Eskom	Standard	Technology

Title: **TECHNICAL EVALUATION STANDARD FOR AIR CORE REACTORS**

Unique Identifier:	**240-89963721**
Alternative Reference Number:	**n/a**
Area of Applicability:	**Engineering**
Documentation Type:	**Standard**
Revision:	**1**
Total Pages:	**6**
Next Review Date:	**March 2020**
Disclosure Classification:	**Public**

Compiled by	Approved by	Authorized by
Keri Pickster	**Sidwell Mtetwa**	**Bheki Ntshangase**
Engineer (HVDC & FACTS)	**Corporate Specialist (Transformers & Reactors)**	**Senior Manager (HV Plant Engineering)**
Date: 10/03/2015	Date: 16/03/2015	Date: 16/3/2015

Supported by SCOT/SC

Bheki Ntshangase

HV Plant SC Chairperson

Date: 16/3/2015

PCM Reference: **240-44509543**

SCOT Study Committee Number/Name: **High Voltage Plant Engineering**

Content

1. Introduction

This document is aimed at outlining the standard to be adhered to when performing technical tender evaluations for tender submissions for air core reactors for any application. This document was compiled in accordance with [1].

2. Supporting clauses

2.1 Scope

This document covers the technical evaluation criteria to be used during tender technical evaluations.

2.1.1 Purpose

This document is in place to ensure that the technical evaluations on air core reactors is carried out according to the requirements of Eskom Holdings SOC (Ltd)..

2.1.2 Applicability

This document shall apply throughout Eskom Holdings Limited Divisions.

2.2 Normative/informative references

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

[1] 32-1034, Eskom procurement and supply chain management.

[2] 240-4258702, Specification for air core reactors.

[3] 240-56030435, outdoor ceramic station post insulators for systems with nominal voltages up to 765kV specification

[4] 240-79707491, technical evaluation standard for outdoor ceramic station post insulators for systems with nominal voltages up to 765kV

[5] ISO 9001, Quality Management Systems

2.2.2 Informative

[6] 32-9, Definition of Eskom documents.

[7] 32-1034, Eskom Procurement and Supply Management Procedure

[8] 32-644, Eskom documentation management standard

[9] 474-65, Operating manual of the Steering Committee of Technologies (SCOT)

[10] QM58, Supplier contract quality requirements specification

2.3 Definitions

2.3.1 General

Definition	Description
Eskom Assessment Representative(s)	The person(s) appointed by Eskom to perform evaluation of tender submissions in line with Eskom requirements.

Definition	Description
Sliding scale points stystem	Refers to allocating maximum points to the tenderers whose value in question is higher according to the most superior performance amongst others and proportionally deducting points from those tenderers who are lower than that reference value.

2.3.2 Disclosure classification

Public domain: published in any public forum without constraints (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

2.4 Abbreviations

Not applicable.

2.5 Roles and responsibilities

The Air Core Reactor Care Group Coordinator must ensure that this document is updated, renewed and current at all times.

2.6 Process for monitoring

This process will be monitored and governed by the procurement process.

2.7 Related/supporting documents

Not applicable.

3. Technical evaluation procedure

The technical evaluation criteria are compiled according to Eskom's procurement procedure [7], where technical evaluation accounts for a score of 40 points in the total evaluation process and is evaluated on a point deduction basis. There are two qualifying levels:

Critical functionality: These are the criteria, which are critical and non-negotiable and will result in a point deduction of 26 points, if not met.

Scored criteria: These are criteria, which will result in a point deduction of 15 points, if not met, and 10 points, if partially met.

The evaluating representatives will allocate scores to each tender submission, based on the scoring matrix in Annex A.

The total score will be taken into consideration when awarding the tender, with a minimum score of 20 points indicating technical acceptance with the overall functionality threshold set at 80 points.

4. Authorization

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name and surname	Designation
Bheki Ntshangase	Senior Manager (HV Plant Engineering)
Sidwell Mtetwa	Corporate Specialist (Transformers & Reactors)
Teboho Ramorapeli	HV Plant Manager (North Grid)
Vuyile Kula	HV Plant Manager (South Grid)
Bongani Phewa	HV Plant Manager (Central Grid North)

Name and surname	Designation
Rudzani Matuomu	HV Plant Manager (Central Grid South)
Lynn Appollis Laurent	HV Plant Manager (Western Grid)
Busani Ngcamu	HV Plant Manager (Free State Grid)
Kooben Munsamy	HV Plant Manager (North East Grid)
Moses Titus	HV Plant Manager (Apollo Grid)
Sipho Lushozi	HV Plant Manager (East Grid)
Naresh Ramparsad	HV Plant Manager (Northern Cape Grid)
Modumaele Nthongoa	HV Plant Manager (North West Grid)

5. Revisions

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
March 2015	1	K Pickster	New document required for air core reactors

6. Development team

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

- Keri Pickster
- Mpho Moiane

7. Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Annex A – Technical evaluation criteria for air core reactors

Specification	240-4258702 Specification for air core reactors

1. Level 1 – Tender deliverables and Mandatory Technical Requirements

Activity		**Clause**	**Points deduction**	**Qualification level**
1.1)	Compliance with reactor ratings	AB schedules	-26	Critical
1.2)	Compliance with post insulator specification	AB schedules	-26	Critical
1.3)	Has every specified requirement been included in the tender price?	AB schedules	-26	Critical
1.4)	Is all information supplied in English?		-26	Critical
1.5)	All tests offered (at correct test levels)	3.10	-26	Critical
1.6)	Drawings (formal prelim design drawings)	3.11	-26	Critical
1.7)	Reference list with comparable references, including contact details	3.20	-26	Critical

Level 2 – Scoring/Rating on discretionary items (only on submissions that pass Level 1)

Activity		**Clause**	**Weight**	**Qualification level**
2.1)	Interchangeability	3.2.2	-10: Partially compliant -15: Not compliant	Scored
2.2)	Acoustic levels	3.5	-10: Partially compliant -15: Not compliant	Scored
2.3)	Instruction/maintenance manuals	3.11	-10: Partially compliant -15: Not compliant	Scored
2.4)	Design safety margin	3.17	-10: Partially compliant -15: Not compliant	Scored
2.5)	Overload capability demonstrated	3.16	-10: Partially compliant -15: Not compliant	Scored
2.6)	Short circuit capability (calculations provided)	4.3, 5.3, 6.3, 7.3...as applicable	-10: Partially compliant -15: Not compliant	Scored
2.7)	Temperature rise calculations and measurement methods	4.1, 5.1, 6.1, 7.1...as applicable	-10: Partially compliant -15: Not compliant	Scored
2.8)	Are deviations declared and submitted?	Deviation schedule, 3.19	-10: Partially compliant -15: Not compliant	Scored