Eskom	Strategy	Generation Engineering

Title: **Conveyor Idlers National Contract Technical Evaluation Strategy**

Unique Identifier:	**474-12441**
Alternative Reference Number:	**N/A**
Area of Applicability:	**Generation Engineering**
Documentation Type:	**Strategy**
Revision:	**2**
Total Pages:	**7**
Next Review Date:	**N/A**
Disclosure Classification:	**CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

Compiled by	Functional Responsibility	Authorised by
……..	……..	……..
Date: ……	Date: ……	Date: ……

CONTENTS

TABLES

1. INTRODUCTION

This document outlines the Technical Evaluation Criteria that will be followed during the technical tender evaluations to be undertaken for the manufacture and supply of conveyor idlers.

2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES

2.1 SCOPE

The scope of this evaluation criteria is only limited to the technical evaluation aspect of the submitted tenders.

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this document is to outline the technical requirements for the evaluation of the tenders for the manufacture and supply of conveyor idlers. It also outlines the scoring method that will be used.

2.1.2 Applicability

This document shall apply to Eskom Generation Division.

2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Not applicable.

2.2.1 Normative

[1] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

[2] 474-12440 Conveyor Idler National Contract Technical Scope of Work

[3] SANS 1313-1, SANS 1313-2 and SANS 1313-3

2.2.2 Informative

Not Applicable.

2.3 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
BMH	Bulk Materials Handling
SANS	South African National Standards
TET	Technical Evaluation Team

2.4 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

As per 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure.

3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY

3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 70%.

3.2 TET MEMBERS

Table 1: TET Members

TET number	TET Member Name	Designation
TET 1		
TET 2		
TET 3		

3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
1.	Manufacturing certification for conveyor idlers.	SANS 1313 Certificate. -SANS 1313-1 and SANS 1313-3 for troughed belt conveyor idlers. -SANS 1313-1, SANS 1313-2 and SANS 1313-3 for link suspended idlers and fixed-form suspended idlers	To ensure that conveyor idlers are manufactured according to standard.

Note: SANS certificate must be for the factory that manufactures conveyor idlers in South Africa.

3.4 QUALITATIVE EVALUATION CRITERIA

The followings scoring system will be used:

Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria Scoring

Score	(%)	Definition
5	100	**COMPLIANT** • Meet technical requirement(s) AND; • No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements.
4	80	**COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS** Meet technical requirement(s) with; • Acceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; • Acceptable exceptions AND/OR; • Acceptable conditions.
2	40	**NON-COMPLIANT** • Does not meet technical requirement(s) AND/OR; • Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR; • Unacceptable conditions.
0	0	**TOTALLY DEFICIENT OR NON-RESPONSIVE**
Note 1: The scoring table does not allow for scoring of 1 and 3. **Note 2:** Foreseen acceptable and unacceptable risk(s), exceptions and conditions shall be unambiguously defined in the relevant Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy.		

Table 4: Qualitative Evaluation Criteria

Evaluation Criteria		Score	
2.1	Manufacturing Process	Description of Manufacturing Quality Control Process	40 %
2.2	Bearing Seals	Description of the bearing sealing technology utilised to prevent dust and water ingress into bearings	30 %
2.3	Quality	Copy of typical test report as per SANS 1313/3	30 %
Total			**100 %**

4. TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

Table 5: TET Member Responsibilities

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4
1	X	X	X	X
Qualitative Criteria Number	**TET 1**	**TET 2**	**TET 3**	**TET 4**
2.1	X	X	X	X
2.2	X	X	X	X
2.3	X	X	X	X

5. FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

5.1 RISKS

Table 6: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	The supplier may not be the manufacturer.

Table 7: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	All other risks are unacceptable.

5.2 EXCEPTIONS / CONDITIONS

Table 8: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	Tenderers may have been re-certified after expiry of their SANS certificates and still awaiting new certificates to be issued by the certification authority. A new certificate must be submitted before tender award.

Table 9: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	All other exceptions are unacceptable.

6. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name & Surname	Designation	Signature

7. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
November 2020	0.1		First Draft prepared for Review Process
December 2020	0.2		Final Draft after Review Process
January 2021	0.3		Evaluation criteria changed, new Final Draft
January 2021	1		Final Document for Authorisation and Publication
August 2025	0.2		Evaluation criteria changed, first Draft for Review
August 2025	0.2		Final Draft after Comments Review Process
August 2025	2		Final Rev 2 Document for Authorisation and Publication

8. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

The following people were involved in the development of this document: