 Eskom	Strategy	Engineering
---	----------	-------------

Title: **Tender Technical Evaluation
Strategy for Supply and Deliver
Gaskets to Matla Power Station**

Unique Identifier:

Alternative Reference Number: **N/A**

Area of Applicability: **Engineering**

Documentation Type: **Strategy**


Revision: **1**

Total Pages: **10**

Next Review Date: **N/A**

Disclosure Classification: **CONTROLLED
DISCLOSURE**


Compiled by



**AMOGELANG MAGILE
TURBINE ENGINEERING
SYSTEMS ENGINEER**

Date: 12/06/2024


Functional Responsibility



**ZAIN KARODIA
TURBINE ENGINEERING
MANAGE**

Date: 12/06/2024

Authorised by



**LINDOKUHLE NGOBESSE
ENGINEERING GROUP
MANAGER**

Date: 13/06/2024

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES.....	3
2.1 SCOPE	3
2.1.1 Purpose	3
2.1.2 Applicability.....	3
2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES	3
2.2.1 Normative	4
2.2.2 Informative.....	4
2.3 DEFINITIONS	4
2.3.1 Classification	4
2.4 ABBREVIATIONS.....	4
2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES.....	4
2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING	4
2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS	4
2.8 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD	4
2.9 TET MEMBERS.....	4
2.10 MANADATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA.....	5
2.11 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA.....	6
2.12 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES	8
2.13 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS	9
2.13.1 Risks.....	9
2.13.2 Exceptions / Conditions	9
3. AUTHORISATION.....	10
4. REVISIONS	10
5. DEVELOPMENT TEAM	10
6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	10

TABLES

Table 1: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria.....	5
Table 2: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria.....	6
Table 3: TET Member Responsibilities.....	8
Table 4: Acceptable Technical Risks.....	9
Table 5: Unacceptable Technical Risks	9
Table 6: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions.....	9
Table 7: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions	9

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

1. INTRODUCTION

Matla Power Station is intending to request *Contractors/Suppliers* to tender for supplying and delivering Gaskets as per Scope- **MET-053740**. The spares are to be supplied and delivered for a period of five (5) years.

The evaluation of the of the tender is based on the tenderer's ability to meet both mandatory and qualitative requirements specified for the scope of work- **MET-053740**. A weighted score card approach will be used to evaluate the tenders against the *Employer's* requirements.

2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES

2.1 SCOPE

This purpose of this document is to provide technical evaluation strategy for the scope of work- **MET-053740**, to supply and deliver Bearings to Matla Power Station for a period of Five (5) years. This document will cover the various aspects that will be evaluated and scored by the Technical Evaluation Team (TET) to complete the technical evaluation of the enquiry. The team members are listed and appointed in this document along with their responsibilities. The document also describes the acceptable and unacceptable risks and qualifications and/or conditions.

The Technical Evaluation Strategy will define the following technical evaluation criteria:

- Mandatory Evaluation Criteria
- Qualitative Evaluation Criteria
- TET Member Responsibilities
- Acceptable / Unacceptable Qualifications

Once the Technical Evaluation Strategy is authorised no changes will be made to the evaluation criteria without appropriate authorisation.

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

2.1.2 Applicability

This document is applicable to Matla Power Station

2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

2.2.1 Normative

- [1] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [2] ISO 9001 Quality Management Systems
- [3] 240-12238652 Supplier Quality Management List of Tender Returnable Documents
- [4] 240-105658000 Supplier Quality Management Specification

2.2.2 Informative

- [5] Scope of work – **MET-053740**

2.3 DEFINITIONS

No Definitions required.

2.3.1 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

2.4 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
TET	Technical Evaluation Team

2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

As per 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

Not Applicable

2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

Scope - **MET-053740**: Supply and Deliver Gaskets to Matla Power Station

2.8 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 70% on qualitative part Technical Evaluation Criteria will disqualify tenderer.

2.9 TET MEMBERS

The TET members to be appointed but the DOA.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

2.10 MANADATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 1: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria		Meet (YES / NO)	Motivation & Comments
1.	Declaration of compliance to the full scope of work	The tenderer provides a declaration letter signed by the company representative indicating compliance to the full scope of work.	The contractor must demonstrate: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Compliance to scope of work • Intent to undertake full scope of work MET-053740 Compliance to standards and specifications if applicable
	Result <i>Note: A response of "NO" to any of the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria would result in a "NO".</i>		

2.11 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 2: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

Qualitative Evaluation								
		Source of evidence/returnable	Minimum requirement	Weight (Change scope please)	Nonresponsive	Unacceptable risk	Acceptable Risk	Fully compliant
2.11.1. Spares Delivery times/Lead time.	Submit the manufacturers technical brochures (Technical datasheets) for EACH ITEM as per scope MET-053740. Total Items: 48	Submit the lead time/ delivery time for EACH ITEM that is in the scope MET-053740. Attach OEM communication where applicable.	Completed Leadtime schedule for all 48 Items.	30%	Does not provide the letter of agreement with lead times. Or Average delivery time greater than 8 weeks.	Average gaskets delivery time of 8 weeks.	Average gaskets delivery time of 5 - 7 weeks.	Average gaskets delivery time of 1 - 4 weeks.
2.11.2. Technical Documentation.	Submit the manufacturers technical brochures (Technical datasheets) for EACH ITEM as per scope MET-053740.	Technical brochures/datasheets for each of the gaskets required by the scope.	Submission of technical datasheets for all the Gaskets required by the scope MET-053740.	40%	Nonresponsive OR Datasheets submitted are less than 40%.	40%-59% Datasheets submitted.	60% -79% Datasheets submitted.	80%-100% Datasheets submitted.

2.11.3. Company Experience on the supply of gaskets.	Experience and Expertise.	Submit a list of previous purchase order number and/or proof of supply contracts of gaskets to the power station or Engineering industry. The list shall indicate quantities of gaskets previously supplied.	Submit Purchase Order number and/or proof of past contracts indicating quantities of gaskets previously supplied.	30%	Order number and/or supply contracts submitted indicating supply of less than 20 gaskets.	Order number and/or supply contracts submitted indicating supply of gaskets between 20 -30.	Order number and/or supply contracts submitted indicating supply of gaskets between 31 - 40.	Order number and/or supply contracts submitted indicating supply of 41 or more gaskets.
Score				100%				

2.12 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

Table 3: TET Member Responsibilities

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2
1	X	X
Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2
1	X	X
2	X	X
3	X	X

2.13 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

2.13.1 Risks

Table 4: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1. None	

Table 5: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	
2.	

2.13.2 Exceptions / Conditions

Table 6: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1. None	

Table 7: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1. Material not meeting the Eskom standards	
2. Unsafe work practices	

3. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation	Signature
Zain Karodia	Turbine Engineering Line Manager	
Lindokuhle Ngobese	Engineering Manager	

4. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
June 2024	0	Amogelang Magile	Technical Evaluation Strategy for supplying and delivering gaskets to Matla Power Station for period of five (5) years.

5. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

- Amogelang Magile

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

None

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.