

SECTION 2.2: FUNCTIONALITY EVALUATION CRITERION

- (a) Reference Scoring: A maximum of 100 points will be awarded at the sole discretion of the Municipality's Bid Evaluation Committee based on the information provided and will be split as follows.

CRITERIA	POINTS
1. Experience	20
2. References	50
3. Locality	30
Total	100

Criteria will be evaluated as follow:

1. Experience (Company profile must be attached)

1	Experience	Points
	a. More than 7 years	20
	b. More than 3 - 7 years	15
	c. 1 - 3 years	10
	d. No experience	0
	Total	20

To qualify for experience points, the bidder must **submit an appointment letter** which was made out in the name of the bidder to deliver access control/gate guard related services. The date of appointment will be used to allocate points for experience.

2. References

The Bidder is hereby requested to provide a minimum of 5 contactable references. The references should complete, score and sign Form A: Original Completed Form A to be included in the tender documentation. Points for References will be allocated as indicated in the tables below. Please note that the information provided can be verified by the Municipality. Please note that no or incomplete information is provided for functionality 0 points will be allocated.

The references must specifically be for rendering access control/gate guard related services by the bidder, no other references will be considered.

FORM A: NOMINATED REFERENCES FOR BIDDER**Background information of Nominated Referees**

Referee name:	
Postal address	
Contact number of referee:	
Email address:	
Name of Bidder evaluated:	
Project Name:	
Project Description:	
Project Completion date:	
Project duration:	
Final Project Cost:	

COMPLETION OF ASSIGNMENTS ON TIME (2 POINTS)	
Question:	Answer
Did the bidder complete the project within the time frame	Excellent (2 Points)
	Poor (0 Points)

QUALITY OF SERVICE (2 POINTS)	
Question	Answer
What was the quality of the service?	Excellent (2 Points)
	Poor (0 Points)

COMPLETION OF ASSIGNMENTS WITHIN BUDGET (2 POINTS)	
Question	Answer
Did the bidder complete the project within the allocated Budget?	Excellent (2 Points)
	Poor (0 Points)

QUALITY OF END PRODUCT (2 POINTS)	
Question	Answer
Was work executed in accordance with the Project Execution Statement/specifications and did the final product/service match the expectations that were created during the Project Initiation Stage/as per specifications?	Excellent (2 Points)
	Poor (0 Points)

TRANSPARENCY AND OPENNESS ON PROGRESS REPORTING (1 POINT)	
Question	Answer
Was the <i>progress reporting, transparent and open</i> ?	Excellent (1 Point)
	Poor (0 Points)
PROFESSIONALISM (1 POINT)	
Question	Answer
Professional behaviour at all times, towards Client and all Role Players?	Excellent (1 Point)
	Poor (0 Points)

Additional Remarks/Comments:

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the above information is, to the best of my knowledge, correct and a true reflection.

Signature of Deponent

Date of declaration

FORM A: NOMINATED REFERENCES FOR BIDDER**Background information of Nominated Referees**

Referee name:	
Postal address	
Contact number of referee:	
Email address:	
Name of Bidder evaluated:	
Project Name:	
Project Description:	
Project Completion date:	
Project duration:	
Final Project Cost:	

COMPLETION OF ASSIGNMENTS ON TIME		(2 POINTS)
Question:		Answer
Did the bidder complete the project within the time frame		Excellent (2 Points)
		Poor (0 Points)

QUALITY OF SERVICE		(2 POINTS)
Question		Answer
What was the quality of the service?		Excellent (2 Points)
		Poor (0 Points)

COMPLETION OF ASSIGNMENTS WITHIN BUDGET		(2 POINTS)
Question		Answer
Did the bidder complete the project within the allocated Budget?		Excellent (2 Points)
		Poor (0 Points)

QUALITY OF END PRODUCT (2 POINTS)	
Question	Answer
Was work executed in accordance with the Project Execution Statement/specifications and did the final product/service match the expectations that were created during the Project Initiation Stage/as per specifications?	Excellent (2 Points)
	Poor (0 Points)

TRANSPARENCY AND OPENNESS ON PROGRESS REPORTING (1 POINT)	
Question	Answer
Was the <i>progress reporting, transparent and open</i> ?	Excellent (1 Point)
	Poor (0 Points)
PROFESSIONALISM (1 POINT)	
Question	Answer
Professional behaviour at all times, towards Client and all Role Players?	Excellent (1 Point)
	Poor (0 Points)

Additional Remarks/Comments:

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the above information is, to the best of my knowledge, correct and a true reflection.

Signature of Deponent

Date of declaration

FORM A: NOMINATED REFERENCES FOR BIDDER**Background information of Nominated Referees**

Referee name:	
Postal address	
Contact number of referee:	
Email address:	
Name of Bidder evaluated:	
Project Name:	
Project Description:	
Project Completion date:	
Project duration:	
Final Project Cost:	

COMPLETION OF ASSIGNMENTS ON TIME		(2 POINTS)
Question:	Answer	
Did the bidder complete the project within the time frame	Excellent (2 Points)	
	Poor (0 Points)	

QUALITY OF SERVICE		(2 POINTS)
Question	Answer	
What was the quality of the service?	Excellent (2 Points)	
	Poor (0 Points)	

COMPLETION OF ASSIGNMENTS WITHIN BUDGET		(2 POINTS)
Question	Answer	
Did the bidder complete the project within the allocated Budget?	Excellent (2 Points)	
	Poor (0 Points)	

QUALITY OF END PRODUCT (2 POINTS)	
Question	Answer
Was work executed in accordance with the Project Execution Statement/specifications and did the final product/service match the expectations that were created during the Project Initiation Stage/as per specifications?	Excellent (2 Points)
	Poor (0 Points)

TRANSPARENCY AND OPENNESS ON PROGRESS REPORTING (1 POINT)	
Question	Answer
Was the <i>progress reporting, transparent and open</i> ?	Excellent (1 Point)
	Poor (0 Points)
PROFESSIONALISM (1 POINT)	
Question	Answer
Professional behaviour at all times, towards Client and all Role Players?	Excellent (1 Point)
	Poor (0 Points)

Additional Remarks/Comments:

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the above information is, to the best of my knowledge, correct and a true reflection.

Signature of Deponent

Date of declaration

FORM A: NOMINATED REFERENCES FOR BIDDER**Background information of Nominated Referees**

Referee name:	
Postal address	
Contact number of referee:	
Email address:	
Name of Bidder evaluated:	
Project Name:	
Project Description:	
Project Completion date:	
Project duration:	
Final Project Cost:	

COMPLETION OF ASSIGNMENTS ON TIME (2 POINTS)	
Question:	Answer
Did the bidder complete the project within the time frame	Excellent (2 Points)
	Poor (0 Points)

QUALITY OF SERVICE (2 POINTS)	
Question	Answer
What was the quality of the service?	Excellent (2 Points)
	Poor (0 Points)

COMPLETION OF ASSIGNMENTS WITHIN BUDGET (2 POINTS)	
Question	Answer
Did the bidder complete the project within the allocated Budget?	Excellent (2 Points)
	Poor (0 Points)

QUALITY OF END PRODUCT (2 POINTS)	
Question	Answer
Was work executed in accordance with the Project Execution Statement/specifications and did the final product/service match the expectations that were created during the Project Initiation Stage/as per specifications?	Excellent (2 Points)
	Poor (0 Points)

TRANSPARENCY AND OPENNESS ON PROGRESS REPORTING (1 POINT)	
Question	Answer
Was the progress reporting, transparent and open?	Excellent (1 Point)
	Poor (0 Points)

PROFESSIONALISM (1 POINT)	
Question	Answer
Professional behaviour at all times, towards Client and all Role Players?	Excellent (1 Point)
	Poor (0 Points)

Additional Remarks/Comments:

--

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the above information is, to the best of my knowledge, correct and a true reflection.

Signature of Deponent

Date of declaration

FORM A: NOMINATED REFERENCES FOR BIDDER**Background information of Nominated Referees**

Referee name:	
Postal address	
Contact number of referee:	
Email address:	
Name of Bidder evaluated:	
Project Name:	
Project Description:	
Project Completion date:	
Project duration:	
Final Project Cost:	

COMPLETION OF ASSIGNMENTS ON TIME (2 POINTS)	
Question:	Answer
Did the bidder complete the project within the time frame	Excellent (2 Points)
	Poor (0 Points)

QUALITY OF SERVICE (2 POINTS)	
Question	Answer
What was the quality of the service?	Excellent (2 Points)
	Poor (0 Points)

COMPLETION OF ASSIGNMENTS WITHIN BUDGET (2 POINTS)	
Question	Answer
Did the bidder complete the project within the allocated Budget?	Excellent (2 Points)
	Poor (0 Points)

Initials of Service Provider's Authority:

QUALITY OF END PRODUCT (2 POINTS)	
Question	Answer
Was work executed in accordance with the Project Execution Statement/specifications and did the final product/service match the expectations that were created during the Project Initiation Stage/as per specifications?	Excellent (2 Points)
	Poor (0 Points)

TRANSPARENCY AND OPENNESS ON PROGRESS REPORTING (1 POINT)	
Question	Answer
Was the <i>progress reporting, transparent and open</i> ?	Excellent (1 Point)
	Poor (0 Points)
PROFESSIONALISM (1 POINT)	
Question	Answer
Professional behaviour at all times, towards Client and all Role Players?	Excellent (1 Point)
	Poor (0 Points)

Additional Remarks/Comments:

--

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the above information is, to the best of my knowledge, correct and a true reflection.

Signature of Deponent

Date of declaration

2	References	Points
	a. 5 References that responded positively to questions	50
	b. 4 References responded positively to questions	40
	c. 3 References responded positively to questions	30
	d. 2 References responded positively to questions	20
	e. 1 Reference responded positively to questions	10
	f. 0 References responded positively to questions or no references	0
	Total	50

3. Locality

3	Locality	Points
	a. Town in area which the service is rendered. (25 km radius applicable)	30
	b. Hessequa region	10
	c. Western Cape and other	0
	Total	30

30 Points will be awarded to a bidder should a bidder permanently reside in an area not more than 25 KM's from the Blue flag beach or swimming pool which he/she submit a tender for. Bidders residing in Slangrivier will in the event of submitting a bid for Witsand Blue flag beach receive 30 points for locality. The address of the bidder on the tender document will be used for allocating points.

A bidder that scores less than 60 points out of 100 in respect of "functionality" will be regarded as submitting a non-responsive proposal and will be disqualified.

The proposal scoring the highest points for price and preference will normally be awarded the contract although the Municipality reserves the right to make an award, at its sole discretion, to any bidders or combination of bidders.

EVIDENCE OF FUNCTIONALITY SHOULD BE ATTACHED IN AN ANNEXURE ATTACHED TO THE TENDER DOCUMENT.

Failure to provide the information as stated above, may result in no points being awarded to tenderer.

DECLARATION,

Initials of Service Provider's Authority:

I, THE UNDERSIGNED (NAME)

CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION FURNISHED ABOVE IS CORRECT. I ACCEPT THAT THE MUNICIPALITY MAY ACT AGAINST ME SHOULD THIS DECLARATION PROVE TO BE FALSE.

AUTHORISED SIGNATURE:

NAME:

CAPACITY:

DATE: