

	<p style="text-align: center;">Strategy</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Camden Power Station</p>
---	--	--

Title: Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy for Camden power Station Pollution Control Dams Dredging for a period of 48 months as and when required

Document Identifier: 559-1320891231

Alternative Reference Number: N/A

Area of Applicability: Engineering



Functional Area: Auxiliary

Revision: 1.0

Total Pages: 11

Next Review Date: N/A

Disclosure Classification: Controlled Disclosure

Compiled by	Supported by	Functional Responsibility	Authorized by
 pp.	 pp.		
N. Shoji Civil System Engineer	O. Tilodi Manager Auxiliary Engineering	M. Ngubeni Manager Auxiliary Maintenance	M. Shaku Engineering Manager
Date: 14/11/2025	Date: 14/11/2025	Date: 17/11/2025	Date: 18/11/2025

Content

	Page
1. Introduction	3
2. Supporting Clauses	3
2.1 Scope	3
2.1.1 Purpose	3
2.1.2 Applicability	3
2.1.3 Effective date	3
2.2 Normative/Informative References	3
2.2.1 Normative	3
2.2.2 Informative	4
2.3 Definitions	4
2.4 Abbreviations	4
2.5 Roles and Responsibilities	4
2.6 Process for Monitoring	4
2.7 Related/Supporting Documents	4
3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY	4
3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD	4
3.2 TET MEMBERS	5
3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	6
3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	7
3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES	9
3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS	10
3.6.1 Risks	10
3.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions	10
4. REVISIONS	11
5. DEVELOPMENT TEAM	11
6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	11

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

1. Introduction

Camden is located approximately 15km from Ermelo, Mpumalanga, along the N2 road. Camden consists of four PCD`s(Pollution Control Dams) which are currently silted up or have accumulated silt and therefore requires desilting/dredging in order to restore its original.

2. Supporting Clauses

2.1 Scope

This document covers the different aspects that will be evaluated and scored by the two-disciplines Technical Evaluation Team (TET) to complete the technical evaluation of the Pollution control dams. The team members are listed and appointed in this document along with their responsibilities. The document also describes the acceptable and unacceptable risks and qualifications and/or conditions. Once the Technical Evaluation Strategy is authorised no changes will be made to the evaluation criteria without appropriate authorisation.

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and Technical Evaluation Team (TET) member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

2.1.2 Applicability

This document is applicable to the Pollution Control Dams dredging contract project.

2.1.3 Effective date

This document will be effective once it has been authorised

2.2 Normative/Informative References

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

- [1] ISO 9001 Quality Management Systems
- [2] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [3] 32-1034: Eskom Procurement Policy

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

2.2.2 Informative

[4] 229-12264 – Engineering Work Instruction

2.3 Definitions

N/A

2.4 Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Description
CV	Curriculum Vitae
TET	Technical Evaluation Team
PCD	Pollution Control Dam
Abbreviation	Explanation

2.5 Roles and Responsibilities

As per 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

2.6 Process for Monitoring

N/A

2.7 Related/Supporting Documents

N/A

3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY

3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 70%.

Should suppliers not meet the minimum threshold of 70%, Eskom reserves the right to consider suppliers that score between 60% to 69% if found to be technically acceptable.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Table 1: Qualitative Evaluation Criteria Scoring Table

Score	(%)	Definition
5	100	COMPLIANT <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meet technical requirement(s) AND; No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements.
4	80	COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS Meet technical requirement(s) with; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; Acceptable exceptions AND/OR; Acceptable conditions.
2	40	NON-COMPLIANT <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not meet technical requirement(s) AND/OR; Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR; Unacceptable conditions.
0	0	TOTALLY DEFICIENT OR NON-RESPONSIVE
Note 1: The scoring table does not allow for scoring of 1 and 3. Note 2: Foreseen acceptable and unacceptable risk(s), exceptions and conditions shall be unambiguously defined in the relevant Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy.		

3.2 TET MEMBERS

Table 2: TET Members

TET number	TET Member Name	Designation
TET1	Nkanyiso Shozi	System Engineer (Auxiliary Civil) – Camden
TET 2	Funeka Grootboom	Chief Engineer (Auxiliary Civil) – Generation
TET3	Skhumbuzo Nkosi	System Engineer (Auxiliary Civil) – Camden
TET 4	Patrick Shange	Senior Technician Auxiliary Maintenance
TET5	Fikile Vilakazi	Senior Advisor Environmental Department-Camden
TET6	Zwanga Budeli	Officer Environmental Department-Camden

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 3: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable
1	- Contracts Manager to be registered with SACPMP as a Professional Construction Manager and must have a Civil Engineering qualification NQF level 6 as a minimum	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- A valid SACPMP certificate to be submitted- A valid qualification certificate to be submitted
2	- Drone Pilot for bathymetric survey	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Aviation certificate to operate the Drone.- ID copies of the Drone Pilot
3	- Proof of company's permission to transport hazardous waste material.	-Tenderer must submit a valid hazmat permit

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 4: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)	FLOOR 0=0%	KICK IN 2=40%	AVERAGE 4=80%	CEILING 5=100%
1.1	Proof of company experience in dam dredging scope of work, minimum 3 years relevant experience in lined dam dredging	-Proof must be submitted as appointment letters and completion certificates, with traceable references (with preferable landline contact numbers and email address). -Start and Finish dates must be included on Appointment letters and completion certificates -Clients company Emblem or Logo must be on appointment letter and completion certificate -Appointment letters and completion certificate must be sign by all party's including client	15%	No submission	N/A	Submitted appointments with completion certificate but less than 3 years' experience in online and offline dredging of lined dam	Submitted appointments with completion certificate with more than 3 years' experience in online and offline dredging of lined dam
1.2	CV's and of technical key personnel (i.e. Safety Officer & Supervisor) with their minimum 5 years of experience in dam dredging scope of work. CV and Qualification for the Site Manager with their years of experience in dam dredging scope of work.	-CV's technical key resources to be submitted - Minimum qualification for Site Manager NQF level 6 Civil engineering	10%	No submission	Submitted CV's but no qualifications and no relevant experience	Submitted CV's and qualifications but less than 5 years' experience for Site Manager as the main site key personnel	Submitted CV's and qualifications with more than 5 years' experience for Site Manager as the main site key personnel

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

**Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy for
Camden Power Station Pollution Control Dams
Dredging for a period of 48 months as and when
required**

Unique Identifier: 559-1320891231

Revision: 1.0

Page: 8 of 11

1.3	Detailed method statement demonstrating full understanding for dredging of different all four pollution control dams as stated on the issued scope of work	-A tenderer must submit a method statement detailing how they would execute the work. -Method statement must be approved and signed by the tenderer	30%	No submission	Method statement not detailed and does not indicate dredging method for each of all four pollution control dams.	Method statement must be detailed but indicating two dredging methods for all four pollution control dams	Method statement is detailed enough and indicates dredging method for each of all four pollution control dams
1.4	Detailed level three program demonstrating full understanding of scope of work	-A tenderer must submit a detailed program demonstrating full understanding of scope of work. Programme to list all activities to be done and duration of dredging considering the nature (HDPE and Concrete) and size of each dam. -Program must be approved and signed off by company tendering.	5%	No submission	N/A	Program submitted but not detailed and not signed off	Program submitted detailed and signed off
1.5	Proof of ownership or lease agreement of the plant & equipment as required by different dredging methods	-A valid natis certificate for the plant. -If the tenderer is intending to hire the plant, therefore a letter of intent from leasing company with a company logo should be provided and signed by both parties.	5%	No submission	N/A	Proof of ownership submitted but not all the plant submitted. or Lease agreement submitted but not signed	Proof of ownership submitted or signed lease agreement signed by both parties
1.6	Proof of vacuum truck operators' qualification certificate.	-Tenderer submits a certified vacuum truck operator certificate.	5%	No submission	N/A	N/A	Submitted and valid with future expiry date
1.7	Proof of company ISO14001:2015: Environmental Management Systems Accreditation	Tenderer must submit a valid ISO 14001:2015 Accreditation Certificate	30%	N/A	N/A	N/A	Submitted and valid with future expiry date
		TOTAL	100				

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the document management system, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the system. No part of this document may be reproduced in any manner or form by third parties without the written consent of Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, © copyright Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, Reg No 2002/015527/30

required

3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

Table 5: TET Member Responsibilities

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET3	TET 4	TET5
1	X	X	X	-	-
2	X	X	X		
3				X	X
Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2		TET 3	
1.1	X	X	X	-	-
1.2	X	X	X	-	-
1.3	X	X	X	X	X
1.4	X	X	X	X	X
1.5	X	X	X	X	X
1.6	X	X	X	X	X
1.7				X	X

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

3.6.1 Risks

Table 6: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Marginally failing to meet the 70% threshold as stipulated in section 3.1.

Table 7: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Failing to meet any of the Technical Gatekeepers as listed in section 3.3, Table 3.

3.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions

Table 8: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	As per the requirements set out under the Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria section 3.4 of this document.

Table 9: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	As per the requirements set out under the Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria section 3.3 of this document.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

4. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
November 2025	1.0	N. Shozi	Original Issue

5. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

- S. Nkosi
- F Grootboom
- P Shange
- F Vilakazi
- Z Budeli

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE