

Clarification Register: TNPA/2026/09/0002/114778/RFP: The Development of Maydon Wharf Precinct Strategy in the Port of Durban for a period of four (4) months.

No.	Reference	Date Received	Clarification Required	TNPA Response Date	TNPA Response
1.	Commercial	23.09.2026	Will the GIS data be made available and how comprehensive is the information?	29.09.2026	Yes, the GIS data will be made available. However, the information is very limited.
2.			For this project will only Port land need to be considered, or should adjacent logistics and industrial land also be considered?		Only the Maydon Wharf Precinct is to be considered. The boundary is the Maydon Wharf quay walls, M4 (Southern Freeway), Khangela Bridge and Canal Road. The area to be considered is TNPA owned land.
3.			How many stakeholder engagements must be allowed for?		Allow for 6 stakeholder engagements.
4.			What level of economic and financial assessment is required?		A preliminary, high-level assessment is to be conducted, not a detailed analysis.
5.			Clarity of market demand, does it need to include automotives?		No demand forecast required for Containers and Automotives. However, the strategy may propose a container terminal in Maydon Wharf. The automotive terminal does not encroach into Maydon Wharf. The demand forecast is to include Dry Bulk, Break Bulk and Liquid Bulk.
6.			The draft rail master plan by DoT, does this need to be part of stakeholder engagements?		There will be a requirement for engagement with TRIM (Transnet Rail Infrastructure Manager) and TFR (Transnet Freight Rail), together. As we may see fit, we can include DoT (Department of Transport) into that engagement.
7.			What is the extent of information that will be shared from the lease agreements?		We have no problem sharing information from the lease agreements as we will be signing a non-disclosure agreement with the preferred bidder. We will share name of the lessee, start and finish dates of the lease, cargo that is being handled and the footprint of the lease.
8.			Clarify 10 personnel that will be required however only 6 will be evaluated.		The scope indicates a minimum of 10 key personnel which is to be part of the organogram, and all their CVs are to be included. However, only 6 of the key personnel will be evaluated as per the returnable for the Key Personnel Qualifications and Experience.
9.			Can some of the roles be performed by the same person, especially the commodity specialists?		Yes, it can be the same person provided that you show that that person has the experience for the different commodities and does not impact the schedule and capacity.
10.			In terms of company experience, will international port precinct strategies be regarded as equivalent experience?		Yes, the experience does not need to be limited experience for South African ports.
11.			Some of the Port experts are global, do they need to have the NQF rating?		No, but they need to have the equivalent South African qualification.
12.			Do you see the submission date being extended due to the results of the clarification that are provided?		If additional time is required, then a request is to be made by the bidders.
End of Rev 01					
13.	Commercial	30.09.2026	Is a company allowed to join multiple teams as a sub consultant?		Yes.
End of Rev 02					
14.	Commercial	30.09.2026	C1.3 Form of Guarantee is listed in the contents page, in the tender documents comprising the RFP, and as returnable 2.3 but the pro forma appears nowhere in the 208 pages. Please issue the C1.3 Form of Guarantee and confirm the guarantee amount or percentage of the contract value, and its duration.	30.09.2026	Please note that although the C1.3 Form of Guarantee is referenced in the Contents Page, forms part of the RFP documentation, and is listed as Returnable 2.3, the pro forma document was inadvertently excluded from the tender pack.
15.			The Programme schedule's own note says, 'Programme (30 points)'. Its scoring table totals 20, and the Tender Data functionality table allocates 20. Please confirm that the programme schedule carries 20 points.		The Programme Schedule contains a note stating “Programme (30 points)” ; however, this is a clerical error in the RFP documentation. The correct score allocation for the Programme Schedule is 20 points, as indicated in the Programme Schedule scoring table and the Tender Data functionality evaluation table. Therefore, the Programme Schedule will be evaluated based on the 20-point allocation.
16.			Page 179 carries tender number TNPA/2026/05/1010/5634/RFP instead of TNPA/2026/09/0002/114778/RFP. Can we please request a revised page, or can you advise that we use the page in its current state?		Please note that page 179 of the RFP reflects Tender Number TNPA/2026/05/1010/5634/RFP instead of the correct Tender Number TNPA/2026/09/0002/114778/RFP. This discrepancy arose because the project was initially intended to be processed through a new Transnet procurement portal. However, the procurement process was subsequently migrated to an alternative portal, and during this transition the tender number was inadvertently not updated in all sections of the RFP documentation. Accordingly, bidders are advised that the correct Tender Number for this procurement process is TNPA/2026/09/0002/114778/RFP, and all references to TNPA/2026/05/1010/5634/RFP should be disregarded.
End of Rev 03					

Clarification Register: TNPA/2026/09/0002/114778/RFP: The Development of Maydon Wharf Precinct Strategy in the Port of Durban for a period of four (4) months.

No.	Reference	Date Received	Clarification Required	TNPA Response Date	TNPA Response
17.	Commercial	05.10.2026	The Consultant respectfully requests that the Employer consider granting an extension of time of three (3) weeks for the submission of tenders.	07.10.2026	Unfortunately, the Employer is unable to grant an extension of time at this point in time.
18.			With reference to Clarification Response No. 14 regarding C1.3 - Form of Guarantee, we note that the response confirms that the pro forma document was inadvertently omitted from the tender pack. Kindly provide the returnable pro forma guarantee document required for submission, to enable bidders to comply fully with the tender requirements.		It is not a requirement. An addendum will be issued to remove reference.
19.		06.10.2026	We respectfully request that the requirement for a performance guarantee be waived for this assignment. The scope comprises a four-month professional services engineering study and does not involve construction works, supply of equipment, advance payments, or the delivery of a physical asset whose performance can be guaranteed. The Consultant's obligations are to perform the services with the appropriate professional skill, care and diligence, supported by contractual remedies and professional indemnity insurance. These mechanisms provide the Client with appropriate protection for a professional services engagement. Requiring a performance guarantee would introduce additional banking and financing costs that would ultimately be reflected in the contract price and borne by the Client, without providing a commensurate reduction in project risk. Accordingly, waiver of this requirement would promote a more proportionate and cost-effective allocation of risk while maintaining adequate protection for the Client.		An addendum will be issued to remove reference.
20.		07.10.2026	Kindly share any latest masterplans, studies, planning documents for the Port of Durban that will aid in assessing the extent required for the Method Statement.		The study is for the Maydon Wharf Precinct Strategy. Previous precinct studies are for TNPA internal purposes only and therefore cannot be shared. The masterplans and other planning documents are for the entire port and does not provide sufficient detail that can be used.
End of Rev 04					