

	<b>Strategy</b>	<b>Generation</b>
---	-----------------	-------------------

Title: **Provision of National Key Point and Firearm Training**      Unique Identifier:

Alternative Reference Number: **N/A**

Area of Applicability: **Generation**

Documentation Type: **Strategy**

Revision: **1**

Total Pages: **12**

Next Review Date: **N/A**

Disclosure Classification: **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

---

<b>Compiled by</b>	<b>Functional Responsibility</b>	<b>Approved by</b>

---

## CONTENTS

	Page
<b>1. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES .....</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1 SCOPE.....	3
2.1.1 Purpose .....	3
2.1.2 Applicability .....	3
2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES .....	3
2.2.1 Normative .....	3
2.2.2 Informative .....	3
2.3 DEFINITIONS.....	3
2.3.1 Classification.....	3
2.4 ABBREVIATIONS.....	4
2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES .....	4
2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING .....	4
2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS.....	4
<b>3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY.....</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD .....	5
3.2 TET MEMBERS .....	5
3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA.....	6
3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA .....	6
3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES.....	10
3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS.....	11
3.6.1 Risks.....	11
3.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions.....	11
<b>4. AUTHORISATION .....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>5. REVISIONS .....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM.....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....</b>	<b>12</b>

## TABLES

<a href="#">Table 1: Technical Scoring Methodology .....</a>	5
<a href="#">Table 2: TET Members .....</a>	5
<a href="#">Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria .....</a>	6
<a href="#">Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities.....</a>	8
<a href="#">Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks.....</a>	9
<a href="#">Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks .....</a>	9
<a href="#">Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions .....</a>	9
<a href="#">Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions .....</a>	9

### CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

The tender evaluation strategy is developed for the purpose of obtaining a suitable Contractor to provide Provision of National Key Point and Firearm Training for Duvha power station protective services.

## **2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES**

### **2.1 SCOPE**

This document covers the technical evaluation criteria to be utilised for the process of evaluating the tender submissions for the Provision of National Key Point and Firearm Training for Duvha power station protective services.

#### **2.1.1 Purpose**

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process. The evaluation process will consists of two parts: Desktop evaluation and on-site evaluation.

#### **2.1.2 Applicability**

This document is applicable to Duvha Power Station.

## **2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES**

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

### **2.2.1 Normative**

- [1] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [2] 32-1033: Eskom Procurement and Supply Chain Management Policy
- [3] [32-1034: Eskom Procurement and Supply Management Procedure

### **2.2.2 Informative**

N/A

### **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

## 2.3 DEFINITIONS

### 2.3.1 Classification

**Controlled Disclosure:** Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

## 2.4 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
TET	Technical Evaluation Team
TSC	Term Service Contract
PISRA	Private Security Industry Regulatory Authority
NKP	National Key Point
SAPFTC	South African Professional Firearm Trainers Council
SABS	South African Bureau of Standards
PS	Power Station
NCRS	National regulator for compulsory Specifications
QC	Quality Control
QCP	Quality Control Plan
QM	Quality Management
SANS	South African National Standards
SM	Security Manager
SHEQ	Safety, Health, Environment and Quality

## 2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

240-168966153 Generation Technical Tender Evaluation Procedure (Rev 1)

## 2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

The primary process that shall be used for monitoring the application of this document is 240-168966153 Generation Technical Tender Evaluation Procedure (Rev 1).

## 2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

- [1] 240-53716746: Tender Technical Evaluation Report Template
- [2] 240-53716712: Tender Technical Evaluation Results Form Template
- [3] 240-53716726: Tender Technical Evaluation Scoring Form Template

### **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

### 3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY

#### 3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 85%. Should suppliers not meet the minimum threshold of 85%, they will be disqualified for consideration as technical suitable to execute the scope.

**Table 1: Technical Scoring Methodology**

<b>SCORE</b>	<b>PERCENTAGE (%)</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>
5	100	<b>COMPLIANT</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Meet the technical requirement(s) AND,</li> <li>• No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements</li> </ul>
4	85	<b>COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Meet the technical requirement(s) with,</li> <li>• Acceptable technical risks AND/OR;</li> <li>• Acceptable exceptions AND/OR;</li> <li>• Acceptable conditions</li> </ul>
2	40	<b>NON-COMPLIANT</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Does not meet the technical requirement(s) AND/OR Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR;</li> <li>• Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR;</li> <li>• Unacceptable conditions</li> </ul>
0	0	<b>TOTALLY DEFICIENT/NON-RESPONSIVE</b>

#### 3.2 TET MEMBERS

**Table 2: TET Members**

<b>TET number</b>	<b>TET Member Name</b>	<b>Designation</b>
TET 1	R Hlahu	Manager Security
TET 2	T Hlatshwayo	Officer Security Operations
TET 3	T Sibeko	Snr Advisor Security Operations

**CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

**3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA**

1	Compliance	Yes	No
2	Valid Company PSIRA certificate indicating company' accreditation as a security training provider- <b>Certified copy not older than 90 days</b>		
3	Valid PSIRA certificate for the directors (Min B grade) <b>PLUS</b> Valid South African ID copies- <b>Certified copies not older than 90 days</b>		
4	Valid PSIRA letter of good standing- <b>Certified copy not older than 90 days</b>		
5	NKP- Valid Letter from SAPS issued by the NKP Office indicating company's registration as a National Key Point Training Provider- <b>Certified copies not older than 90 days</b>		
6	Provide valid company firearm registration letter issued by SAPS CFR accompanied by firearm licences (Not less than 20 X Handguns, 10 Shot guns, 10 Rifles) - <b>Certified copies not older than 90 days</b>		
7	Provide detailed facility plan - Accommodation for overnight sleeping and Training centre must be in one facility within the same perimeter		
8	Valid inspection record issued by National Regulator for Compulsory Specifications (NRCS)- <b>Certified copies not older than 90 days</b>		

**NOTE: FAILURE TO SUBMIT/MEET ANY OF THE MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS WILL LEAD TO AUTOMATIC DISQUALIFICATION**

**3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA**

**Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria**

**NB: Minimum threshold for evaluation is 85% and above- failure to meet 85% will lead to automatic disqualification**

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Criteria Weighting (%)	Total Score
1.	<b>Company Experience</b>		30%	
	<p>1.1 COMPLETED provision of similar service                      This covers the experience of the company. The company must have completed at (3) similar work with five years' experience (Provision of National Key Point and Firearm Training). A signed completion certificates or traceable purchase order/references must be submitted which reflects the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>. Client name,</li> <li>. Service description, (details scope of work if description not clear)</li> <li>. Service start &amp; end date.</li> <li>. Service location</li> </ul>	Completion certificates		

<b>2.</b>		<b>Company profile</b>		<b>20%</b>	
	2.1	SAPFTC, NKP, PSIRA and SASSETA compliant	Certified Valid letter of accreditation issued by South African Professional Firearm Trainers council ( <b>SAPFTC</b> ), Valid Letter from SAPS issued by the <b>NKP</b> Office indicating company's registration as a National Key Point Training Provider, Valid Company <b>PSIRA</b> certificate indicating company' accreditation as a security training provider Certified Valid letter issued by Safety and Security Sector Education and Training Authority ( <b>SASSETA</b> )		
<b>3.</b>		<b>COMPANY RESOURCES- Site visit</b>		<b>50%</b>	
	3.2.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) SABS approved firearm safes,</li> <li>b) Firearms: 20 Handguns, 10 Shotgun&amp; 10 Rifles</li> <li>c) Accredited Shooting range</li> <li>d) Instructors PSIRA registration and SASSETA accreditation</li> </ul>	Verifiable resources and records		
	3.2.2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Accredited Training facilities</li> <li>b) 24-hour Security of the facility</li> </ul>	Verifiable resources		

Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria		Score [0,2,4,5]	Scoring Criteria
<b>1.</b>	<b>COMPANY EXPERIENCE</b>		
1.1	National Key Point		5 = Company with 5 years or more working experience at the National Key Point 4 = Company with 3-4 years working experience at the National Key Point 2 = Company with 1-2 years working experience at the National Key Point 0 = Non submission of stipulated experience
1.2	Firearm training		5 = Company with 5 years or more experience in firearm training 4 = Company with 3-4 years experience in firearm training 2 = Company with 1-2 years experience in firearm training 0 = Non submission of stipulated experience
<b>2.</b>	<b>COMPANY PROFILE</b>		
2.1	SAPFTC, NKP, PSIRA and SASSETA compliant		5 = Company in possess of all accreditations for 5 years or more- certified documentation 4 = Company in possession of all accreditations for 3 - 4 Years 2= Company in possession of all accreditations for 1-2 years 1= Company in possession of all accreditations for less than 12 months
<b>3.</b>	<b>COMPANY RESOURCES- Site visit</b>		
3.1	a) SABS approved firearm safes, b) Firearms: 20 Handguns, 10 Shotgun& 10 Rifles c) Accredited Shooting range d) Instructors PSIRA registration and SASSETA accreditation		5 = Company with all the required training resources in good quality 0 = Company with not all the required resources
3.2	a) 24-hour Security of the facility- Physical Guarding b) Company owned training facilities		5 = Company with the training and accommodation facility and the security 0 = rented or outsourced overnight sleeping accommodation such as hotel and guest house that are not in the same facility as the training centre will not be accepted and as such will not be scored

---

**3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES**

**Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities**

<b>Mandatory Criteria Number</b>	<b>TET 1</b>	<b>TET 2</b>	<b>TET 3</b>
1.1	X	X	X
1.2	X	X	X
<b>Qualitative Criteria Number</b>	<b>TET 1</b>	<b>TET 2</b>	<b>TET 3</b>
2.1	X	X	X
3.1	X	X	X
3.2	X	X	X

---

### 3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

#### 3.6.1 Risks

**Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks**

<b>Risk</b>	<b>Description</b>
1.	Company with mandatory requirements

**Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks**

<b>Risk</b>	<b>Description</b>
1.	Company without the mandatory requirements

#### 3.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions

**Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions**

<b>Risk</b>	<b>Description</b>
1.	Company that has all the on-site resources

**Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions**

<b>Risk</b>	<b>Description</b>
1.	Company that does not have all the on-site resources

#### 4. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation	Signature
R Hlahu	Manager security	
T Hlatshwayo	Officer Security Operations	
J Sibiya	Officer Security Investigation	
T Sibeko	Senior Advisor Security Operations	

#### 5. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
August 2024	1	J Sibiya	Final document.

#### 6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

R Hlahu

J Sibiya

T Hlatshwayo

T Sibeko

#### 7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

N/A

**CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.