof of previous successfully executed supply and delivery of Bearing spares within the last 5 years in Eskom or related Industry</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submits five (5) or more proof of completed order (30%) • Submit three (3) proof of completed order (15%) • Submit two (2) proof of completed order (10%) <p><i>Proof must be submitted in the form of Purchase Orders and Delivery Notes.</i></p>	30%
2	<p>Submit a detailed methodology/method statement of how the tenderer shall supply and deliver the order to specification as per SOW, handle defective materials/equipment, premature failures of components and perform quality verifications.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The submitted detailed methodology covers entire scope of work and meet technical requirement(s). (10%) • The submitted methodology contains sufficiently detailed with minor omissions, meets technical specification and acceptable technical risks identified. Submitted with major gaps and major risks identified. (5%) • No methodology submitted. (0%) <p><i>Proof must be submitted in the form of Method Statement signed off by Quality Manager</i></p>	10%
3	<p>Tenderer to submit Quality Control Plans (QCPs/ITPs) used for supplying and delivery of Bearing spares which have been approved reviewed and signed off.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Three (3) or more approved and signed off QCPs for previous work submitted related to scope of work. (20%) • Three (3) new QCPs for this scope work. (10%) 	20%

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No QCPs submitted. (0%) <p><i>Proof must be submitted in the form of approved QCPs approved by Quality Engineer</i></p>	
4	<p>Provide detailed technical datasheets of equipment/items as per the Scope of Work.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide three (3) or more detailed product specific technical datasheets of equipment. (20%) Provide two (2) product generic datasheet. (5%) No datasheets submitted. (0%). 	20%
5	<p>Provide Transportation Methodology</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide Method statement for handling of bearings during transportation. (10%) No Method Statement submitted (0%) 	10%
6	<p>Provide a Delivery Lead Time Schedule</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide a program with timelines for delivery of bearings for 1-3 weeks (10%) Provide a program with timelines for delivery of bearings for 3-6 weeks (5%) Provide a program with timelines for delivery of bearings for 6 weeks plus (0%) 	10%
TOTAL		100%

3.2 TET Members

TET number	TET Member Name	Designation
TET1		
TET2		
TET3		
TET4		

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

3.3 TET Member Responsibilities

TET number	Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria	Designation
TET1	Evaluate 1-5	
TET2	Evaluate 1-5	
TET3	Evaluate 1-5	
TET4	Evaluate 1-5	

3.4 Foreseen Acceptable / Unacceptable Qualification

3.4.1 Risks

3.4.1.1 Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1	No alternative tender will be acceptable at tender stage

3.4.1.2 Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Exclusions of scope specified in the employers requirements
2.	Unavailability of returnable for verification of compliance

3.4.2 Exceptions/Conditions

3.4.2.1 Acceptance Technical Exceptions/Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	Deviation without technical qualification not accepted

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

4. Acceptance

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Full Name and Surname	Designation

5. Revisions

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
March 2025	0		First Issue

6. Development Team

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

Eskom Generation

7. Acknowledgements

N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE