

Functional Evaluation Criteria for Enquiry No:WCKBG2566AB

| | Requirements | Criteria | Deliverables | Weighting | Rating | % Rating | [Supplier Name] Response | Eskom Comments |
|---------------------------|--|---|--|-------------|-------------|-----------|----------------------------|----------------|
| 1. COMPANY PROFILE | Well balanced organisation structure | The structure needs to show the function areas from CEO/MD down to the site management and the roles each member plays in delivering the scope. | Provide organisational structure highlighting key positions and how they will interface with Employer | 50% | | 0% | | |
| | Quantitative & practical experience of Company | The supplier needs to have more than 5 years experience in similar projects. | Submit a portfolio of previous similar project that demonstrates that the Tenderer has the appropriate abilities. Submit a list of contactable references that the Employer can contact during tender evaluations. | 50% | | 0% | | |
| | TOTAL WEIGHTING | | | | 100% | | 0% | |
| 2. TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS | Company to have the capacity to supply EXIDE Vented Lead Batteries. The Supplier shall demonstrate the ability to commission the batteries to the requirements of KNPS and provide all the relevant documentation. | Compliance to KNPS Battery specification DSG-311-089 rev 5. | The Schedule B (attachment 10.2) and the Deviations Schedule (attachment 10.3) of DSG-311-089 rev 5 to be completed and sent for review prior to the processing of any orders. | 100% | | 0% | | |
| | TOTAL WEIGHTING | | | 100% | | 0% | | |

| Final Analysis | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|-------------|-------------|--|
| 1. COMPANY PROFILE | | | | 50% | 0.0% | |
| 2. TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS | | | | 50% | 0.0% | |
| TOTAL | | | | 100% | 0.0% | |

The scoring of the Functional Evaluation is conducted as follows:

A supplier is given a score in each of the sub-categories. These sub-categories are requirements detailed in the specification or contract. Scores are allocated as follows:

- 0 - 0% - Does not meet
- 1 - 75% - Partial meet
- 2 - 100% - Meet

The overall score for functionality criteria is analysed as follows:

- 0% - 79% - Does not meet
- 80% - 100% - Meet

NOT MEET CONCLUSION




COMPILED BY: MARCK FAHRENFORT REVIEWED BY: KAASHIEF SALIE