

SECTION 2.2: FUNCTIONALITY EVALUATION CRITERIA

(a) Reference Scoring: A maximum of 100 points will be awarded at the sole discretion of the Municipality's Bid Evaluation Committee based on the information provided and will be split as follows.

CRITERIA	POINTS
1. Experience - Company profile to be provided which consists of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Must be on the letterhead of the company. • Background of what the company does. • How long the company has been operating. • Clients for whom similar work has been done 	60
2. References	40
Total	100

Criteria will be evaluated as follow:

1. Experience (Company profile to be provided as stated above)

1. Experience	Points
a. More than 10 years	60
b. More than 8 – 10 years	40
c. More than 5 - 8 years	20
d. 1 – 5 years	10
e. 0	0
Total	

To qualify for experience points, the Bidder must **submit an appointment letter** with the company profile, which was made out in the name of the bidder for the building of trailers and water tank trailers.

2. References

The Bidder is hereby requested to provide a minimum of **4 contactable references**. The referees must complete, score and sign Form A. The original completed Form A is to be included in the tender documentation. Points for References will be allocated as indicated in the tables below and no points will be allocated in respect of incomplete or incorrect forms. Please note that the information provided will be verified by the Municipality. **The completed form A document, included in this document, is the only document which will be accepted for the bidder to score points.**

FORM A: NOMINATED REFERENCES FOR BIDDER

Background information of Nominated Referees

Referee name:	
Postal address	
Contact number of referee:	
Email address:	
Name of Bidder evaluated:	
Project Name:	
Project Description:	
Project Completion date:	
Project duration:	
Final Project Cost:	

COMPLETION OF ASSIGNMENTS ON TIME		(2 POINTS)
Question:	Answer	
Timely submission of all reports and timely delivery of goods when required?	Excellent (2 Points)	
	Poor (0 Points)	

QUALITY OF ABOVE REPORTS		(3 POINTS)
Question	Answer	
What was the quality of the workmanship?	Excellent (3 Points)	
	Good (2 Points)	
	Fair (1 Point)	
	Poor (0 Points)	

Initials of Service Provider's Authority:

COMPLETION OF ASSIGNMENTS WITHIN BUDGET		(2 POINTS)
Question	Answer	
Did the bidder complete the project within the allocated Budget?	Excellent (2 Points)	
	Poor (0 Points)	

QUALITY OF END PRODUCT		(2 POINTS)
Question	Answer	
Was work executed in accordance with the Project Execution Statement and did the final product match the expectations that were created during the Project Initiation Stage?	Excellent (2 Points)	
	Poor (0 Points)	

TRANSPARENCY AND OPENNESS ON PROGRESS REPORTING		(1 POINT)
PROFESSIONALISM		
Question	Answer	
Professional behaviour at all times, towards Client and all Role Players?	Excellent (1 Point)	
	Poor (0 Points)	

Additional Remarks/Comments:

--

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the above information is, to the best of my knowledge, correct and a true reflection.

Signature of Deponent

Date of declaration

Initials of Service Provider's Authority:

FORM A: NOMINATED REFERENCES FOR BIDDER

Background information of Nominated Referees

Referee name:	
Postal address	
Contact number of referee:	
Email address:	
Name of Bidder evaluated:	
Project Name:	
Project Description:	
Project Completion date:	
Project duration:	
Final Project Cost:	

COMPLETION OF ASSIGNMENTS ON TIME		(2 POINTS)
Question:	Answer	
Timely submission of all reports and timely delivery of goods when required?	Excellent (2 Points)	
	Poor (0 Points)	

QUALITY OF ABOVE REPORTS		(3 POINTS)
Question	Answer	
What was the quality of the workmanship?	Excellent (3 Points)	
	Good (2 Points)	
	Fair (1 Point)	
	Poor (0 Points)	

Initials of Service Provider's Authority:

COMPLETION OF ASSIGNMENTS WITHIN BUDGET		(2 POINTS)
Question	Answer	
Did the bidder complete the project within the allocated Budget?	Excellent (2 Points)	
	Poor (0 Points)	

QUALITY OF END PRODUCT		(2 POINTS)
Question	Answer	
Was work executed in accordance with the Project Execution Statement and did the final product match the expectations that were created during the Project Initiation Stage?	Excellent (2 Points)	
	Poor (0 Points)	

TRANSPARENCY AND OPENNESS ON PROGRESS REPORTING		(1 POINT)
PROFESSIONALISM		
Question	Answer	
Professional behaviour at all times, towards Client and all Role Players?	Excellent (1 Point)	
	Poor (0 Points)	

Additional Remarks/Comments:

--

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the above information is, to the best of my knowledge, correct and a true reflection.

Signature of Deponent

Date of declaration

Initials of Service Provider's Authority:

FORM A: NOMINATED REFERENCES FOR BIDDER

Background information of Nominated Referees

Referee name:	
Postal address	
Contact number of referee:	
Email address:	
Name of Bidder evaluated:	
Project Name:	
Project Description:	
Project Completion date:	
Project duration:	
Final Project Cost:	

COMPLETION OF ASSIGNMENTS ON TIME		(2 POINTS)
Question:	Answer	
Timely submission of all reports and timely delivery of goods when required?	Excellent (2 Points)	
	Poor (0 Points)	

QUALITY OF ABOVE REPORTS		(3 POINTS)
Question	Answer	
What was the quality of the workmanship?	Excellent (3 Points)	
	Good (2 Points)	
	Fair (1 Point)	
	Poor (0 Points)	

COMPLETION OF ASSIGNMENTS WITHIN BUDGET		(2 POINTS)
Question	Answer	
Did the bidder complete the project within the allocated Budget?	Excellent (2 Points)	
	Poor (0 Points)	

QUALITY OF END PRODUCT		(2 POINTS)
Question	Answer	
Was work executed in accordance with the Project Execution Statement and did the final product match the expectations that were created during the Project Initiation Stage?	Excellent (2 Points)	
	Poor (0 Points)	

TRANSPARENCY AND OPENNESS ON PROGRESS REPORTING		(1 POINT)
PROFESSIONALISM		
Question	Answer	
Professional behaviour at all times, towards Client and all Role Players?	Excellent (1 Point)	
	Poor (0 Points)	

Additional Remarks/Comments:

--

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the above information is, to the best of my knowledge, correct and a true reflection.

.....
Signature of Deponent

.....
Date of declaration

FORM A: NOMINATED REFERENCES FOR BIDDER

Background information of Nominated Referees

Referee name:	
Postal address	
Contact number of referee:	
Email address:	
Name of Bidder evaluated:	
Project Name:	
Project Description:	
Project Completion date:	
Project duration:	
Final Project Cost:	

COMPLETION OF ASSIGNMENTS ON TIME		(2 POINTS)
Question:	Answer	
Timely submission of all reports and timely delivery of goods when required?	Excellent (2 Points)	
	Poor (0 Points)	

QUALITY OF ABOVE REPORTS		(3 POINTS)
Question	Answer	
What was the quality of the workmanship?	Excellent (3 Points)	
	Good (2 Points)	
	Fair (1 Point)	
	Poor (0 Points)	

Initials of Service Provider's Authority:

COMPLETION OF ASSIGNMENTS WITHIN BUDGET		(2 POINTS)
Question	Answer	
Did the bidder complete the project within the allocated Budget?	Excellent (2 Points)	
	Poor (0 Points)	

QUALITY OF END PRODUCT		(2 POINTS)
Question	Answer	
Was work executed in accordance with the Project Execution Statement and did the final product match the expectations that were created during the Project Initiation Stage?	Excellent (2 Points)	
	Poor (0 Points)	

TRANSPARENCY AND OPENNESS ON PROGRESS REPORTING		(1 POINT)
PROFESSIONALISM		
Question	Answer	
Professional behaviour at all times, towards Client and all Role Players?	Excellent (1 Point)	
	Poor (0 Points)	

Additional Remarks/Comments:

--

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the above information is, to the best of my knowledge, correct and a true reflection.

Signature of Deponent

Date of declaration

Initials of Service Provider's Authority:

2.	References	Points
	a. 4 References that responded positively to questions	40
	b. 3 References responded positively to questions	30
	c. 2 References responded positively to questions	20
	a. 1 References responded positively to questions	10
	b. No references responded positively to questions	0
	Total	

POINTS WILL BE ALLOCATED ACCORDING TO THE SCORE PER REFERENCE.

It will be deemed that the Reference responded positively to the questions if the answer to all 5 questions in FORM A: NOMINATED REFERENCES FOR BIDDER is yes.

A bidder that scores less than 70 points out of 100 in respect of “functionality” will be regarded as submitting a non-responsive proposal and will be disqualified.

The proposal scoring the highest points for price and preference will normally be awarded the contract although the Municipality reserves the right to make an award, at its sole discretion, to any bidders or combination of bidders.

EVIDENCE OF FUNCTIONALITY SHOULD BE ATTACHED IN AN ANNEXURE ATTACHED TO THE TENDER DOCUMENT.

FAILURE TO PROVIDE THE INFORMATION AS STATED ABOVE, WILL RESULT IN NO POINTS BEING AWARDED TO THE TENDERER.

DECLARATION

I, THE UNDERSIGNED (NAME)

CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION FURNISHED ABOVE IS CORRECT. I ACCEPT THAT THE MUNICIPALITY MAY ACT AGAINST ME SHOULD THIS DECLARATION PROVE TO BE FALSE.

AUTHORISED SIGNATURE:

NAME:

CAPACITY:

DATE:

Initials of Service Provider's Authority: