

# Strategy

Engineering

Title:

**Tender Technical Evaluation** Strategy for Camden Power Station Supply and **Construction of Human Resources Parkhome Offices** 

Unique Identifier: 22G-T2871

Alternative Reference Number: N/A

Area of Applicability: **Engineering** 

Documentation Type: Strategy

1.0 Revision:

12 Total Pages:

Next Review Date: N/A

Disclosure Classification: CONTROLLED

DISCLOSURE

Compiled by

**Functional Responsibility** 

Authorised by

N. Shozi

**Civil Engineer** 

O Tilodi

Manager Auxiliary

Engineering

M Mathabatha

**Engineering Manager** 

Date: 2025/06/08

Date: 2025/05/12

Date: 15/05/2025

Revision:1.0 Page: 2 of 12

## **CONTENTS**

|   | Page |
|---|------|
| 1. INTRODUCTION   | 3    |
| 2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES                                   | 3    |
| 2.1 SCOPE   | 3    |
| 2.1.1 Purpose   |      |
| 2.1.2 Applicability                                     | 3    |
| 2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES                    |      |
| 2.2.1 Normative   |      |
| 2.2.2 Informative                                       |      |
| 2.3 DEFINITIONS   |      |
| 2.3.1 Classification                                    |      |
| 2.4 ABBREVIATIONS                                       |      |
| 2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING                              |      |
| 2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS                        |      |
| 3. TENDER TECHNCIAL EVALAUTION STRATEGY                 |      |
| 3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD                      |      |
| 3.2 TET MEMBERS   |      |
| 3.3 MANADATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA            |      |
| 3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA           |      |
| 3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES                         |      |
| 3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS   | 11   |
| 3.6.1 Risks   |      |
| 3.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions                           | 11   |
| 4. AUTHORISATION  | 12   |
| 5. REVISIONS  | 12   |
| 6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM                                     | 12   |
| 7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS                                     | 12   |
|   |      |
| TABLES  |      |
| Table 1: Core TET Members                               | 6    |
| Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria        | 7    |
| Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria      |      |
| Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities                    |      |
| Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks                     |      |
| Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks                   |      |
| Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions   |      |
| Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions |      |

Unique Identifier: **229-T2871**Revision:**1.0** 

Page: **3 of 12** 

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Camden Power Station identified a need to establish an additional accommodation for HR department due to shortage of office space.

This document outlines the strategy and criteria that is to be used to evaluate the technical eligibility of various service providers and to determine which service providers best identify and include all items required to form a complete, reliable, fit for purpose operating works, which complies with all the requirements as stipulated in the works information.

### 2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES

#### 2.1 SCOPE

The scope of this document is to capture the tender technical evaluation strategy for the *Camden Power Station HR Department parkhome* project.

### 2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

#### 2.1.2 Applicability

This document applies to the Tender Evaluation Team for the *Camden Power Station HR Department parkhome* project.

#### 2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

#### 2.2.1 Normative

- [1] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [2] 32-1034: Eskom Procurement Policy

#### 2.2.2 Informative

[3] Eskom Specification QM-58: Supplier Contract Quality Requirements Specification

#### 2.3 DEFINITIONS

### 2.3.1 Classification

**Controlled Disclosure:** Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

Unique Identifier: **229-T2871**Revision:**1.0** 

Page: 4 of 12

#### 2.4 ABBREVIATIONS

| Abbreviation | Description                             |
|--------------|---|
| SHEQ         | Safety, Health, Environment and Quality |
| TET          | Technical Evaluation Team               |

#### 2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

As per 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

#### 2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

N/A

#### 2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

None

#### 3. TENDER TECHNCIAL EVALAUTION STRATEGY

#### 3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

A weighted score-card approach is used to evaluate the technical compliance of the tenders against the specifications. Tenderers need to have a weighted score of 70% overall or more to technically qualify for further evaluation.

The technical criteria and weighting is broken down as follows:

a) Engineering: 70%

b) Project management: 30%

The evaluation strategy for Planning, Safety Health and Environmental as well as Quality is not included in this document as it does not form part of the Engineering scope.

The evaluation of the tender submission will be based on the tenderer's ability to meet the Engineering requirements. A weighted score card approach will be used to evaluate the tender submission against the specifications and Employer's requirements.

Unique Identifier: 229-T2871 Revision:1.0 Page: 5 of 12

The scoring method will be as follows:

| SCORE | PERCENTAGE | DESCRIPTION  |  |  |
|-------|------------|--|--|--|
| 5     | 100        | COMPLIANT  |  |  |
|       |            | <ul> <li>Meet technical requirement(s) AND;</li> </ul>   |  |  |
|       |            | <ul> <li>No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements.</li> </ul>                               |  |  |
| 4     | 80         | COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS   |  |  |
|       |            | <ul> <li>Meet technical requirement(s) with;</li> </ul>  |  |  |
|       |            | <ul> <li>Acceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR;</li> </ul>   |  |  |
|       |            | <ul> <li>Acceptable exceptions AND/OR;</li> </ul>  |  |  |
|       |            | Acceptable conditions.   |  |  |
| 2     | 40         | NON-COMPLIANT  |  |  |
|       |            | <ul> <li>Does not meet technical requirement(s) AND/OR;</li> <li>Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR;</li> </ul> |  |  |
|       |            | <ul> <li>Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR;</li> </ul>  |  |  |
|       |            | Unacceptable conditions.   |  |  |
| 0     | 0          | TOTALLY DEFICIENT OR NON-RESPONSIVE  |  |  |

The evaluation scores will be weighted as follows according to disciplines:

| Engineering (70%)                           |       |
|---|-------|
| Civil Engineering                           | 70%   |
| Project Management (30%)                    |       |
| Overall minimum threshold for qualification | (70%) |

Revision:**1.0**Page: **6 of 12** 

## **3.2 TET MEMBERS**

**Table 1: Core TET Members** 

| TET number : Section to be evaluated | TET Member Name  | Designation           |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| TET 1: Civil Engineering             | Nkanyiso Shozi   | Civil System Engineer |
| TET 2: Civil Engineering             | Skhumbuzo Nkosi  | Civil System Engineer |
| TET 3: HR Department                 | Njabuliso Maseko | HR Practitioner       |

Revision:1.0 Page: 7 of 12

### 3.3 MANADATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

# **Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria**

|    | Mandatory Technical Criteria Description  | Reference to Technical Specification / Tender<br>Returnable   | Motivation for use of Criteria |
|----|---|---|--------------------------------|
| 1. | Company experience (track record) – parkhome construction as the main contractor: | -Tenderer must submit appointment letters and completion certificates (signed by both parties i.e. employer and the contractor) of their (2) previous parkhome construction contracts | Capability Constraint          |
| 2. | Proof of NHRBC registration   | -Tenderer must submit NHBRC certificate   | Capability Constraint          |

Revision:1.0 Page: 8 of 12

## 3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

**Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria** 

| QUALITATIVE<br>TECHNICAL<br>CRITERIA<br>DESCRIPTION    | REFERENCE TO TECHNICAL<br>SPECIFICATION / TENDER RETURNABLE   | CRITERIA<br>WEIGHTING<br>(%) | CRITERIA<br>SUB<br>WEIGHTING<br>(%) |                  | SCORI  | ESCALE   |   |
|--|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|--|--|---|
|  | TOTAL   |                              | 100                                 | FLOOR            | KICK IN  | AVERAGE  | CEILING   |
| CRITERIA 2:  |   |                              |                                     | 0=0%             | 2=40%  | 4=80%  | 5=100%  |
| 2.1 Key Resource<br>Requirements for<br>the site team: | -Tenderer must submit CV copies of their proposed site key personnel demonstrating experience in similar projects. The site manager must have a minimum of 5 years' experience -Tenderer must submit an organogram of their proposed site team in relation to the project |                              | 50                                  | No<br>submission | CV's of key personnel with no experienc e in similar scope and no organogra m submitted        | CV's of key personnel with 5ys experience in similar scope but no organogram submitted     | CV's of key personnel with 5ys experienc e in similar scope and organogra m submitted   |
| 2.2 Method<br>Statement                                | -Tenderer must submit a detailed method statement demonstrating the construction methodology approach.  |                              | 35                                  | No<br>submission | Method<br>statement<br>submitted but<br>not detailed<br>with no<br>construction<br>methodology | Detailed<br>method<br>statement<br>submitted but<br>with no<br>construction<br>methodology | Detailed<br>method<br>statement<br>submitted but<br>with<br>construction<br>methodology |

Revision:**1.0**Page: **9 of 12** 

| QUALITATIVE<br>TECHNICAL<br>CRITERIA<br>DESCRIPTION | REFERENCE TO TECHNICAL<br>SPECIFICATION / TENDER<br>RETURNABLE                                  | CRITERIA<br>WEIGHTING<br>(%) | CRITERIA<br>SUB<br>WEIGHTING<br>(%) | SCORE SCA                                     | ALE   |   |   |
|---|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
|   | TOTAL   |                              |                                     | FLOOR   | KICK IN   | AVERAGE   | CEILING   |
|   |   |                              |                                     | 0=0%  | 2=40%   | 4=80%   | 5=100%  |
| three Schedule:                                     | -Tenderer must submit an activity schedule/ programme demonstrating the construction activities |                              | 15                                  | Totally<br>Deficient or<br>Non-<br>responsive | Program submitted but not detailed to show critical path. | Program<br>submitted and<br>detailed and<br>show critical<br>path | Program<br>submitted and<br>comprehensive<br>ly detailed and<br>show critical<br>path |

Revision:**1.0**Page: **10 of 12** 

## 3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

**Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities** 

| Mandatory<br>Criteria Number   | TET 1 | TET 2 | TET 3 |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1                              | X     | X     | X     |
| 2                              | X     | Х     | X     |
| Qualitative<br>Criteria Number | TET 1 | TET 2 | TET 2 |
| 2.1                            | X     | X     | X     |
| 2.2                            | X     | Х     | Х     |
| 2.3                            | X     | X     | X     |

Revision:1.0 Page: 11 of 12

# 3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

## 3.6.1 Risks

**Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks** 

| Risk | Description |
|------|-------------|
| 1.   | N/A         |

## **Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks**

| Risk | Description |
|------|-------------|
| 1.   | N/A         |

## 3.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions

# **Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions**

| Risk | Description |
|------|-------------|
| 1.   | N/A         |

## **Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions**

| Risk | Description |
|------|-------------|
| 1.   | N/A         |

Unique Identifier: 229-T
Revision: 1.0
Page: 12 of 12

## 4. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

| Name             | Designation           | Signature |
|------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Skhumbuzo Nkosi  | Civil System Engineer | - Stros   |
| Njabuliso Maseko | HR Practitioner       |           |
|                  |                       | Masero    |

## 5. REVISIONS

| Date     | Rev. | Compiler | Remarks        |
|----------|------|----------|----------------|
| May 2025 | 1.0  | N. Shozi | Final document |

### **6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM**

N/A

# 7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

N/A