

External Valuers Technical evaluation Criteria	Output	Scoring Guidelines	Weighting
<b>Previous Experience (Land with Mineral Rights Valuation )</b>	<b>Previous Experience</b>	<b>Land with Mineral Rights Valuation</b>	
<p><b>Experience and track record Land with Mineral Rights valuation field:</b> Provide Detailed Company Proposal indicating your understanding of Land with Mineral Rights Valuation and Acceptable Valuation approaches/practices for land with mineral rights; <b>and Relevant Land with Mineral Rights Valuations</b> written reference letters from clients in the Company's letterhead. <b>Reference letters should include:</b> a) Description of work delivered; b) Size of project; c) Contact details of the Client</p>	<p>- <b>Company proposal must indicate best valuation practices, methods of valuation approach to Valuation of Land with Mineral Rights</b>, i.e. Diamond Mining land, and Emerald Mining Land (<b>Please provide at least 2 different types examples of Fully Motivated Valuation Reports for Land with Mineral Rights Valuations</b>)</p> <p><b>(Reference Letters )</b> Reference letters should Indicate Land with Mineral Rights valuation types/service contracts of service delivered by the Service Provider</p>	<p>1 – No Experience in Land with Mineral Rights Valuation <b>(0)</b> 2 - Company Proposal lacks sufficient Demonstration of Valuation of Land with Mineral Rights and has less than 3 years experience working in related projects <b>(5)</b> 3 - Company Proposal has sufficient track record of Valuation of Land with Mineral Rights and has <b>more than 3 years</b> experience but less than 5 years experience working in related projects <b>(10)</b> 4 – Company Proposal demonstrates competency in Valuation of Land with Mineral Rights and has a track record of more than 5 years experience working in related projects <b>(15)</b></p> <p>1 – No Reference Letters Submitted <b>(0)</b> 2 - Company has Submitted 1 to 2 reference letters for valuation of land with mineral rights <b>(5)</b> 3 - Company has Submitted 3 reference letters for valuation of land with mineral rights <b>(7,5)</b> 4 – Company has Submitted 4 or more reference letters for valuation of land with mineral rights. <b>(10)</b></p>	15
<b>Professional Membership</b>	<b>Professional Membership</b>		
<p>For Plant, Equipment and Machinery Valuations, the bidder's key individual must be registered/affiliated with the appropriate Societies/ Associates such as SACPVP, RICS and ASA. Please Provide certificates of accredited Property Valuation bodies a) Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors (RICS) , b) South African Council for the Property Valuers Profession c) South African Institute of Property Valuers</p>	<p>Team must be registered/affiliated with the appropriate Societies/ Associates such as RICS, ASA and SACPVP. <b>The bidder must submit as part of this proposal proof of registration from the relevant appropriate Societies/ Associates to confirm compliance to the above requirement.</b> a) Valid Certificate with Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors b) Valid Certificate with South African Council for the Property Valuers Profession c) Valid Certificate with South African Institute of Valuers</p>	<p>1 - No Property Industry Accreditations <b>(0)</b> 2 - Lead Valuer has Accreditation with SACPVP <b>(10)</b> 3 - Lead Valuer has Accreditation with SAIV and SACPVP <b>(20)</b> 4 – Lead Valuer has Accreditation with RICS, SAIV AND SACPVP <b>(30)</b></p>	30
<b>Expertise and Professional Qualifications</b>	<b>Expertise and Professional Qualifications</b>		
<p>Expertise and professional experience of Lead Valuer assigned to work on the project (Minimum of 5 years' experience)  (Quality of the Resources and their skills)</p>	<p>CV's of key/lead Personnel to demonstrate relevant experience</p>	<p>1 – less than 5 years experience in Land with Mineral Rights Valuations <b>(0)</b> 2 - more than 5 years but less than 7 years experience in Land with Mineral Rights Valuations <b>(5)</b> 3 - more than 7 years experience but less than 9 years experience in Land with Mineral Right Valuations <b>(7,5)</b> 4 – more than 10 years experience in Land with Mineral Right Valuations <b>(10)</b></p>	10
<b>Previous Experience (Plant and Machinery/Equipment )</b>	<b>Previous Experience</b>	<b>Plant and Machinery/Equipment</b>	
<p><b>Experience and track record property valuation field:</b> <b>Detailed Company Proposal:</b> Written reference letters from clients in the Company's letterhead. <b>Reference letters should include:</b> a) Description of work delivered; b) Size of project; c) Contact details of the Client; and</p>	<p>Demonstrate Proven Track Record in Plant and Machinery/Equipment Valuations done including Tanks, Succesful Track Record), using the best practises and systems, number of years' experience in providing this service. - <b>Company proposal</b> (Please provide at least 2 different types examples of Fully Motivated Plant, Machinery and Equipment valuation reports)</p> <p><b>( Reference Letters )</b> Indicate valuation types/service contracts of service delivered by the Service Provider including, value and duration. (ROI Valuations, Insurance Valuations, Replacement Cost, Cost To Capacity, Mine Machinery Valuations, Refinery Valuations, Rental Valuations)</p>	<p>1 – No experience in Plant and Machinery/Equipment Valuation <b>(0)</b> 2 - Company Proposal lacks Successful Demonstration of Plant and Equipment/Machinery but has less than 3 years experience working in Plant Valuations, . <b>(5)</b> 3 - Company has sufficient success stories of Plant and Machinery Valuation with International experience, more than 3 years but less than 5 years experience. Proposal demonstrates the types of Projects, <b>(10)</b> 4 – Company demonstrates competency in Plant and Machinery Valuation and has successful track record with more than 5 years experience and Proposal demonstrates the types of Projects. <b>(15)</b></p> <p>1 - Company has no exposure and has not performed any type of industrial sectorial classification valuation of plant, Equipment and Machinery <b>(0)</b> 2 – Company has lack of exposure however it has peromed only one <b>(1)</b> type of industrial sectorial classification valuation of plant, Equipment and Machinery <b>(2,5)</b> 3 - Company has minimum of exposure and has peromed 2 but less than 3 types of industrial sectorial classification valuation of plant, Equipment and Machinery <b>(5)</b> 4 - Company has fair amount of exposure and has performed more than 3 but less than 5 types of industrial sectorial classification valuation of plant, Equipment and Machinery <b>(7,5)</b> 5 – Company has extensive exposure and has performed more than 5 types of industrial sectorial classification valuation of plant, Equipment and Machinery for different types of Industries <b>(10)</b></p>	15
<b>Expertise and Professional Qualifications</b>	<b>Expertise and Professional Qualifications</b>		
<p>Expertise and professional experience of Lead Valuer ssigned to work on the project (Lead Valualor must have Minimum of 5 years' experience)  (Quality of the Resources and their skills)</p>	<p><b>CV's of key Personnel</b> CV's to demonstrate relevant experiance</p> <p>For Plant, Equipment and Machinery Valuations, the bidder's Principal / Lead Valuer must have at least a National Diploma in Property valuation with a recognised South African institution.As part of this proposal a <b>copy of qualifications</b> to confirm the compliance for the above requirement.</p>	<p>1 - Lead Valuer has less than 5 years experience with Plant, Machinery and Equipment Valuations <b>(0)</b> 2 – Lead Valuer has a minimum of 5 years experience with Plant and Machinery/Equipment Valuations <b>(2,5)</b> 3 - Lead Valuer has more than 5 years' but less than 7 years experience with Plant and Machinery/Equipment Valuations <b>(5)</b> 4 - Lead Valuer has more than 7 years' but less than 10 years experience with Plant and Machinery/Equipment Valuations <b>(7,5)</b> 5 – Lead Valuer has more than 10 years experience with Plant and Machinery/Equipment Valuations <b>(10)</b></p>	10
<b>Minimum threshold of 70 points</b>			<b>100</b>
<b>The Scoring Methodology:</b>			
<b>0 - Non-responsive</b>			
<b>1 - Poor</b>			
<b>2 - Average</b>			
<b>3 - Above Average</b>			
<b>4- Good</b>			
<b>5- Excellent</b>			