

Addendum No 02

RFP 149/2026: PROVISION OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AS A TRANSACTION ADVISOR TO UNDERTAKE A BANKABLE FEASIBILITY STUDY, COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS, FINANCIAL MODELLING, PROJECT PREPARATION, AND TO DEVELOP PROCUREMENT DOCUMENTS FOR PERFORMANCE-BASED CONTRACT (PBC) PROJECTS FOR NON-REVENUE WATER (NRW) REDUCTION IN THEMBELIHLE LOCAL MUNICIPALITY AND KAI GARIB LOCAL MUNICIPALITY

QUESTIONS ARISING AND RESPONSES PROVIDED DURING AND AFTER THE COMPULSORY BRIEFING MEETING OF 11 SEPTEMBER 2026

Second Q&A Update: 02 October 2026

Item no.	Category	Question / Enquiry / Query	Answer / Response / Clarification
1.	Technical / Compliance	We are finalising our consortium strategy and would particularly appreciate confirmation on the following outstanding points: - Whether the required relevant NRW project experience totalling R25 million may be demonstrated collectively across members of a JV/consortium, or whether it must be met by a single lead bidder/entity. - Where a consortium is proposed, how DBSA expects the respective project references, specialist experience and contractual values of the members to be presented for evaluation. - Whether any formal Questions & Answers / clarification document or addendum arising from the 11 September briefing has now been issued or is expected shortly. – The updated tender document has been loaded on the 16th of December 2026	- The required relevant NRW project experience totaling R25 million may be (is to be) demonstrated collectively across members of a JV/consortium, instead of being met by a single lead bidder/entity. - The DBSA expects the collective project references, specialist experience and contractual values of the members to be presented for evaluation in the relevant Returnable Schedules – i.e. Schedule T2.2.7 and Schedule T2.2.8. - This document is the first Questions & Answers / clarification document or addendum to be issued, pursuant to the 11 September 2026 briefing.
2.	Technical / Eligibility	Could you please confirm specifically how the professional-fee experience threshold should be treated where a bidder participates as a formal JV, Consortium or Association?	Where a bidder participates as a formal JV, Consortium or Association, the professional-fee experience threshold is the combined professional fees of the Lead Tenderer and Entities in JV, Consortium, Association, etc., over the past ten (10) years.

RFP 149/2026: PROVISION OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AS A TRANSACTION ADVISOR TO UNDERTAKE A BANKABLE FEASIBILITY STUDY, COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS, FINANCIAL MODELLING, PROJECT PREPARATION, AND TO DEVELOP PROCUREMENT DOCUMENTS FOR PERFORMANCE-BASED CONTRACT (PBC) PROJECTS FOR NON-REVENUE WATER (NRW) REDUCTION IN THEMBELIHLE LOCAL MUNICIPALITY AND KAI GARIB LOCAL MUNICIPALITY

QUESTIONS ARISING AND RESPONSES PROVIDED DURING AND AFTER THE COMPULSORY BRIEFING MEETING OF 11 SEPTEMBER 2026

Second Q&A Update: 02 October 2026

Item no.	Category	Question / Enquiry / Query	Answer / Response / Clarification
3.	Technical / Eligibility	In particular, where the lead bidder and participating entities each have qualifying NRW / performance-based contracting transaction-advisory assignments, may the applicable professional-services fees of those qualifying projects be aggregated across the formally constituted JV / Consortium / Association for purposes of meeting and scoring the R25 million experience threshold?	The applicable professional-services fees of those qualifying projects (successfully completed projects or project phases) will be aggregated across the formally constituted JV / Consortium / Association for purposes of meeting and scoring the R25 million experience threshold.
4.	Compliance	Consortium formation and compulsory briefing attendance Clause C.2.1 states that only unincorporated joint ventures, consortia or associations are eligible to tender, while clause C.2.7 requires attendance at the compulsory briefing to be recorded in the name of the tendering entity. Please confirm whether prospective consortium members may attend the briefing under their individual company names and subsequently constitute the final tendering consortium, or whether the exact final consortium/JV name must appear on the attendance register on 11 September. If the latter, please advise what minimum evidence of consortium formation is required at the briefing stage.	Prospective consortium members may attend the briefing under their individual company names and subsequently constitute the final tendering consortium. It is not required for the exact final consortium/JV name to appear on the attendance register on 11 September 2026 briefing session. However, the name of the Lead Tenderer and consortium / JV members must appear on the attendance register of the 11 September 2026 briefing session.
5.	Technical / Compliance	Applicable experience period: Clause C.2.1 and the functionality scoring criteria refer to qualifying experience during the past ten (10) years. However, Schedule T2.2.7 refers to qualifying experience during the last seven (7) years, while the continuation table on the following page again refers to ten (10) years.	The relevant experience is in the past 10 years. The text of the qualifying experience in Schedule T2.2.7 was corrected via Addendum No 1 which was issued on 16 September 2026 to read "in the past 10 years". Please refer to the updated Tender document issued as per Addendum No 1 on 16 September 2026.
6.	Technical / Compliance	Please confirm whether the applicable experience period is seven (7) years or ten (10) years.	The applicable experience period is ten (10) years.
7.	Technical / Eligibility	Aggregation of qualifying professional fees across consortium members. Schedule T2.2.7 indicates that the experience of each consortium/JV/association entity must be submitted and	That is correct.

RFP 149/2026: PROVISION OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AS A TRANSACTION ADVISOR TO UNDERTAKE A BANKABLE FEASIBILITY STUDY, COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS, FINANCIAL MODELLING, PROJECT PREPARATION, AND TO DEVELOP PROCUREMENT DOCUMENTS FOR PERFORMANCE-BASED CONTRACT (PBC) PROJECTS FOR NON-REVENUE WATER (NRW) REDUCTION IN THEMBELIHLE LOCAL MUNICIPALITY AND KAI GARIB LOCAL MUNICIPALITY

QUESTIONS ARISING AND RESPONSES PROVIDED DURING AND AFTER THE COMPULSORY BRIEFING MEETING OF 11 SEPTEMBER 2026

Second Q&A Update: 02 October 2026

Item no.	Category	Question / Enquiry / Query	Answer / Response / Clarification
		that the experience table may include experience across all tenderer team entities.	
8.	Technical / Eligibility	Please confirm whether the minimum R25 million threshold in applicable professional fees may be satisfied by aggregating qualifying assignments undertaken by different entities within the tendering consortium, or whether one consortium member must individually satisfy the R25 million threshold.	As clearly stated in the Tender document, the minimum R25 million threshold in applicable professional fees may be satisfied by aggregating qualifying assignments undertaken by different entities within the tendering consortium.
9.	Technical / Eligibility	Key-expert functionality scoring: The detailed functionality table appears to contain inconsistencies between the stated maximum points and the rating scores for certain experts. For example, the Civil Engineer (NRW) is allocated a maximum of 10 points, but the highest rating shown is 5 points; the Legal and Institutional/OD experts each show a maximum of 5 points, but a highest rating of 2.5 points; and the Municipal Finance/Infrastructure Investment Analysis Expert shows a maximum of 7.5 points, while the Good and Very Good ratings are shown as 9 and 10 points respectively.	The inconsistencies were corrected in the updated Tender document via Addendum No 1, which was issued on 16 September 2026. Please refer to the updated Tender document issued as per Addendum No 1 on 16 September 2026.
10.	Technical / Eligibility	Please confirm the correct maximum and rating scores for each key expert and whether a corrected scoring schedule or addendum will be issued.	Please refer to the updated Tender document issued as per Addendum No 1 on 16 September 2026.