



Technical Evaluation Criteria

GROOTVLEI POWER STATION

Title: **The Provision Of Quality And Safety Management Services At Grootvlei Power Station For A Period Of 5 (Five) Years**

Document Identifier: **GVL/0718**

Alternative Reference **N/A**

Number:

Area of Applicability: **Grootvlei Power**

Station

Functional Area: **Risk and Assurance**

Revision: **1**

Total Pages: **15**

Next Review Date: **AS REQUIRED**

Disclosure **Controlled**

Classification: **Disclosure**

Compiled by

[Redacted signature]

Supported by

[Redacted signature]

Authorized by

[Redacted signature]

[Redacted name]

[Redacted name]

[Redacted name]

Date: 2026/02/09

Date: 2026/02/09

Date: 2026/02/09

Contents	Page
1. Introduction.....	3
2. Supporting Clauses.....	3
2.1.1 Purpose.....	3
2.1.2 Applicability.....	3
2.1.3 Effective date.....	3
2.2 Normative/Informative References.....	4
2.2.1 Normative.....	4
2.2.2 Informative.....	4
2.3 Definitions.....	4
2.3.1 Classification.....	4
2.4 Abbreviations.....	4
2.5 Roles and Responsibilities.....	4
2.6 Process for Monitoring.....	4
2.7 Related/Supporting Documents.....	5
3 Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy.....	5
3.1 Technical Evaluation Threshold.....	5
3.2 TET Members.....	6
3.3 Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria.....	7
3.4 Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria.....	8
3.5 TET Member Responsibilities.....	13
3.6 Foreseen Acceptable / Unacceptable Qualifications.....	14
3.7 Exceptions / Conditions.....	14
4. Acceptance.....	15
5. Revisions.....	15
6. Development Team.....	15
7. Acknowledgement.....	15

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

1. Introduction

Grootvlei Power Station cannot recruit resources to carry out critical plant statutory and legal inspections including OHS compliance activities due to the anticipated shutdown of the operations. The Business Unit requires resources to carry out critical activities to support the current operations and carry out inspections as required by OSH Act.

The contract for the Provision Quality and Safety Management Services at Grootvlei Power Station will assist in immediately having QC Inspectors to carry out on-site and off-site statutory and non-statutory inspections for daily maintenance activities and to review statutory data books and the Safety resources to ensure compliance with OHS requirements by contractors and service providers.

2. Supporting Clauses

2.1 Scope

The Provision Of Quality And Safety Management Services At Grootvlei Power Station For A Period Of 5 (Five) Years.

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Technical Evaluation Criteria and TET members responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

2.1.2 Applicability

This document shall apply to Grootvlei Power Station.

2.1.3 Effective date

Not Applicable

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

2.2 Normative/Informative References

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

- [1] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [2] ISO 9001 Quality Management System Requirements

2.2.2 Informative

Not Applicable.

2.3 Definitions

2.3.1 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

2.4 Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Description
OHS	Occupational Health and Safety
TET	Technical Evaluation Team
N/A	Not Applicable
QC	Quality Control
SAIW	South African Institute of Welding

2.5 Roles and Responsibilities

As per 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

2.6 Process for Monitoring

Not applicable

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

2.7 Related/Supporting Documents

Not applicable

3 Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy

3.1 Technical Evaluation Threshold

Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria are weighted evaluation criteria used to identify the highest technically ranked tenderer after determining that all the Commercial Mandatory Evaluation Criteria have been met. The Qualitative Evaluation Criteria are weighted to reflect the relevant importance of each criterion.

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 70% on Section 1 .

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

3.2 TET Members

Table 1: TET MEMBERS

TET number	TET Member Name	Designation
TET 1	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
TET 2	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
TET 3	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the document management system, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the system. No part of this document may be reproduced in any manner or form by third parties without the written consent of Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, © copyright Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, Reg No 2002/015527/30

3.3 Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the document management system, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the system. No part of this document may be reproduced in any manner or form by third parties without the written consent of Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, © copyright Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, Reg No 2002/015527/30

3.4 Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

Threshold

The threshold on the technical evaluation criteria is 70%. Suppliers / Service providers would be deemed technically unacceptable if they score less than the threshold score and will thus not be evaluated further.

The Employer reserves the right to re-interview resources whenever a need arises to verify experience and competencies required. Should the provided resources be found to be not performing according to expectations, the Employer may request the employee to be removed from site and be replaced by a suitable candidate.

Table 2: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

KPA (Area of evaluation) Evidence	Minimum criteria evaluation requirement	Weight	% Score	Evaluation score
1. Reference letter from the client, or copy of contract/acceptance letter, purchase order or completion certificate from the client	Has the contractor submitted Reference of similar scope of work, (i.e. The Provision Of Quality And Safety Management Services)	20%	No submission = 0	
			Less than 1 year = 2	
			Less than 2 years = 4	
			2 years and above = 5	
2. QC and Safety resources experience and training (Evidence – Contractors to submit detailed CVs)	Senior Technician: QC x 2 (Mechanical & Electrical) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 2-3 years related experience (Power Plant) - Power Plant and off-site quality inspections experience - Must be able to read drawings and applicable welding documents 	40%	No submission of CVs per job profile = 0	
			Submitted 2x Safety and 2 x Quality CVs Only (AND not submitted Admin Clerk & Occupational Hygienist) = 2	

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Technical report writing experience <p>Welding Administrator</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 3 years related experience (Mechanical Eng.) - Plant and off-site quality inspections experience - Must be able to read drawings and applicable welding documents - Must be able to review scope of work for the job against welding requirements - Must be able to give guidance on the suitability and validity of welder's and welding operators' qualification certificates. - Technical report writing experience <p>Quality Assurance Officer</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 3 years' experience knowledge on Quality Management Systems Auditing - Generation business and processes knowledge <p>Officer: Safety, Health & Environmental</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Sound knowledge of Safety Risk processes, systems and practices 		<p>Submitted only Quality, Safety and Admin Clerk CVs per job profile) AND not submitted Welding Admin = 4</p> <p>Submitted all CVs as per job profile = 5</p>	
--	---	--	---	--

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Sound knowledge of Generation Business processes - 3 years related experience (Power Plant) <p>Occupational Hygienist</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Facilitate the implementation of Occupational Hygiene and Safety (OHS) risk control strategies and processes. - • Provide a professional Occupational Hygiene and Safety consultancy/advisory service to management and staff. - • Develop and implement risk profiles. - • Ensure compliance to legislative and governance requirements of the business unit. - • Advise on training needs analysis to provide training, information and instruction to the employees and contractors to meet Eskom OHS and Legal requirements. <p>Senior Clerk</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 3 years of experience in related field. 			
--	---	--	--	--

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

3. KPA (Area of evaluation)	Minimum criteria evaluation requirement	Weight	% Score	Weight x score
Resources qualifications	Qualifications Senior Technician: QC x2 (Mechanical & Electrical) Grade 12 Welding and Fabrication Inspector Level 1. (S.A.I.W.) or National Diploma Technical (Mechanical and Electrical Eng.) Welding Administrator Grade 12 or related qualification Welding and Fabrication Inspector Level 2. (S.A.I.W.) Quality Assurance Officer National Diploma Quality Management or Business Administration	40%	No submission as per job profiles = 0	
			Submitted Safety, Quality qualifications only (AND not submitted Admin clerk CV) = 2	
			Submitted all qualifications per job profile (AND not submitted Welding Admin) = 4	
			Submitted all qualifications per job profile = 5	

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

	<p>Officer: Safety, Health & Environmental National Diploma : Safety Management</p> <p>Senior Clerk Grade 12/N3, 3 years of experience in related field.</p> <p>Occupational Hygienist Relevant occupational hygiene qualification – NQF 7 or higher tertiary qualification (B. Tech Environmental Health /Occupational Hygiene. Legal Knowledge Certificate</p>			
Total		100%		
Threshold		70%		

Conclusion:

Rating for Technical Criteria

Rating for Individual Technical Criteria	%
Completely Meets Technical Requirement - 5	100
Mostly Meets Technical Requirement - 4	80
Partially Meets Technical Requirement - 2	40
Does not Meet Technical Requirement - 0	0

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

3.5 TET Member Responsibilities

Table 3: TET Member Responsibilities

Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	
Section 1			
1	X	X	X
2	X	X	X
3	X	X	X
4	X	X	X

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the document management system, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the system. No part of this document may be reproduced in any manner or form by third parties without the written consent of Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, © copyright Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, Reg No 2002/015527/30

3.6 Foreseen Acceptable / Unacceptable Qualifications

Table 4: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	N/A

Table 5: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	N/A

3.7 Exceptions / Conditions

Table 6 : Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	N/A

Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

4. Acceptance

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

5. Revisions

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
January 2026	1	[REDACTED]	Final Document

6. Development Team

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

7. Acknowledgement

None

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE