E3515GXNOU

TECHNICAL CRITERIA for Q4/L3 DSG-314-016 - Enquiry: COMMODITIES

Requirement		Criteria	Deliverable	Scoring Methodology	Weighting	Rating	% Rating	% Score	[Supplier Name] Response	Eskom Comments
REQUIREMENTS	QUALITY	A Quality Assurance Program that meets the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 as identified in 238-769T.	The returnable is a process document or method statement describing the supplier Quality Programme that provide a description of the processes and supporting information that reflects how processes are managed and work/operation is prepared, reviewed, carried out, recorded, assessed and improved . Examples of processes to be described can include procurement, receipt inspection, storage, handling processes, etc.	**100%** - Conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **75%** - Minor gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **50%** - Major gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **0%** - No documents submitted	20%		0%	0.0%		
		Submission of signed 238-769T Form	The returnable is a signed Supplier Quality Management System Requirements Form (238-769T), indicating the suppliers commitment to meet the requirements stipulated.	**100%** - Submission of a signed form: 238-769T Rev 2 **0%** - No documents submitted	20%		0%	0.0%		
		Control of nonconforming product or service and application for concession.	The returnable is a method statemen or process document and record demonstrating implementation of Non-conformance and Corrective Action Process. Eg Closed out NCR	**100%** - Supplier Method Statement or Process document and Non-conformance or Corrective Action report/retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Supplier Method Statement (Process document) and Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions. **75%** - Corrective Action report/retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions **50%** - Method Statement or process document that defines processes followed for dealing with nonconforming product/service. **0%** - No documents submitted	30%		0%	0.0%		
		Supplier Product Receiving and Inspection Process	Tenderer to submit method statement or process document and retained documented information (records/report) demonstrating implementation of Receiving and Inspection Processes performed by the tenderer.	**100%** - Supplier Method Statement or process document and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Receiving Checklist and Receipt Inspection Record. **75%** - Receipt Inspection report/retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g.Receiving Checklist and Receipt Inspection Record. **50%** - Method Statement or process document that defines processes followed for verification of receipt and inspection of product. **0%** - No documents submitted	30%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%			
	TECHNICAL	Certificate of Conformance DSG-314-016	Returnable is an example of a certificate of conformance which states that the product meets the required standards and/or specification.	**100%** - Conformance to Certificate of Conformance **0%** - No documents submitted or incorrect document submitted.	100%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			150%	NOT MEET	0%			
	Previous Experience	Previous Experience: Tenderers are required to provide evidence of satisfactory performance in the supply of similar product/project of comparable size, complexity, and nature.	Three (3) reference letters in company letterheads as proof of previous experience successfully implementing supply and on-time delivery of product for any corporation, with contactable references including project information.	**100%** - Three Letters provided **75%** - Two letters provided. **50%** - One letter provided. **0%** - No letters submitted	100%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%			
Final Analysis										
QUALITY					55%	0.0%				
TECHNICAL					40%	0.0%				
PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE					5%	0.0%				
TOTAL					100%	0.0%				

The scoring of the Functional Evaluation is conducted as follows:
A supplier is given a score in each of the sub-categories. These sub-categories are requirements detailed in the specification or contract. Scores are allocated as follows:
0 - 0% - Does not meet
1 - 50% - Partial meet (Large gap)
2 - 75% - Partial Meet (Small gap)
3 - 100% - Meet
The score is then summed to a weighted average per category. The category scores are analysed as follows:
0% - 69% - Does not meet
70% - 100% - Meet

Compiled by:L. Sityata and J Le roux

Signature:

Date: 2026/08/14

E3515GXNOU

Technical Evaluation Criteria Q2/L2 Gaskets for Plant Enquiry No: DSG-310-036 and DSG-310-253

	Criteria	Deliverable	Yes	No	[Supplier Name] Response	Eskom Comments
Mandatory Requirement	Demonstrate that the supplier Quality Management System (QMS) is certified to ISO 9001:2015, or equivalent. If supplier QMS is not certified, no further evaluation will be performed.	Copies of Management System Certification.				

Requirement		Criteria	Deliverable	Scoring Methodology	Weighting	Rating	% Rating	% Score	[Supplier Name] Response	Eskom Comments
REQUIREMENTS	QUALITY	Demonstrate that the supplier has a Quality Management System in place that details the suppliers's processes and procedures.	A Quality Manual or a document that describes the supplier's entire Quality Management System and its processes, from the reciept of custormer requirements until the product and/or the service is delivered.	**100%** - Conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **75%** - Minor gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **50%** - Major gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **0%** - No documents submitted	30%		0%	0.0%		
		Quality Control Plan (QCP) or Inspection and Test Plan (ITP) or Quality Plan : A supplier document specifying the work or production activities to be performed throughout the execution of the product realization works inclusive of test methods, procedures and acceptance criteria. (238-103 Revision 3, Section 3.2.3).	The returnable is a method statement or procedure decribing how QCPs or ITPs are prepared,reviewed and approvaed and an example of a QCP or ITP for a similar service or product, identifying sequential operations and indicating inspection and test points (hold and/or witness points) and areas where reports are required	100% -The returnable is a method statement or procedure decribing how QCPs or ITPs are prepared,reviewed and approved and an example of a QCP or ITP for a similar service or product, identifying sequential operations and indicating inspection and test points (hold and/or witness 75% - Submission of an example of a QCP or ITP for a similar service or product, identifying sequential operations and indicating inspection and test points (hold and/or witness points) and areas where reports are required. 50% - The returnable is a method statement or procedure decribing how QCPs or ITPs are prepared,reviewed and approved. 0% - No documents submitted	10%		0%	0.0%		
		Demonstrate that measures exist to control externally provided processes, products and service as well as that adequate oversight measures have been implemented.	The returnable is a method statement or procedure describing the process and criteria for the evaluation, selection, monitoring of performance, and re-evaluation of external providers and retained documented information (records) demonstrating implementation. e.g Approved Supplier's List (ASL), supplier evaluation reports, supplier re-valuation records,etc	100% -Submission of a method statement or procedure describing the process and criteria for the evaluation, selection, monitoring of performance, and re-evaluation of external providers and retained documented information (records) demonstrating implementation. e.g Approved Supplier's List (ASL), supplier evaluation reports, supplier re-valuation records,etc 75% - Submission of a method statement or procedure describing the process and criteria for the evaluation, selection, monitoring of performance, and re-evaluation of external providers 50% - Submission of retained documented information (records) demonstrating implementation. e.g Approved Supplier's List (ASL), supplier evaluation reports, supplier re-valuation records,etc 0% - No documents submitted	15%		0%	0.0%		
		Demonstrate implementation of reviews to measure process effectiveness and opportunities for improvement with respect to quality management.	The returnable is a method statement or documented information describing demonstrating criteria implementation retained (record) documented information demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Internal audit or self assessment report.	100% - Supplier Method Statement or Process document and Internal audit, self assessment report or retained documented information (record)demeostrating criteria implementation E.g. Supplier Method Statement (process document) and Inetrnal Audit or Self Assessment Report 75% -Supplier Method Statement or process document that defines processes followed for reviews to measure process effectiveness and opportunities for improvement with respect to quality management. 50% - Submission of an Internal audit report, self assessment report or retained documented information (record)demeostrating criteria implementation E.g. Internal Audit or Self Assessment Report 0% - No documents submitted	30%		0%	0.0%		
		Demonstrate implementation of non-conformance, deviation and concession process, including disposition with provisions for customer notification and acceptance.	The returnable is the retained (record) documented information demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Non-conformance report.	100% - Supplier Method Statement or Process document and Non-conformance or Corrective Action report or retained documented information (record)demeostrating criteria implementation E.g. Supplier Method Statement (process document) and Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions. 75% -Supplier Method Statement or process document that defines processes followed for dealing with nonconforming product or service. 50% - Corrective Action report or retained documented information (record) demostrating criteria implementation. E.g. Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions 0% - No documents submitted	15%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%			
	TECHNICAL	Conformance to DSG-310-036 and DSG-310-253	Returnable is an example of a material test certificate which includes results of all required chemical analysis, tests, and examinations conducted by the vendor	**100%** - Submission of a material test certificate which includes results of required chemical analysis, tests, and examinations conducted by the vendor **0%** - No documents submitted or incorrect document submitted	35%		0%	0.0%		
		Conformance to DSG-310-036 and DSG-310-253	Returnable is an example of a dimensional report in accordance with attachment 9.1 of DSG-310-036.	**100%** - Submission of a dimensional report in accordance with attachment 9.1 of DSG-310-036. **0%** - No documents submitted or incorrect document submitted.	35%		0%	0.0%		
		Conformance to DSG-310-036 and DSG-310-253	Supplier to provide certification that demonstrates that the requirements of DSG-317-094, referenced in DSG-310-036 and DSG-310-253, have been met and that the gasket material was not exposed to and does not ocontain prohibited materials.	**100%** - Submission of certification that demonstrates that the requirements of DSG-317-094, have been met **0%** - No documents submitted or incorrect document submitted.	30%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%			
	Experience	Previous Experience: Tenderers are required to provide evidence of satisfactory performance in the supply of similar product/project of comparable size, complexity, and nature.	Three (3) reference letters in company letterheads as proof of previous experience successfully implementing supply and on-time delivery of products for any corporation, with contactable references including project information.	**100%** - Three Letters with contactable refrences provided **75%** - Two letters with contactable refrences provided. **50%** - One letter with contactable refrences provided. **0%** - No letters submitted	100%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%			
Final Analysis										
QUALITY					35%	0.0%				
TECHNICAL					60%	0.0%				
EXPERIENCE					5%	0.0%				
TOTAL					100%	0.0%				

The scoring of the Functional Evaluation is conducted as follows:
A supplier is given a score in each of the sub-categories. These sub-categories are requirements detailed in the specification or contract. Scores are allocated as follows:
0 - 0% - Does not meet
1 - 50% - Partial meet (Large gap)
2 - 75% - Partial Meet (Small gap)
3 - 100% - Meet
The score is then summed to a weighted average per category. The category scores are analysed as follows:
0% - 79% - Does not meet
80% - 100% - Meet

Compiled by: L. Sityata and J Le roux

Signature:

Date: 2026/09/09

E3515GXNOU

TECHNICAL CRITERIA for Q4/L3 and Q3/L3 with COC and Shelf life requirements, DSG-312-030, DSG-314-348, DSG-314-002, DSG-314-138, DSG-314-251, DSG-314-289, DSG-314-021, DSG-314-164 and DSG-314-332 - Enquiry: COMMODITIES

Requirement		Criteria	Deliverable	Scoring Methodology	Weighting	Rating	% Rating	% Score	[Supplier Name] Response	Eskom Comments
REQUIREMENTS	QUALITY	A Quality Assurance Program that meets the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 as identified in 238-769T.	The returnable is a process document or method statement describing the supplier Quality Programme that provide a description of the processes and supporting information that reflects how processes are managed and work/operation is prepared, reviewed, carried out, recorded, assessed and improved . Examples of processes to be described can include procurement, receipt inspection, storage, handling processes, etc.	**100%** - Conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **75%** - Minor gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **50%** - Major gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **0%** - No documents submitted	20%		0%	0.0%		
		Submission of signed 238-769T Form	The returnable is a signed Supplier Quality Management System Requirements Form (238-769T), indicating the suppliers commitment to meet the requirements stipulated.	**100%** - Submission of a signed form: 238-769T Rev 2 **0%** - No documents submitted	20%		0%	0.0%		
		Control of nonconforming product or service and application for concession.	The returnable is a method statemen or process document and record demonstrating implementation of Non-conformance and Corrective Action Process. Eg Closed out NCR	**100%** - Supplier Method Statement or Process document and Non-conformance or Corrective Action report/retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Supplier Method Statement (Process document) and Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions. **75%** - Corrective Action report/retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions **50%** - Method Statement or process document that defines processes followed for dealing with nonconforming product/service. **0%** - No documents submitted	30%		0%	0.0%		
		Supplier Product Receiving and Inspection Process	Tenderer to submit method statement or process document and retained documented information (records/report) demonstrating implementation of Receiving and Inspection Processes performed by the tenderer.	**100%** - Supplier Method Statement or process document and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Receiving Checklist and Receipt Inspection Record. **75%** - Receipt Inspection report/retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g.Receiving Checklist and Receipt Inspection Record. **50%** - Method Statement or process document that defines processes followed for verification of receipt and inspection of product. **0%** - No documents submitted	30%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%			
	TECHNICAL	Certificate of Conformance Conformance to DSG-314-002, DSG-314-348, DSG-314-332, DSG-314-138, DSG-314-251, DSG-314-289, DSG-314-021, DSG-314-164 and DSG-312-030	Returnable is an example of a certificate of conformance which states that the product meets the required standards and/or specification.	**100%** - Conformance to Certificate of Conformance **0%** - No documents submitted or incorrect document submitted.	50%		0%	0.0%		
		Shelf life and Cure date, DSG-312-030, DSG-314-348, DSG-314-002, DSG-314-138, DSG-314-251, DSG-314-289, DSG-314-021, DSG-314-164 and DSG-314-332	Supplier to provide statement of commitment that product cure date and shelf life will be provided with product	**100%** - Statement of commitment provided **0%** - No documents submitted or incorrect document submitted.	50%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%			
	Previous Experience	Previous Experience: Tenderers are required to provide evidence of satisfactory performance in the supply of similar product/project of comparable size, complexity, and nature.	Three (3) reference letters in company letterheads as proof of previous experience successfully implementing supply and on-time delivery of product for any corporation, with contactable references including project information.	**100%** - Three Letters provided **75%** - Two letters provided. **50%** - One letter provided. **0%** - No letters submitted	100%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%			
Final Analysis										
QUALITY					55%	0.0%				
TECHNICAL					40%	0.0%				
PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE					5%	0.0%				
TOTAL					100%	0.0%				

The scoring of the Functional Evaluation is conducted as follows:
A supplier is given a score in each of the sub-categories. These sub-categories are requirements detailed in the specification or contract. Scores are allocated as follows:
0 - 0% - Does not meet
1 - 50% - Partial meet (Large gap)
2 - 75% - Partial Meet (Small gap)
3 - 100% - Meet
The score is then summed to a weighted average per category. The category scores are analysed as follows:
0% - 69% - Does not meet
70% - 100% - Meet

Compiled by:P Zwane, A Timotheus and J Le roux

Signature

Date: 2026/08/14

E3515GXNOU

Technical Criteria for Q3/L3 - Enquiry: COMMODITIES (NON PMUC) DSG-312-085

Requirement		Criteria	Deliverable	Scoring Methodology	Weighting	Rating	% Rating	% Score	[Supplier Name] Response	Eskom Comments
REQUIREMENTS	QUALITY	A Quality Assurance Program that meets the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 as identified in 238-769T.	The returnable is a document or method statement describing the supplier Quality Programme that provide a description of the processes and supporting information that reflects how processes are managed and work/operation is prepared, reviewed, carried out, recorded, assessed and improved . Examples of processes to be described can include Resource Selection, Quality Control, Storage and Handling; Purchasing and Receiving etc.	**100%** - Conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **75%** - Minor gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **50%** - Major gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **0%** - No documents submitted	40%		0%	0.0%		
		Submission of signed 238-769T Form	The returnable is a signed Supplier Quality Management System Requirements Form (238-769T), indicating the suppliers commitment to meet the requirements stipulated.	**100%** - Submission of a signed form: 238-769T Rev 2 **0%** - No documents submitted	10%		0%	0.0%		
		Control of nonconforming product or service and application for concession.	The returnable is a method statement or process document and record demonstrating implementation of Non-conformance and Corrective Action Process. Eg Closed out NCR	**100%** - Supplier Method Statement or Process document and Non-conformance or Corrective Action report/retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Supplier Method Statement (Process document) and Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions. **75%** - Corrective Action report/retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions **50%** - Method Statement or process document that defines processes followed for dealing with nonconforming product/service. **0%** - No documents submitted	30%		0%	0.0%		
		Supplier Product Receiving and Inspection Process	Tenderer to submit method statement or process document and retained documented information (records/report) demonstrating implementation of Receiving and Inspection Processes performed by the tenderer.	**100%** - Supplier Method Statement or process document and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Receiving Checklist and Receipt Inspection Record. **75%** - Receipt Inspection report/retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g.Receiving Checklist and Receipt Inspection Record. **50%** - Method Statement or process document that defines processes followed for verification of receipt and inspection of product. **0%** - No documents submitted	20%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%			
	TECHNICAL	Conformance to DSG-312-085 (Material certificate)	Returnable is an example of a certificate which states material compound/hardness and batch number to which the product were manufactured.	**100%** - Conformance to Specification. **0%** - No documents submitted or incorrect document submitted.	50%		0%	0.0%		
		Shelf life and Cure date DSG-312-085	Supplier to provide statement of commitment that product cure date and shelf life will be provided with product	**100%** - Conformance to Specification. **0%** - No documents submitted or incorrect document submitted.	50%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%			
	Previous Experience	Previous Experience: Tenderers are required to provide evidence of satisfactory performance in the supply of similar product/project of comparable size, complexity, and nature.	Three (3) reference letters in company letterheads as proof of previous experience successfully implementing supply and on-time delivery of products for any corporation, with contactable references including project information.	**100%** - Three Letters provided **75%** - Two letters provided. **50%** - One letter provided. **0%** - No letters submitted	100%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%			
Final Analysis										
QUALITY					55%	0.0%				
Technical					40%	0.0%				
PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE					5%	0.0%				
TOTAL					100%	0.0%				

The scoring of the Functional Evaluation is conducted as follows:
A supplier is given a score in each of the sub-categories. These sub-categories are requirements detailed in the specification or contract. Scores are allocated as follows:
0 - 0% - Does not meet
1 - 50% - Partial meet (Large gap)
2 - 75% - Partial Meet (Small gap)
3 - 100% - Meet
The score is then summed to a weighted average per category. The category scores are analysed as follows:
0% - 69% - Does not meet
70% - 100% - Meet

Compiled by: P Zwane, A Timotheus and J Le roux

Signature:

Date: 2026/08/14

TECHNICAL CRITERIA for Q3/L3, Q4/L3 - Enquiry: COMMODITIES (PMUC) DSG-314-007, DSG-314-147 & DSG-317-094

Requirement		Criteria	Deliverable	Scoring Methodology	Weighting	Rating	% Rating	% Score	[Supplier Name] Response	Eskom Comments
REQUIREMENTS	QUALITY	A Quality Assurance Program that meets the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 as identified in 238-769T.	The returnable is a process document or method statement describing the supplier Quality Programme that provide a description of the processes and supporting information that reflects how processes are managed and work/operation is prepared, reviewed, carried out, recorded, assessed and improved . Examples of processes to be described can include procurement, receipt inspection, storage, handling processes, etc.	**100%** - Conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **75%** - Minor gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **50%** - Major gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **0%** - No documents submitted	40%		0%	0.0%		
		Submission of signed 238-769T Form	The returnable is a signed Supplier Quality Management System Requirements Form (238-769T), indicating the suppliers commitment to meet the requirements stipulated.	**100%** - Submission of a signed form: 238-769T Rev 2 **0%** - No documents submitted	10%		0%	0.0%		
		Control of nonconforming product or service and application for concession.	The returnable is a method statemen or process document and record demonstrating implementation of Non-conformance and Corrective Action Process. Eg Closed out NCR	**100%** - Supplier Method Statement or Process document and Non-conformance or Corrective Action report/retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Supplier Method Statement (Process document) and Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions. **75%** - Corrective Action report/retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions **50%** - Method Statement or process document that defines processes followed for dealing with nonconforming product/service. **0%** - No documents submitted	30%		0%	0.0%		
		Supplier Product Receiving and Inspection Process	Tenderer to submit method statement or process document and retained documented information (records/report) demonstrating implementation of Receiving and Inspection Processes performed by the tenderer.	**100%** - Supplier Method Statement or process document and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Receiving Checklist and Receipt Inspection Record. **75%** - Receipt Inspection report/retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g.Receiving Checklist and Receipt Inspection Record. **50%** - Method Statement or process document that defines processes followed for verification of receipt and inspection of product. **0%** - No documents submitted	20%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%			
	TECHNICAL	Conformance to DSG-314-007 (Material certificate), DSG-314-147 & DSG-317-094	Returnable is an example of a certificate which states material compound/hardness and batch number to which product were manufactured.	**100%** - Conformance to applicable specification. **0%** - No documents submitted or incorrect document submitted.	40%		0%	0.0%		
		Conformance to DSG-314-007 (Cure date and shelf life statement), DSG-314-147 & DSG-317-094	Supplier to provide statement of commitment that product cure date shall not be in excess of 12 months prior to the product deliverey date.	**100%** - Conformance to applicable specification. **0%** - No documents submitted or incorrect document submitted.	20%		0%	0.0%		
		Conformance to DSG-314-007 (Certificate of chemical analysis), DSG-314-147 & DSG-317-094	Returnable is an example of a certificate which states that the Helogens and Suplur content of the orings conform to the limits as set out in DSG-317-094 or certification that the product meets the PMUC requirements.(PMUC reference number)	**100%** - Conformance to applicable specification. **0%** - No documents submitted or incorrect document submitted.	40%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%			
	Previous Experience	Previous Experience: Tenderers are required to provide evidence of satisfactory performance in the supply of similar product/project of comparable size, complexity, and nature.	Three (3) reference letters in company letterheads as proof of previous experience successfully implementing supply and on-time delivery of product for any corporation, with contactable references including project information.	**100%** - Three Letters provided **75%** - Two letters provided. **50%** - One letter provided. **0%** - No letters submitted	100%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%			
Final Analysis										
QUALITY					55%	0.0%				
TECHNICAL					40%	0.0%				
PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE					5%	0.0%				
TOTAL					100%	0.0%				

The scoring of the Functional Evaluation is conducted as follows:
A supplier is given a score in each of the sub-categories. These sub-categories are requirements detailed in the specification or contract. Scores are allocated as follows:
0 - 0% - Does not meet
1 - 50% - Partial meet (Large gap)
2 - 75% - Partial Meet (Small gap)
3 - 100% - Meet
The score is then summed to a weighted average per category. The category scores are analysed as follows:
0% - 69% - Does not meet
70% - 100% - Meet

Compiled by:P Zwane, A Timotheus and J Le roux

Signature:

Date: 2026/08/14

E3515GXNOU

TECHNICAL CRITERIA for Q3/L3 - Enquiry: COMMODITIES (PMUC) DSG-317-094

Requirement		Criteria	Deliverable	Scoring Methodology	Weighting	Rating	% Rating	% Score	[Supplier Name] Response	Eskom Comments
REQUIREMENTS	QUALITY	A Quality Assurance Program that meets the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 as identified in 238-769T.	The returnable is a process document or method statement describing the supplier Quality Programme that provide a description of the processes and supporting information that reflects how processes are managed and work/operation is prepared, reviewed, carried out, recorded, assessed and improved . Examples of processes to be described can include procurement, receipt inspection, storage, handling processes, etc.	**100%** - Conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **75%** - Minor gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **50%** - Major gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **0%** - No documents submitted	40%		0%	0.0%		
		Submission of signed 238-769T Form	The returnable is a signed Supplier Quality Management System Requirements Form (238-769T), indicating the suppliers commitment to meet the requirements stipulated.	**100%** - Submission of a signed form: 238-769T Rev 2 **0%** - No documents submitted	10%		0%	0.0%		
		Control of nonconforming product or service and application for concession.	The returnable is a method statement or process document and record demonstrating implementation of Non-conformance and Corrective Action Process. Eg Closed out NCR	**100%** - Supplier Method Statement or Process document and Non-conformance or Corrective Action report/retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Supplier Method Statement (Process document) and Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions. **75%** - Corrective Action report/retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions **50%** - Method Statement or process document that defines processes followed for dealing with nonconforming product/service. **0%** - No documents submitted	30%		0%	0.0%		
		Supplier Product Receiving and Inspection Process	Tenderer to submit method statement or process document and retained documented information (records/report) demonstrating implementation of Receiving and Inspection Processes performed by the tenderer.	**100%** - Supplier Method Statement or process document and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Receiving Checklist and Receipt Inspection Record. **75%** - Receipt Inspection report/retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g.Receiving Checklist and Receipt Inspection Record. **50%** - Method Statement or process document that defines processes followed for verification of receipt and inspection of product. **0%** - No documents submitted	20%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	*0%*			
	TECHNICAL	Conformance to DSG-317-094 (Certificate of chemical analysis)	Returnable is an example of a certificate which states that the Helogens and Suplur content of the product conforms to the limits as set out in DSG-317-094 or certification that the product meets the Product Materials used in Power Plants (PMUC) requirements.(PMUC reference number)	**100%** - Conformance to DSG-317-094 Specification. **0%** - No documents submitted or incorrect document submitted.	100%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	*0%*			
	Previous Experience	Previous Experience: Tenderers are required to provide evidence of satisfactory performance in the supply of similar product/project of comparable size, complexity, and nature.	Three (3) reference letters in company letterheads as proof of previous experience successfully implementing supply and on-time delivery of product for any corporation, with contactable references including project information.	**100%** - Three Letters provided **75%** - Two letters provided. **50%** - One letter provided. **0%** - No letters submitted	100%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	*0%*			
Final Analysis										
QUALITY					55%	0.0%				
TECHNICAL					40%	0.0%				
PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE					5%	0.0%				
TOTAL					100%	0.0%				

The scoring of the Functional Evaluation is conducted as follows:
A supplier is given a score in each of the sub-categories. These sub-categories are requirements detailed in the specification or contract. Scores are allocated as follows:
0 - 0% - Does not meet
1 - 50% - Partial meet (Large gap)
2 - 75% - Partial Meet (Small gap)
3 - 100% - Meet
The score is then summed to a weighted average per category. The category scores are analysed as follows:
0% - 69% - Does not meet
70% - 100% - Meet

Compiled by:P Zwane, A Timotheus and J Le roux

Signature:

Date: 2026/08/14

Technical Criteria for Q3/L3 - Enquiry: COMMODITIES (NON PMUC) DSG-314-266

Requirement		Criteria	Deliverable	Scoring Methodology	Weighting	Rating	% Rating	% Score	[Supplier Name] Response	Eskom Comments
REQUIREMENTS	QUALITY	A Quality Assurance Program that meets the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 as identified in 238-769T.	The returnable is a document or method statement describing the supplier Quality Programme that provide a description of the processes and supporting information that reflects how processes are managed and work/operation is prepared, reviewed, carried out, recorded, assessed and improved . Examples of processes to be described can include Resource Selection, Quality Control, Storage and Handling; Purchasing and Receiving etc.	**100%** - Conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **75%** - Minor gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **50%** - Major gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **0%** - No documents submitted	40%		0%	0.0%		
		Submission of signed 238-769T Form	The returnable is a signed Supplier Quality Management System Requirements Form (238-769T), indicating the suppliers commitment to meet the requirements stipulated.	**100%** - Submission of a signed form: 238-769T Rev 2 **0%** - No documents submitted	10%		0%	0.0%		
		Control of nonconforming product or service and application for concession.	The returnable is a method statement or process document and record demonstrating implementation of Non-conformance and Corrective Action Process. Eg Closed out NCR	**100%** - Supplier Method Statement or Process document and Non-conformance or Corrective Action report/retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Supplier Method Statement (Process document) and Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions. **75%** - Corrective Action report/retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions **50%** - Method Statement or process document that defines processes followed for dealing with nonconforming product/service. **0%** - No documents submitted	30%		0%	0.0%		
		Supplier Product Receiving and Inspection Process	Tenderer to submit method statement or process document and retained documented information (records/report) demonstrating implementation of Receiving and Inspection Processes performed by the tenderer.	**100%** - Supplier Method Statement or process document and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Receiving Checklist and Receipt Inspection Record. **75%** - Receipt Inspection report/retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g.Receiving Checklist and Receipt Inspection Record. **50%** - Method Statement or process document that defines processes followed for verification of receipt and inspection of product. **0%** - No documents submitted	20%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			**100%**	**NOT MEET**	***0%***			
	TECHNICAL	Conformance to DSG-314-266 (Material certificate)	Returnable is an example of a certificate which states material compound/hardness and batch number to which products were manufactured.	**100%** - Conformance to Specification. **0%** - No documents submitted or incorrect document submitted.	50%		0%	0.0%		
		Conformance to DSG-314-266 (Shelf life statement)	Supplier to provide an example of a statement of commitment that the product cure date shall not be in excess of 12 months prior to the product delivery date.	**100%** - Conformance to Specification. **0%** - No documents submitted or incorrect document submitted.	50%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			**100%**	**NOT MEET**	***0%***			
	Previous Experience	Previous Experience: Tenderers are required to provide evidence of satisfactory performance in the supply of similar product/project of comparable size, complexity, and nature.	Three (3) reference letters in company letterheads as proof of previous experience successfully implementing supply and on-time delivery of products for any corporation, with contactable references including project information.	**100%** - Three Letters provided **75%** - Two letters provided. **50%** - One letter provided. **0%** - No letters submitted	100%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			**100%**	**NOT MEET**	***0%***			
Final Analysis										
QUALITY					55%	0.00%				
TECHNICAL					40%	0.00%				
PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE					5%	0.00%				
TOTAL					100%	0.0%				

The scoring of the Functional Evaluation is conducted as follows:
A supplier is given a score in each of the sub-categories. These sub-categories are requirements detailed in the specification or contract. Scores are allocated as follows:
0 - 0% - Does not meet
1 - 50% - Partial meet (Large gap)
2 - 75% - Partial Meet (Small gap)
3 - 100% - Meet
The score is then summed to a weighted average per category. The category scores are analysed as follows:
0% - 69% - Does not meet
70% - 100% - Meet

Compiled by: P Zwane, A Timotheus and J Le roux

Signature:

Date: 2026/08/14

E3515GXNOU

Technical Criteria for Q4/L3 - Enquiry: Elastomers DSG-314-315

Requirement		Criteria	Deliverable	Scoring Methodology	Weighting	Rating	% Rating	% Score	[Supplier Name] Response	Eskom Comments
REQUIREMENTS	Quality	A Quality Assurance Program that meets the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 as identified in 238-769T.	The returnable is a document or method statement describing the supplier Quality Programme that provide a description of the processes and supporting information that reflects how processes are managed and work/operation is prepared, reviewed, carried out, recorded, assessed and improved . Examples of processes to be described can include Resource Selection, Quality Control, Storage and Handling; Purchasing and Receipt Inspection etc.	**100%** - Conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **75%** - Minor gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **50%** - Major gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **0%** - No documents submitted	**20%**		0%	0.0%		
		Submission of signed 238-769T Form	The returnable is a signed Supplier Quality Management System Requirements Form (238-769T), indicating the supplier's commitment to meet the requirements stipulated.	**100%** - Submission of a signed form: 238-769T Rev 2 **0%** - No documents submitted	**20%**		0%	0.0%		
		Control of nonconforming product or service and application for concession.	The returnable is a method statemen or process document and record demonstrating implementation of Non-conformance and Corrective Action Process. Eg Closed out NCR	**100%** - Supplier Method Statement or Process document and Non-conformance or Corrective Action report/retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Supplier Method Statement (Process document) and Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions. **75%** - Corrective Action report/retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions **50%** - Method Statement or process document that defines processes followed for dealing with nonconforming product/service. **0%** - No documents submitted	**30%**		0%	0.0%		
		Supplier Product Receipt Inspection Process.	Tenderer to submit method statement or process document and retained documented information (records/report) demonstrating implementation of Receiving and Inspection Processes performed by the tenderer.	**100%** - Supplier Method Statement or process document and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Receipt Inspection Checklist or Receipt Inspection Record. **75%** - Receipt Inspection report/retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g.Receipt Inspection Checklist or Receipt Inspection Record. **50%** - Method Statement or process document that defines processes followed for verification of receipt and inspection of product. **0%** - No documents submitted	**30%**		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			**100%**	**NOT MEET**	**0%**			
	Technical	Conformance to DSG-314-315.	**1.** Example Shelf Life and Cure Date certificate for components coming with Elastomeric material as part of the assembly.	**1.** Example Shelf Life and Cure Date certificate for components coming with Elastomeric material as part of the assembly.	**35%**		0%	0.0%		
			2. Example of a Material Compound certificate.	**2.** Example of a Material Compound certificate.	**35%**		0%	0.0%		
			4. Example of a Certificate of Conformance.	**4.** Example of a Certificate of Conformance.	**30%**		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			**100%**	**NOT MEET**	**0%**			
	Previous Experience	Previous Experience: Tenderers are required to provide evidence of satisfactory performance in the supply of similar product/project of comparable size, complexity, and nature.	A proof of at least 3 previous projects/transactions where similar products were supplied.	**100%** - Three Letters provided **75%** - Two letters provided. **50%** - One letter provided. **0%** - No letters submitted	**100%**		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			**100%**	**NOT MEET**	**0%**			
Final Analysis										
QUALITY					**55%**	**0.0%**				
TECHNICAL					**40%**	**0.0%**				
PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE					**5%**	**0.0%**				
TOTAL					**100%**	**0.0%**				

The scoring of the Functional Evaluation is conducted as follows:
A supplier is given a score in each of the sub-categories. These sub-categories are requirements detailed in the specification or contract. Scores are allocated as follows:
0 - 0% - Does not meet
1 - 50% - Partial meet (Large gap)
2 - 75% - Partial Meet (Small gap)
3 - 100% - Meet
The score is then summed to a weighted average per category. The category scores are analysed as follows:
0% - 69% - Does not meet
70% - 100% - Meet

Compiled by: Vuyo Dzingwe

Signature:

Date: 2026/09/10

E3515GXNOU

Technical Evaluation Criteria for Q3/L3 - Enquiry: Graphite Gasket - DSG-310-232 and DSG-317-094

Requirement		Criteria	Deliverable	Scoring Methodology	Weighting	Rating	% Rating	% Score	[Supplier Name] Response	Eskom Comments
REQUIREMENTS	QUALITY	A Quality Assurance Program that meets the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 as identified in 238-769T.	The returnable is a document or method statement describing the supplier Quality Programme that provide a description of the processes and supporting information that reflects how processes are managed and work/operation is prepared, reviewed, carried out, recorded, assessed and improved . Examples of processes to be described can include Resource Selection, Quality Control, Purchasing, etc.	**100%** - Conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **75%** - Minor gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **50%** - Major gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **0%** - No documents submitted	40%		0%	0.0%		
		A Quality Assurance Program that meets the requirements of 238-769T.	The returnable is a signed Supplier Quality Management System Requirements Form (238-769T), indicating the suppliers commitment to meet the requirements stipulated.	**100%** - Submission of a signed 238-769T Rev 2 **0%** - No documents submitted	10%		0%	0.0%		
		Control of nonconforming products or service and application for concession.	The returnable is a method statement, process document and record demonstrating implementation of Non-conformance and Corrective Action Process. Eg Closed out NCR	**100%** - Supplier Method Statement and/or Non-conformance and Corrective Action procedure and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions. **75%** - Method Statement or documented procedure that defines processes followed for dealing with nonconforming product/service. **50%** - Submission of retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions. **0%** - No documents submitted	30%		0%	0.0%		
		Supplier Product Inspection Process	Supplier to submit method statement and records demonstrating implementation of Inspection Processes performed by the supplier.	**100%** - Supplier Method Statement and/or Monitoring procedure and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Source Verification or Receipt Inspection. **75%** - Supplier Method Statement or documented procedure that defines processes followed for verification and inspection of product. **50%** - Submission of retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. e.g Source Verification or Receipt Inspection **0%** - No documents submitted	20%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			**100%**	**NOT MEET**	***0%***			
	TECHNICAL	Conformance to DSG-310-232 and DSG-317-094	Supplier to submit an example of certification that the gasket material was not exposed to and does not ocontain prohibited materials	**100%** - Submission of an example of certification that the gasket material was not exposed to and does not contain prohibited material cation. **0%** - No documents or incorrect document submitted	50%		0%	0.0%		
		Conformance to DSG-310-232 and DSG-317-094	Supplier to provide an example of certification that demonstrates that the leachable chlorides conform to requirements of DSG-317-094	**100%** -Submission of an example of certification that demonstrates that the leachable chlorides conform to requirements of DSG-317-094. **0%** - No documents or incorrect document submitted	50%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			**100%**	**NOT MEET**	***0%***			
	Experience	Tenderers are required to demonstrate performance in comparable projects of similar size and nature.	Three (3) reference letters in company letterheads as proof of previous experience successfully implementing supply and delivery of gaskets for any corporation, with contactable references including project information.	**100%** - Three Letters with contactable references provided **75%** - Two letters with contactable references provided **50%** - One letter with contactable references provided **0%** - No letters submitted	100%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			**100%**	**NOT MEET**	***0%***			
Final Analysis										
QUALITY					55%	0.0%				
TECHNICAL					40%	0.0%				
EXPERIENCE					5%	0.0%				
TOTAL					100%	0.0%				

The scoring of the Functional Evaluation is conducted as follows:
A supplier is given a score in each of the sub-categories. These sub-categories are requirements detailed in the specification or contract. Scores are allocated as follows:
0 - 0% - Does not meet
1 - 50% - Partial meet (Large gap)
2 - 75% - Partial Meet (Small gap)
3 - 100% - Meet
The score is then summed to a weighted average per category. The category scores are analysed as follows:
0% - 69% - Does not meet
70% - 100% - Meet

Compiled by: L Sityata and J. Le Roux

Signature:

Date:

E3515GXNOU

Technical Evaluation Criteria Q2/L2 Gaskets for Plant Enquiry No: DSG-317-094

	Criteria	Deliverable	Yes	No	[Supplier Name] Response	Eskom Comments
Mandatory Requirement	Demonstrate that the supplier Quality Management System (QMS) is certified to ISO 9001:2015, or equivalent. If supplier QMS is not certified, no further evaluation will be performed.	Copies of Management System Certification.				

Requirement		Criteria	Deliverable	Scoring Methodology	Weighting	Rating	% Rating	% Score	[Supplier Name] Response	Eskom Comments
REQUIREMENTS	QUALITY	Demonstrate that the supplier has a Quality Management System in place that details the suppliers's processes and procedures.	A Quality Manual or a document that describes the supplier's entire Quality Management System and its processes, from the reciept of custormer requirements until the product and/or the service is delivered.	**100%** - Conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **75%** - Minor gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **50%** - Major gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 **0%** - No documents submitted	30%		0%	0.0%		
		Quality Control Plan (QCP) or Inspection and Test Plan (ITP) or Quality Plan : A supplier document specifying the work or production activities to be performed throughout the execution of the product realization works inclusive of test methods, procedures and acceptance criteria. (238-103 Revision 3, Section 3.2.3).	The returnable is a method statement or procedure decribing how QCPs or ITPs are prepared,reviewed and approvaed and an example of a QCP or ITP for a similar service or product, identifying sequential operations and indicating inspection and test points (hold and/or witness points) and areas where reports are required	100% -The returnable is a method statement or procedure decribing how QCPs or ITPs are prepared,reviewed and approved and an example of a QCP or ITP for a similar service or product, identifying sequential operations and indicating inspection and test points (hold and/or witness 75% - Submission of an example of a QCP or ITP for a similar service or product, identifying sequential operations and indicating inspection and test points (hold and/or witness points) and areas where reports are required. 50% - The returnable is a method statement or procedure decribing how QCPs or ITPs are prepared,reviewed and approved. 0% - No documents submitted	10%		0%	0.0%		
		Demonstrate that measures exist to control externally provided processes, products and service as well as that adequate oversight measures have been implemented.	The returnable is a method statement or procedure describing the process and criteria for the evaluation, selection, monitoring of performance, and re-evaluation of external providers as well as verification of purchased products and services and retained documented information (records) demonstrating implementation. e.g Approved Supplier's List (ASL)	100% -The returnable is the maintained documented information demonstrating criteria implementation, e.g. process and criteria for the evaluation, selection, monitoring of performance, and re-evaluation of external providers as well as verification of purchased products and services. 75% - Minor gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 50% - Major gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 0% - No documents submitted	15%		0%	0.0%		
		Demonstrate implementation of reviews to measure process effectiveness and opportunities for improvement with respect to quality management.	The returnable is a method statement or documented information describing demonstrating criteria implementation retained (record) documented information demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Internal audit or self assessment report.	100% - Supplier Method Statement or Process document and Internal audit, self assessment report or retained documented information (record)demeostrating criteria implementation E.g. Supplier Method Statement (process document) and Inetrnal Audit or Self Assessment Report 75% -Method Statement or process document that defines processes followed for reviews to measure process effectiveness and opportunities for improvement with respect to quality management. 50% - Internal audit, self assessment report or retained documented information (record)demeostrating criteria implementation E.g. Supplier Method Statement (process document) and Internal Audit or Self Assessment Report 0% - No documents submitted	30%		0%	0.0%		
		Demonstrate implementation of non-conformance, deviation and concession process, including disposition with provisions for customer notification and acceptance.	The returnable is the retained (record) documented information demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Non-conformance report.	100% - Supplier Method Statement or Process document and Non-conformance or Corrective Action report or retained documented information (record)demeostrating criteria implementation E.g. Supplier Method Statement (process document) and Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions. 75% - Method Statement or process document that defines processes followed for dealing with nonconforming product or service. 50% - Corrective Action report or retained documented information (record) demostrating criteria implementation. E.g. Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions 0% - No documents submitted	15%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%			
		Conformance to DSG-317-094 (Certificate of Analysis)	Returnable is an example of a certificate which states that the Halogens and Suplur content of the product conforms to the limits as set out in DSG-317-094 or certification that the product meets the Product Materials used in Power Plants (PMUC) requirements.(PMUC reference number)	**100%** - Submission of certificate which shows that Halogen and Sulphur contentofthe product conforms to the limits as set out in DSG-317-094 or certification that the product meets the Product Materials used in Power Plants (PMUC) requirements with a PMUC reference number. **0%** - No documents submitted or incorrect document submitted.	100%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%			
	Experience	Previous Experience: Tenderers are required to provide evidence of satisfactory performance in the supply of similar product/project of comparable size, complexity, and nature.	Three (3) reference letters in company letterheads as proof of previous experience successfully implementing supply and on-time delivery of products for any corporation, with contactable references including project information.	**100%** - Three Letters provided **75%** - Two letters provided. **50%** - One letter provided. **0%** - No letters submitted	100%		0%	0.0%		
		TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%			

Final Analysis	Weighting	Score
QUALITY	35%	0.0%
TECHNICAL	60%	0.0%
EXPERIENCE	5%	0.0%
TOTAL	100%	0.0%

The scoring of the Functional Evaluation is conducted as follows:
A supplier is given a score in each of the sub-categories. These sub-categories are requirements detailed in the specification or contract. Scores are allocated as follows:
0 - 0% - Does not meet
1 - 50% - Partial meet (Large gap)
2 - 75% - Partial Meet (Small gap)
3 - 100% - Meet
The score is then summed to a weighted average per category. The category scores are analysed as follows:
0% - 79% - Does not meet
80% - 100% - Meet

Compiled by: L. Sityata and J Le roux

Signature:

Date: 2026/09/09