## Criteria

### Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Eskom Logo | **EVALUATION CRITERIA- Technical Selection Criteria** for the Supply and install of the insulated busbars for coal plough at Majuba Power Station | | | |
| **Section A - MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS** | | **OBJECTIVE EVIDENCE TO BE PRODUCED** | **Criterion achieved**  **Yes/No** | **COMMENT / REMARK** |
| 1. Company to submit a portfolio of evidence of previous experience*.(where a size for size line stop was carried out i.e. main header pipe and connection for line stop equipment is of the same size)* | | The following is the compulsory information that is required:   * Nature of work done;   This shall be for all work done over the last 2 years. |  | **Applicable to all service providers** |
| 1. Method statement | | Detailed Method Statement with client interventions indicated at different milestones in relation to the scope of work execution. *The details of the method statement shall be specific to this Majuba project. A generic or general method statement will not be considered or accepted.* |  | **Applicable to all service providers** |
| 1. Tooling capacity | | The service provider to demonstrate capability of machinery/equipment to install busbars and relevant equipment. *This will include a list of tooling required for the work execution and a fitness for service tooling check list* |  | **Applicable to all service providers** |

### Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria for Part 1

Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria for Part 1

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Section B - QUALITATIVE CRITERIA** | |  |  |  | | | |  |
| **KPI - Criteria Evaluation Indicator** | **Weight (%)** | **Minimum Criteria Evaluation Requirements** | **Unit** | **0 Non-Responsive 0%**  **2 Non-Compliant 4.175%**  **4 Compliant with associated qualifications 12.125%**  **5 Compliant 16.7%** | | | | **TOTAL RATING** |
| 3.3.2.1 Company Profile | 30 | The contractor to submit proof in a form of a CV of the appointed/employed individuals that will be executing line stop.  CV as proof indicating the following individuals/disciplines:   1. Minimum 2 years installation experience (entity doing the work) 2. Min 3 years experience – Supervisor 3. Min 1 years experience - Technician/Tradesperson | Number | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 3.3.2.2 Established safety systems | 20 | Companies must provide proof of the following:   1. Installation Procedure in Hazardous Locations 2. Safe for use inspection sheets for the equipment 3. PPE used during the work | Number | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.3.2.3 Engineering Involvement | 30 | 1. Demonstrable Proof of Master Installation Electrician for Issuing of CoC in Hazardous Locations and at least one certificate issued by him. | Number | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 3.3.2.5 Quality | 20 | The contractor to submit quality documentation that will demonstrate proficiency and capability to perform the work    1. Two Examples QCP’s from similar works. | Number | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5 |  |

### TET Member Responsibilities for Part 1

Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities for Part 1

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Mandatory Criteria Number** | **TET 1** | **TET 2** | **TET 3** | **TET 4** | **TET 5** |
| Company to submit a portfolio of evidence of previous experience. | X | X | X | X | X |
| Method statement | X | X | X | X | X |
| Tooling capacity |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Qualitative Criteria Number** | **TET 1** | **TET 2** | **TET 3** | **TET 4** | **TET 5** |
| 3.3.2.1 Company Profile | X | X | X | X | X |
| 3.3.2.2 Company Processes | X | X | X | X | X |
| 3.3.2.3 Established safety systems | X | X | X | X | X |
| 3.3.2.4 Engineering Involvement | X | X | X | X | X |
| 3.3.2.5 Quality | X | X | X | X | X |
| 3.3.2.6 Welding Requirements | X | X | X | X | X |

## Foreseen Acceptable / Unacceptable Qualifications

### Risks

Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Risk** | **Description** |
|  | Inadequate or less than required number of core team. |

Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Risk** | **Description** |
|  | Technically unacceptable or inadequate method statement |

### Exceptions / Conditions

Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Risk** | **Description** |
|  | None |

Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Risk** | **Description** |
|  | None |

# Authorisation

This document has been seen and accepted by:

| Name | Designation | Signature |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Mokete Mbele | Senior Technical Supervisor |  |
| Ben Mbuyane | Electrical Maintenance Manager |  |
| Marcus Rathlallane | Maintenance Manager |  |

# Revisions

# Development team

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

* See section 4 above

# Acknowledgements

* Mokete Mbele
* Ben Mbuyane