

	Strategy	Kusile Power Station
---	-----------------	-----------------------------

Title:	Kusile Power Station Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy Provision of Degrit Sump Resources	Unique Identifier:	KUS-202510137
		Alternative Reference Number:	N/A
		Area of Applicability:	Operating
		Documentation Type:	Strategy
		Revision:	01
		Total Pages:	9
		Next Review Date:	December 2028
		Disclosure Classification:	CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

CONTENTS	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES	3
2.1 SCOPE	3
2.1.1 Purpose.....	3
2.1.2 Applicability	3
2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES	3
2.2.1 Normative.....	3
2.2.2 Informative.....	3
2.3 DEFINITIONS.....	3
2.4 ABBREVIATIONS.....	4
2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	4
2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING	4
2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS.....	4
3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY	4
3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD	4
3.2 TET MEMBERS	4
3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA.....	5
3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA.....	6
3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES	7
3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS.....	8
4. AUTHORISATION.....	9
5. REVISIONS	9
6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM	9
7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	9

TABLES	
Table 1: TET Members.....	4
Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria.....	5
Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria.....	6
Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities	7
Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks.....	8
Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks.....	8
Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions	8
Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions	8

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

1. Introduction

An invite will be issued for interested parties to participate in the tender process for the purposes of procuring the required equipment. This document sets out the method and criteria that will be used to evaluate the tenders that will be submitted from this pre-qualification invite.

2. Supporting Clauses

2.1 Scope

This strategy defines the technical evaluation team (TET) and their responsibilities regarding the defined scope for the Provision of Degrit Sump Resources. The mandatory and qualitative evaluation criterion used to evaluate the submitted tenders is also included in this report.

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

2.1.2 Applicability

This strategy document will apply to the technical evaluation team appointed for the procuring of equipment project.

2.2 Normative/Informative References

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

- [1] 240-48929482 Rev1: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [2] 32-1034: Eskom Procurement Policy

2.2.2 Informative

- [3] ISO 9001:2015 Quality management systems
- [4] 474-59 Internal Audit Procedure

2.3 Definitions

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

2.4 Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Description
TET	Technical Evaluation Team
KPS	Kusile Power Station
ISO	International Organization for Standardization

2.5 Roles And Responsibilities

Roles and responsibilities as per Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

2.6 Process For Monitoring

This procedure shall be monitored by the Internal Audit Procedure.

2.7 Related/Supporting Documents

N/A

3. Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy

3.1 Technical Evaluation Threshold

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is **75%**.

Functionality Criteria	Maximum number of points percentages	Tenderers will be expected to score at least the minimum overall threshold to proceed to the next step
Technical	100%	75%

3.2 TET Members

Table 1: TET Members

TET number	TET Member Name	Designation

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3.3 Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
1.	N/A		
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10			

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3.4 Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

Notes to tenderer:

1. Where no information is offered by the Tenderer no points shall be scored.

Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

Item	Requirements	Tender Returnable Proof Format	Evaluation	Score
1	Company to have contactable references where provision of operating resources and cleaning work was done previously (NB: Procurement references will not be considered).	<p>Submit proof of previous the similar service rendered.</p> <p>Provide Previous Provision of resources Purchase/Task Order/ Contract number/ executed successful.</p> <p>Provide BU/ Company name, contact person, and provide Employer Assessment corresponding/ correlating with the Purchase/ Task Order with traceable number(s).</p> <p>25- 36 months experience -----30%</p> <p>13 - 24 experience -----20%</p> <p>0-12 months experience ----- 10%</p> <p>No proof submitted -----0%</p>	30%	
2	Company to submit a detailed method statement.	<p>Submit a method statement with safe working procedure on how the scope will be executed in a safe and environmentally responsible manner.</p> <p>It should also include allocation of resources to different plant areas, and management of allocated resources. I.e. normal and overtime hrs management etc.</p> <p>Submitted method statement with required info ----20%</p> <p>No method statement submitted -----0%</p>	20%	
3	<p>Company Resources:</p> <p>Company to submit the resources qualifications.</p>	<p>Submit proof of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project Leader (x01) to have a Grade 12 and Diploma and minimum of 2 years' experience in leading similar projects at a power station. OHS Act and legal liability competency. Incident investigation competency. Safety Officer (x01) to have Grade 12 with Safety qualification at NQF level 5. Supervisors (x03) to have Grade 12, Supervisory Certificate at NQF level 5- and 1- 	<p>15 %</p> <p>15%</p> <p>10%</p>	

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

		<p>year experience in supervision and Legal liability certificate. OHS Act and Hira competency and Planned Task Observation competency.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Field Operators (x 05) to have NQF Level 4 or Abet 4 or Grade 12 Maths and Science or N3 Maths and Science. <p>Submit a detailed CV with contactable/traceable references to be attached together with relevant current certified qualifications.</p>	10%	
Threshold 75%				
Total			100%	

3.5 Tet Member Responsibilities

Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4
N/A				
	X			
Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4
1	X	X	X	X
2	X	X	X	X
3	X	X	X	X
4	X	X	X	X

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3.6 Foreseen Acceptable / Unacceptable Qualifications

3.6.1 Risks

Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	None
2.	
3.	

Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Exclusions to the specified scope
2.	
3.	

3.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions

Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	None
1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	

Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	Deviation without technical justification
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

6.	
7.	

4. Authorisation

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation	Signature

5. Revisions

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks

6. Development Team

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

7. Acknowledgements

N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.