



## Technical Evaluation strategy

Environment

Title: **Technical evaluation strategy  
for Animal Control and  
Veterinary Services at Tutuka  
Power Station**

Unique Identifier:

**14RISK ENV-0288**

Alternative Reference Number:

**N/A**

Area of Applicability:

**Environment**

Documentation Type:

**Technical  
Evaluation**

Revision:

**1.0**

Total Pages:

**11**

Next Review Date:

**N/A**

Disclosure Classification:

**CONTROLLED  
DISCLOSURE**

Compiled by

Xoli Jila

Senior Environmental  
Advisor

Date: 03/09/2025

Functional Responsibility

Lameck Nyakane

Acting Risk and Assurance  
Manager

Date: 03/09/2025

Authorised by

Pieter Potgieter

Business Assurance Manager

Date: 03/09/2025

## **CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1 SCOPE .....	2
1.1.1 Purpose.....	2
1.1.2 Applicability .....	2
1.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES .....	2
1.2.1 Normative.....	2
1.3 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES .....	3
1.4 PROCESS FOR MONITORING .....	3
1.5 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS .....	3
<b>2. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY.....</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION METHOD .....	4
2.2 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD .....	5
2.3 TET MEMBERS .....	5
2.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION .....	<b>ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.</b>
2.5 TECHNICAL EVALUATION TEAM MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES .....	9
2.3.1 The responsibilities of the Technical Evaluation Team are listed on the table below. ....	9
• Table 3: TET Member Responsibilities.....	9
1.1 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE/UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS.....	9
1.1.1 Risks.....	9
• Table 4: Acceptable Technical Risks.....	9
• Table 5: Unacceptable Technical Risks .....	9
1.1.2 Exceptions / Conditions .....	9
• Table 6: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions.....	9
• Table 7: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions .....	9
<b>3.ACCEPTANCE .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>4. REVISIONS .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>5. DEVELOPMENT TEAM .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....</b>	<b>10</b>

### **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Eskom Tutuka Power Station is subject to Environmental Authorisations, Permits, Licenses and associated Environmental Management Plans that requires that the station must ensure maintenance and the integrity of the indigenous biodiversity on the properties and ensure a healthy and safe working environment for all employees including contractors.

Eskom Tutuka has permanent offices and workshops for both contractors, and Eskom permanent employees are responsible for maintaining and operating the plant. Tutuka has the responsibility to ensure that safety measures are implemented by both employees and contractors. Tutuka is a home to a variety of wildlife, and some pose a risk to employees, contractors and to the operation of the site e.g. Game, snakes, wasps, bees, birds, fish, feral cats etc. Eskom as the custodian of the Plant and property, is required to ensure safety of its employees and contractors by managing the wildlife posing a risk to its operation to achieve zero harm

### **1.1 SCOPE**

To ensure proper management and control of the animals on Eskom properties. Eskom Tutuka Power Station requires services for catching, transporting, relocating and safe release of live snakes, wasps, bees, birds, fish, game management including feral cats that are posing risk to employees, contractors and to the operation.

#### **1.1.1 Purpose**

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation is to define the Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as the basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

#### **1.1.2 Applicability**

This document applies to the Tender Evaluation Team for the Tutuka Power Station chain supplies.

## **1.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES**

Parties using this document shall use the most recent editions of the documents listed in this section.

Occupational Health and Safety Act 85 of 1993 (OHS-Act)

National Environmental Management Act 107 of 1998

ISO 9001:2015 Quality Management Systems.

### **1.2.1 Normative**

- [1] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [2] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation scoring form template
- [3] ISO 14001:2015 Environmental Management System
- [4] ISO 9001:2015: Quality Management Systems
- [5] 32-1034 Eskom Procurement Policy

## **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.



### **1.3 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES**

As per 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

### **1.4 PROCESS FOR MONITORING**

Six monthly reports

### **1.5 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS**

None

### **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

## **2. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY**

### **2.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION METHOD**

A weighted scorecard approach is used to evaluate the technical compliance of the tenders against the specifications. Tenderers need to have a weighted score of 70% overall or more to technically qualify for further evaluation.

The technical criteria and weighting are broken down as follows:

a) Technical: 100%

The evaluation of the tender submission will be based on the tenderer's ability to meet the requirements as indicated in the Qualitative Technical Criteria. A weighted score card approach will be used to evaluate the tender submission against the specifications and Employer's requirements.

The scoring method will be as follows:

<b>SCORE</b>	<b>PERCENTAGE</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>
5	100	<b>COMPLIANT</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Meet technical requirement(s) AND;</li><li>• No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements.</li></ul>
4	80	<b>COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Meet technical requirement(s) with;</li><li>• Acceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR;</li><li>• Acceptable exceptions AND/OR;</li><li>• Acceptable conditions.</li></ul>
2	40	<b>NON-COMPLIANT</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Does not meet technical requirement(s) AND/OR; Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR;</li><li>• Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR;</li><li>• Unacceptable conditions.</li></ul>
0	0	<b>TOTALLY DEFICIENT OR NON-RESPONSIVE</b>

The evaluation scores will be weighted as follows according to disciplines:

<b>Technical (100%)</b>	
Animal control and veterinary services	100%
<b>Project Management (N/A)</b>	
<b>TOTAL (100%)</b>	
<b>Overall minimum threshold for qualification (70%)</b>	

#### **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

## **2.2 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD**

The basic steps for a technical evaluation must be followed as per the Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure [2].

A One stage Technical Evaluation is set out which will be Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria.

**Note: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria will form part of the evaluation criteria.**

**Stage 1:** Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria are weighted evaluation criteria used to identify the highest technically ranked tenderer. The Qualitative Evaluation Criteria are weighted to reflect the relevant importance of each criterion.

**The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 70%.**

A weighted scorecard approach is used to evaluate the technical compliance of the tenders against the specifications.

## **2.3 TET MEMBERS**

**Table 1: TET Members**

<b>TET number: Section to be evaluated</b>	<b>TET Member Name</b>	<b>Designation</b>
TET 1	Rotondwa Mukhwa	Senior Environmental Advisor
TET 2	Xoli Jila	Acting Manager Environment
TET 3	Atella Els	Senior Environmental Advisor

### **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.



## 2.4 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

**Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria**

	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable
1.	Proof of company registration with Pest Control Service Industries Board (PCSIB)	Submit a certified copy of a valid registration with PCSIB
2.	Proof of Pest Control Operator (PCO) licence and Animal Control and Veterinary Services technician certificates for the technicians.	Submit a certified copy of a valid PCO Licence and animal control & veterinary services
3.	Proof of registration with South African Veterinary Council	Submit a certified copy of a valid registration with SAVS

## 2.5 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

**Table: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria**

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description	Tender Returnable	Criteria Weighting (%)	Score	Sub Criteria Weighting (%)
1.	<b>Experience</b>	40%			
	1.1 How many projects of similar nature has the tenderer undertaken?  As a minimum, the reference list must contain:	Project references	10 and more Projects	5	70
			5-9 Projects	4	
			1-4 Projects	2	
			0 Projects	0	

**Tender Technical Evaluation for Animal Control and Veterinary Services at Tutuka Power Station**

Unique Identifier: **14RISK ENV-0288**  
Revision: **1.0**  
Page: **7 of 11**

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Contact person(s)</li> <li>- Contact Number(s)</li> <li>- Project Description</li> <li>- Project Duration Period</li> <li>- Letter of successful completion of the project</li> </ul>				
	1.2	Years' experience in Animal control and Veterinary Services	Company established date	10 Years 5-9 Years 3-4 Years 0-2 Years Sub Score:	5 4 2 0	30
2.	Technical Team			30%		
	2.1	Organogram indicating roles of each team member	Organogram supplied	Organogram supplied	5	40
				Organogram not supplied	0	
	2.2	Curriculum Vitae (CVs) for each team member indicating: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Qualifications</li> <li>- Experience related to Animal and Veterinary Services.</li> </ul>	Curriculum (CVs) supplied	CVs supplied indicating more than 10 years' experience with a four-year degree in Veterinary and/or Animal Health Sciences or above, for four team members including the Project leader  CVs supplied indicating 5-9 years' experience with a three-year degree/ diploma in Veterinary and/or Animal Health Sciences or above, for three team members including the Project leader	5  4	60



**Tender Technical Evaluation for Animal Control and Veterinary Services at Tutuka Power Station**

Unique Identifier: 14RISK ENV-0288  
Revision: 1.0  
Page: 8 of 11

				CVs supplied indicating 3-4 years' experience with a three-year degree/diploma in Veterinary and/or Animal Health Sciences or above, for two team members including the Project leader	2	
				CVs supplied indicating 1-2 years' experience with a three-year degree in Veterinary and/or Animal Health Sciences or above, for the Project leader	0	
3.	<b>Method Statement</b>			30%		
	3.1	Methodology for animal control and veterinary services	Methodology supplied	Methodology adequately covers what is expected.	5	50
				Methodology partially covers what is expected	2	
				Methodology does not cover what is expected	0	
	3.2	Project plan for animal control and veterinary services	Project Plan supplied	Fully detailed project plan supplied	5	50
				Project plan not sufficiently detailed	2	
				Project plan not supplied	0	

## 2.5 TECHNICAL EVALUATION TEAM MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

2.3.1 The responsibilities of the Technical Evaluation Team are listed on the table below.

- Table 3: TET Member Responsibilities

Qualitative Technical Criteria Description	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3
1. Ensure that evaluation process is executed to the set criteria and standard.	X	X	X

## 1.1 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE/UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

### 1.1.1 Risks

- Table 4: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	If overall score is above 70%

- Table 5: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	None

### 1.1.2 Exceptions / Conditions

- Table 6: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	None

- Table 7: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	None

## 3.ACCEPTANCE

This document has been seen and accepted by:

### CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

Name	Designation
Rotondwa Mukhwa	Senior Environmental Advisor
Xoli Jila	Acting Environmental Manager
Attela Els	Senior Environmental Advisor

#### 4.REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
29 August 2025	0.1	X. Jila	Draft document for comment

#### 5.DEVELOPMENT TEAM

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

- Xoli Jila

#### 6.ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- N/A

#### CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.