

 Eskom	Strategy Technical Evaluation	Engineering
---	--	--------------------

Title: **Tender Technical Evaluation for
Physical Security Guard Eskom
Properties for the period of 36
months**

Unique Identifier: **N/A**

Alternative Reference Number: **N/A**

Area of Applicability: **Kriel Properties**

Documentation Type: **Strategy**


Revision: **0**

Total Pages: **10**

Next Review Date: **N/A**

Disclosure Classification: **CONTROLLED
DISCLOSURE**

Compiled by




Chris Van der Merwe
Supervisor Technical

Functional Responsibility



Thabo Mashego
Line Manager

Authorised by



Elias Katasa
Group Manager

Date: 2025/02/27

Date: 2025/02/27

Date: 25/03/2025

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES.....	3
2.1 SCOPE	3
2.1.1 Purpose	3
2.1.2 Applicability.....	3
2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES.....	3
2.2.1 Normative	3
2.2.2 Informative.....	4
2.3 DEFINITIONS.....	4
2.3.1 Classification	4
2.4 ABBREVIATIONS.....	4
2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES.....	4
2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING.....	4
2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS.....	4
3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT	4
3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY.....	4
3.2 MANDATORY TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS	5
3.3 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD	6
3.4 TET MEMBERS.....	6
3.5 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA.....	6
3.6 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES	9
3.7 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS.....	10
3.7.1 Risks.....	10
3.7.2 Exceptions / Conditions	10
4. AUTHORISATION.....	10
5. REVISIONS	10
6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM	10
7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	10

TABLES

Table 1: TET Members	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 2: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria.....	7
Table 3: TET Member Responsibilities.....	9

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

Tender Technical Evaluation for Physical Security Guard Eskom Properties for the period of 36 months

1. INTRODUCTION

This report is to give an insight on the Tender Technical Evaluation Criteria and the Tender Technical Evaluation Team members. It also discusses the mandatory and quantitative criteria's to be followed during Tender Technical Evaluation.

2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES

2.1 SCOPE

The scope of work gives the requirements and specifications for Kriel Properties, by performing access control and patrolling the premises e.g Bokmakierie flats, Merlin Flats, Arcade Flats and Bachelor Flats

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this order technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

2.1.2 Applicability

This document will apply to all appointed/involved in the technical tender evaluations of tenders received from the service provider(s) in response to Physical security guard for the period of 36 months.

2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

- [1] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Team Procedure
- [2] 240-53716726: Technical Scoring Form
- [3] 240-53716712: Technical Evaluation Results

Tender Technical Evaluation for Physical Security Guard Eskom Properties for the period of 36 months

2.2.2 Informative

[4] **PSG-** Physical Security Guard.

2.3 DEFINITIONS

2.3.1 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

2.4 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
PSIRA	Private Security Industry Regulatory Authority
SAPS FC	South African Police Service Firearm Competency

2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

As per 240-48929482: Tender for Technical Evaluation Team Procedure

2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

N/A

2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

N/A

3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY

The Tenders will be evaluated as per the Technical Evaluation Team Criteria provided below. The following criteria will be evaluated for the contract received.

Tender Technical Evaluation for Physical Security Guard Eskom Properties for the period of 36 months

1. Mandatory Technical Requirements
2. Functionality – Qualitative technical Requirements

The technical criteria will consist of the Mandatory Technical Requirements and Qualitative technical Requirements only, i.e., step 1 and 2.

The scope of the contract is predominantly confined to Physical Security Guard. The technical criteria are therefore Physical Security Guard.

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 75%.

3.2 MANDATORY TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS

No.	Minimum Criteria Evaluation Requirements	Source
1.	Company registered with PSIRA as a security service provider – (as per the Chapter 3, Section 20, par 1(a), Section 25 and regulations)	Submit valid PSIRA certification in business.
2.	Company's director PSIRA registration certificate: Grade A and police clearance	Submit Certified Copy
3.	PSIRA letter of good standing	Valid certificate of PSIRA letter of good standing
3.	Fire-arm competency in business purpose to possess the three firearms	Submit Certified Copy of -12 Gauge Shotgun -9 mm Pistol -LM 4 Rifle

Functionality forms the second step in the evaluation process with a weighting of 100%. The contract will be required to score a minimum of 75% in these technical criteria to qualify.

Tender Technical Evaluation for Physical Security Guard Eskom Properties for the period of 36 months

3.3 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

The scoring for each contract will be done as per the scoring table 2 shown below. This table is as per the requirements of Bid Evaluation Procedure [1]. The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a contract to be considered from a technical perspective is 75%.

3.4 TET MEMBERS

The TET members should be minimum of two (2) which will be doing the evaluations, Tsoetsi Simon and Mosotho Phaahla are TET members to do evaluations.

3.5 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Functionality forms the second step in the evaluation process with a weighting of 100%. The contract will be required to score a minimum of 75% in these technical criteria to qualify.

Tender Technical Evaluation for Physical Security Guard Eskom Properties for the period of 36 months

Table 1: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

Matla Power Station Technical Evaluation - Provision of Tactical Response Team						
The company must Satisfy all the Mandatory Compliance Requirements before it can be evaluated further.						
KPA - Area of Evaluation	Weight (%)	KPI - Criteria Evaluation Indicator	Minimum Criteria Evaluation Requirements	Source	%	Score
		1	A minimum 6-12 months Security Experience at Industrial, preferably Eskom Sites 12-18 months Industrial/National Key Point site=10%; 6 -12 Months industrial/National Key Point site=15%	Company to provide proof of previous work with contactable references. This will serve as a proof.	20%	20%
		2	Daytime X4 Security Officer must be Grade C PSIRA Registered	Company to provide certified copies of -PSIRA Grade B, Grade 12, Police Clearance, SAPS Competencies certificate, National Key point and CV with experience. -PSIRA Accredited	20%	20%

Tender Technical Evaluation for Physical Security Guard Eskom Properties for the period of 36 months

	3	Night time X4 Security Officer Grade C PSIRA Registered	PSIRA Grade B, Grade 12, Police Clearance, SAPS Competencies certificate and CV with experience	20%	No proof = 0	Proof to be submitted with Tender	20%
	4	Company must be in possession of PSIRA letter of good standing Grade A	Company to provide proof of previous work with contactable references. This will serve as a proof.	20%	No proof = 0	Proof to be submitted with Tender	20%
	5	Night time and Daytime X2 two Armed Grade B supervisor. Reaction officers must have Reaction Officers	PSIRA Grade B, Grade 12, Police Clearance, SAPS Competencies certificate and CV with experience	10%	No proof = 10	Proof to be submitted with the Tender	10%
	6	4X4 Patrolling Vehicle	Roadworthy	10%	No proof = 0	Proof to be submitted with Tender	10%
TOTAL				100%			100%

3.6 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

Table 2: TET Member Responsibilities

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2
1	X	X
2	X	X
Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2
1	X	X
2	X	X

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3.7 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

3.7.1 Risks

N/A

3.7.2 Exceptions / Conditions

N/A

4. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation
Simon Tsotetsi	Officer Security Operation
Mosotho Phaahla	Manager Security
Richard Gololo	Risk and Assurance Manager

5. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
September 2024	0	Simon Tsotetsi	Final Document for Technical Evaluation Criteria

6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

Simon Tsotetsi

Mosotho Phaahla

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.