

**KZN GROWTH FUND AGENCY**

**EXPECTED CREDIT LOSS IMPAIRMENT MODEL REVIEW AND VALIDATION FOR 36 MONTHS  
RFQ REFERENCE NUMBER – KZNGFA RFQ 2025 - 30**

Closing date : **19 FEBRUARY 2026**  
Time : **12:00 pm**  
Submission format : **Email** [scm@kzngf.co.za](mailto:scm@kzngf.co.za)

**Name of the respondent:** .....

Late bids will not be accepted

## BID DETAILS

Bid title: EXPECTED CREDIT LOSS IMPAIRMENT MODEL  
REVIEW AND VALIDATION

Procurement Reference Number: KZNGFA RFQ 2025 – 30

Description of Goods & Services: EXPECTED CREDIT LOSS REVIEW

Date of RFQ: 06 February 2026

### A Compulsory Briefing Session will be held as per the below:

**Date:** 11 February 2026

**Time:** 09:00 am (**Companies that join after 09:10 will not be considered to have attended the compulsory briefing session**)

**Venue:** Microsoft Teams

**Join:** <https://teams.microsoft.com/meet/39056015633624?p=n4sUGvZv9282VRrJj2>

**Meeting ID:** 390 560 156 336 24

**Passcode:** Yx6Z367V

Date of RFQ CLOSING: **19 February 2026**

## CONTACT INFORMATION

Any enquiries regarding the bidding procedure may be directed to: Procurement Officer: Sijabulile Ntshangase. Telephone: 031 372 3720. E-mail: [scm@kzngf.co.za](mailto:scm@kzngf.co.za)

## BIDDER'S DETAILS

NAME OF BIDDER.....

POSTAL ADDRESS .....

STREET ADDRESS .....

CONTACT PERSON .....

TELEPHONE NUMBER Code ..... Number .....

CELL PHONE NUMBER Code ..... Number .....

E-MAIL ADDRESS .....

**Signature of Bidder ..... Date .....**

## **1. PURPOSE**

The Kwazulu-Natal Growth Fund Agency (KZNGFA) invites quotations from suitably qualified service provider for provision of validation and review of the Expected Credit Loss Impairment Model.

## **2. BACKGROUND**

Kwazulu-Natal Growth Fund Agency (KZNGFA) is an Agency, established and capitalised by the Provincial Government to provide debt and equity. The main objective of the KZNGFA is to provide support for creating and enabling environment for activities that create jobs and accelerate the economic development of KZN whilst promoting Broad Based Black Economic Empowerment (B-BBEE).

### **2.1 Procurement Philosophy**

It is the policy of KZNGFA, when purchasing goods and obtaining services to follow a course of optimum value and efficiency by adopting best purchasing practices in supply chain management, ensuring that open and fair competition has prevailed, with due regard being given to the importance of:

- a) The promotion, development and support of businesses from disadvantaged communities (small, medium, micro enterprises, as well as established businesses within those communities) in terms of its BEE Policy;
- b) The promotion of national and regional local service providers and agents before considering overseas service providers and;
- c) The development, promotion and support for the moral values that underpin the above, in terms of KZNGFA Business Ethics and Guidelines which requires that all commercial conduct be based on ethical and moral values and sound business practice. This value system governs all commercial behaviour within KZNGFA.

The KZNGFA wishes to engage with service providers who are equally committed to maintain high quality services and competitive pricing.

### **3. SCOPE OF SERVICES REQUIRED**

In preparation for the KZNGFA financial year-end audit, annual validation and review of the Expected Credit Loss (ECL) Impairment Model calculation in line with IRF9 requirements. The annual validation and review of the ECL impairment Model is in preparation for the Auditor General Audit of the Annual Financial Statements of the KZNGFA.

Bidders must provide detailed proposal on the validation and review approach of the Model which comprise of seven projects.

- Review of the KZNGFA's IFRS 9 ECL Impairment model – the service provider is expected to conduct a thorough review of the KZNGFA IFRS 9 ECL model in its entirety through verification of formulas to confirm accuracy, validity, and reliability of the model outputs. Data collection and stakeholder consultation within the organization will be done to provide an initial overall assessment of the models' veracity and applicability given market conditions and KZNGFA internal operations.
- Validation of the KZNGFA's IFRS 9 ECL Impairment model – the service provider to conduct a thorough examination of the model and confirm if the model is still fit for use through detailed desk review of the documentation and risk-based approach for spot checks.
- Benchmarking and model validation – to align with best practice, KZNGFA requires the service provider to conduct a desktop benchmark analysis to give insight into approaches and methodologies being used by similar institutions. Recommendations are required from the service provider to give further guidance in adherence with market standards.

#### **Data and assumptions**

- Review of the data and assumptions, the adequacy of the data controls and data governance procedures in place.
- Identify any implications or limitations of the data used to develop the model, on the ECL output.
- Identify all data inputs required to run the IFRS 9 models and check if all input requirements and formats are properly documented.
- Assess the criteria used for initial staging, the curve used for changes in PD and perform stage test for reasonability; and
- Assess the qualitative factors used for Significant Increase in Credit Risk (SICR) (i.e., Watch List only reds included).

#### **Model Application, code and Mathematics**

- Assess the integrity and appropriateness of the model, including the accuracy of underlying calculations and formulae.

- Assess if the execution of the model on the processing platform is aligned to the mathematical model.
- Assess the adequacy of the model results for the business decisions they are required to inform.
- Benchmark model outputs against financial services industry outcomes, including reasonableness of the impairment values
- Assess the integrity and appropriateness of the model, including the accuracy of underlying calculations and formulae.
- Assess if the execution of the model on the processing platform is aligned to the mathematical model.
- Assess the adequacy of the model results for the business decisions they are required to inform.
- Benchmark model outputs against financial services industry outcomes, including reasonableness of the impairment values.
- Examine the model's code and mathematical formulae, including calculations performed during data preparation or other applications external to the primary model, for potential flaws in logic or coding; and
- Produce comparable results from alternative models or mathematical equations that are appropriate for the task.

#### **Technical assessment of the methodology**

- Assess the statistical, financial & economic soundness of the theories underpinning the model, including adherence to best practice and IFRS 9.
- Assess the appropriateness of IFRS 9 PDs and assess the reasonableness of the difference between internal PD's and IFRS 9 PD's.
- Assess the appropriateness of the LGD model and applied haircut assumptions.
- Assess the appropriateness of the EAD model.
- Assess the macro-economic model appropriateness, applicability, reasonability and completeness; and
- Assess the forward-looking adjustments.

#### **Other**

- Any other procedures the service provider may deem necessary to conduct a satisfactory validation of the model, if not contained in the procedures above.

The service provider is required to provide a written report (executive summary and detailed working papers) detailing findings of any identified model steps or outputs that are in contravention with the

requirements of the IFRS 9 standard, highlighting those that could lead to a material misstatement of the ECL calculation.

#### **4. CONTRACT DURATION**

##### **The contract duration is for 36 months**

The appointed service provider(s) will be required to start immediately after signing the contract and provide the services till completion of the project. The project should be executed within six (6) weeks post appointment. It is also expected that the Service Provider Presents the final results to the Executive Committee and be available for questions and discussions until 31 August 2026.

#### **5. REPORT**

The service provider is required to provide a written report (executive summary and detailed working papers) detailing findings of any identified model steps or outputs that are in contravention with the requirements of the IFRS 9 standard, highlighting those that could lead to a material misstatement of the ECL calculation.

#### **6. AWARD OF THE RFQ**

KZNGFA is not obliged to accept and award this RFQ to the lowest bidder or any other bidder.

#### **7. EVALUATION PROCESS AND CRITERIA**

Selection will be conducted over three stages as detailed below:

##### **Stage 1 – Compliance with Minimum Requirements**

##### **Stage 2 – Functionality Evaluation**

##### **Stage 3 - Price and Specific Goals**

#### **7.1 STAGE 1 – COMPLIANCE WITH MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS**

All proposals must be completed and accompanied by the below compliance requirements:

- 7.1.1 Signed Proposal document
- 7.1.2 Signed and completed SBD 1, SBD 4 and SBD 6.1
- 7.1.3 Evidence of registration on the National Treasury Central Supplier Database (MAAA) with Tax Compliance Status

All proposals **must** be accompanied by the below mandatory requirement:

- 7.1.4 Company Profile detailing the company's experience and expertise

**All bids duly lodged as specified in this RFQ will be examined to determine compliance with the mandatory requirements and conditions. Failure to provide any mandatory information as requested above will results in the submission being deemed non-responsive.**

## 7.2 STAGE 2 – FUNCTIONALITY EVALUATION

Scores will be tabulated to 100 points. Respondents must score 70 points and over to be assessed on their financial offer and preference score.

The allocation of points for the evaluation of quality/functionality is set out in Table 1 below:

FUNCTIONAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	Weight
<p><b>Company experience as valuation company</b></p> <p>The bidder must have experience in the validation and review of IFRS 9 ECL models for Developmental Finance Institution (DFI's) or other Financial Institutions (FI's) both locally and internationally.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 0 &gt; 5 years = 5 points</li><li>• 6 &gt; 7 years = 10 points</li><li>• 8 &gt; 10 years = 15 points</li><li>• 11+ = 20 points</li></ul> <p><b>Company experience must be detailed in the company profile</b></p>	<b>20</b>
<p><b>Reference Letters</b></p> <p>Letters of reference for ECL review and validation in relevant industry or similar companies noted on number 3 (scope).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>a) 5 Letters of Reference relevant to the scope of work – 15 points.</li><li>b) 4 Letters of Reference relevant to the scope of work – 12 points.</li><li>c) 3 Letter of Reference relevant to the scope of work – 9 points.</li><li>d) 2 Letter of Reference relevant to the scope of work – 6 points.</li><li>e) 1 Letter of Reference relevant to the scope of work – 3 points</li></ul> <p><b>***Letters must be on client letterhead, signed, and dated. Letters must not be older than 5 years.</b></p>	<b>15</b>

FUNCTIONAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	Weight
<p><b>Proposed Methodology and project Plan</b></p> <p>The proposal should reflect a clear demonstration a thorough understanding of the objectives and deliverables of this project.</p> <p>The bidder must provide a detailed proposal of the methodology/ approach to be used to carry out the scope of work outlined above, related timelines / project plan and clearly demonstrate how the deliverables will be achieved.</p> <p>The timelines should clearly outline the stages of validation: Final draft report to be completed within 3 weeks of project start date. Refinement and final report incorporating responses from management to be completed within week 4.</p> <p><b>***The bidder is required to be available for any technical questions that may arise from the Audit and risk committee and the Board at the time of presenting the Impairment figures for approval.</b></p>	<p><b>15</b></p>
<p><b>KEY RESOURCES/TEAM</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bidder must provide a team structure and comprehensive CVs of the key personnel who will be deployed for this assignment.</li> <li>The bidder's proposed team to be deployed for this assignment must demonstrate relevant experience in model validation and review and particularly IFRS 9 model validation and review.</li> <li>The team members' experience should include, but does not have to be limited to, the interrogation of underlying model documentation, validating the accuracy of underlying calculations and/ or formulae and assessing reasonability of model outputs.</li> <li>The team members should have gained the relevant experience preferably within the financial services industry.</li> </ul> <p><b>Team Lead (15 points)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Equal to or more than 10 years' experience in similar work = 10 points</li> <li>Less than 5 years' experience as a in similar work = 5 points</li> <li>Accreditation and or affiliation with a professional body = 5 points</li> </ul> <p><b>Support member(s) (15 points)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Equal to or more 10 years' experience in similar work = 10 points</li> <li>Less than 5 years' experience in similar work = 5 points</li> <li>Accreditation and or affiliation with professional body= 5 points</li> </ul> <p>The team's experience shall be determined using a simple average whereby the aggregate experience of the team members in years, is divided by the size of the team.</p> <p>A one-page CV must be attached (CV must be on the provided Annexure A template)</p>	<p><b>30</b></p>



FUNCTIONAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	Weight
<b>Location</b>  Office in KwaZulu-Natal – 20 points Office outside of KwaZulu-Natal – 10 points  <b>Lease agreement or CSD</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Total points allocation</b>	<b>100</b>

**Note: Failure to obtain the minimum of 70 out of 100 on functionality will result in disqualification from further evaluation.**

### 7.3 STAGE 3 – PRICE AND SPECIFIC GOALS

7.3.1 Proposals will be subject to an evaluation based on an 80/20 – 80 points for price and 20 points for specific goals.

7.3.2 Fixed price is required; price must be inclusive of VAT and all costs relating to disbursements and accommodation. See Annexure B

Evaluation	Maximum points to be awarded
Relative competitiveness of the price	80
Specific Goals (see the below table)	20
<b>Total Price and B-BBEE Points</b>	<b>100</b>

### SPECIFIC GOALS TABLE

SPECIFIC GOAL	POINTS
<b>Black Ownership</b>	51% - 100 %
	30% - 50%
	5% - 29%
	0% - 4%
<b>Black Women Ownership</b>	51% - 100 %
	30% - 50%
	5% - 29%
	0% - 4%
<b>Black Youth Ownership</b>	30% - 100 %
	5% - 29%
	0% - 4%
<b>Disability</b>	30% - 100 %
	5% - 29%
	0% - 4%
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>	<b>20</b>

The following may be used as proof for claiming preference points

- BBBEE Certificate or BBBEE Affidavit

- CSD Report
- ID Documents of the owners of the company
- Doctors Certificate / disability database from relevant institutions (for more research)

## 8. THE INFORMATION REQUIRED

You are required to provide the KZNGFA with a proposal, by **no later than 12:00pm on 19 February 2026.**

## 9. SUBMISSION DETAILS

- Submissions must be emailed to [scm@kzngf.co.za](mailto:scm@kzngf.co.za) attention **Sijabulile Ntshangase** by no later than the stipulated time above.
- For technical queries, you can contact Nothando Mabunda on [scm@kzngf.co.za](mailto:scm@kzngf.co.za) during

Approved by



---

**Nothando Mabunda**  
**Acting Chief Risk Officer**

## Curriculum Vitae

## 1. Role – Key Resource

Name and Surname	
Residential Address	
Project Role	

## 2. Relevant Qualifications/Certifications

Name of Certificate	Institution	Year Obtained	Expiry Date (if any)

## 3. Relevant Experience

Company Name	Position	Key responsibility	Start Date	End Date

## 4. References

Name	Company Name	Position	Relationship

NAME OF BIDDER: \_\_\_\_\_

OFFER TO BE VALID FOR 90 DAYS FROM THE CLOSING DATE OF RFQ.

DESCRIPTION	HOURS	RATE PER HOUR	TOTAL COST
Review of the KZNGFA's IFRS 9 ECL Impairment model  - Updates to address auditors' recommendations - Model parameter updates - Model updates for macroeconomic forecasts - IFRS ECL model review and - Assist KZNGFA with audit queries for year end audit			
*OTHER COST			
TOTAL EXCL. VAT			
15% VAT			
TOTAL INCL. VAT			

\*DETAILS FOR OTHER COST MUST BE PROVIDED

DESCRIPTION	YEAR 2 ESCALATION %	YEAR 3 ESCALATION %
Review of the KZNGFA's IFRS 9 ECL Impairment model		

TOTAL COST FOR 3 YEARS \_\_\_\_\_

Tenderers signature.....



COMPANY STAMP

## PART A INVITATION TO BID

<b>YOU ARE HEREBY INVITED TO BID FOR REQUIREMENTS OF THE (NAME OF DEPARTMENT/ PUBLIC ENTITY)</b>							
BID NUMBER:	KZNGFA RFQ 2025 - 30	CLOSING DATE:	19 FEBRUARY 2026		CLOSING TIME:	12h00pm	
DESCRIPTION	EXPECTED CREDIT LOSS IMPAIRMENT MODEL REVIEW AND VALIDATION FOR 36 MONTHS						
<b>BID RESPONSE DOCUMENTS MAY BE DEPOSITED IN THE BID BOX SITUATED AT (STREET ADDRESS)</b>							
Via email to scm@kzngf.co.za							
<b>BIDDING PROCEDURE ENQUIRIES MAY BE DIRECTED TO</b>				<b>TECHNICAL ENQUIRIES MAY BE DIRECTED TO:</b>			
CONTACT PERSON	Sijabulile Ntshangase			CONTACT PERSON	Nothando Mabunda		
TELEPHONE NUMBER				TELEPHONE NUMBER			
FACSIMILE NUMBER				FACSIMILE NUMBER			
E-MAIL ADDRESS	scm@kzngf.co.za			E-MAIL ADDRESS	scm@kzngf.co.za		
<b>SUPPLIER INFORMATION</b>							
NAME OF BIDDER							
POSTAL ADDRESS							
STREET ADDRESS							
TELEPHONE NUMBER	CODE		NUMBER				
CELLPHONE NUMBER							
FACSIMILE NUMBER	CODE		NUMBER				
E-MAIL ADDRESS							
VAT REGISTRATION NUMBER							
SUPPLIER COMPLIANCE STATUS	TAX COMPLIANCE SYSTEM PIN:		OR	CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE No:	MAAA		
B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL VERIFICATION CERTIFICATE	TICK APPLICABLE BOX] <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL SWORN AFFIDAVIT		[TICK APPLICABLE BOX] <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
<b>[A B-BBEE STATUS LEVEL VERIFICATION CERTIFICATE/ SWORN AFFIDAVIT (FOR EMES &amp; QSEs) MUST BE SUBMITTED IN ORDER TO QUALIFY FOR PREFERENCE POINTS FOR B-BBEE]</b>							
ARE YOU THE ACCREDITED REPRESENTATIVE IN SOUTH AFRICA FOR THE GOODS /SERVICES /WORKS OFFERED?		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No [IF YES ENCLOSE PROOF]		ARE YOU A FOREIGN BASED SUPPLIER FOR <b>THE GOODS /SERVICES /WORKS OFFERED?</b>		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No [IF YES, ANSWER THE QUESTIONNAIRE BELOW ]	
<b>QUESTIONNAIRE TO BIDDING FOREIGN SUPPLIERS</b>							
IS THE ENTITY A RESIDENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA (RSA)?						<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE A BRANCH IN THE RSA?						<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE A PERMANENT ESTABLISHMENT IN THE RSA?						<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	
DOES THE ENTITY HAVE ANY SOURCE OF INCOME IN THE RSA?						<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	
IS THE ENTITY LIABLE IN THE RSA FOR ANY FORM OF TAXATION?						<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	
IF THE ANSWER IS "NO" TO ALL OF THE ABOVE, THEN IT IS NOT A REQUIREMENT TO REGISTER FOR A TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS SYSTEM PIN CODE FROM THE SOUTH AFRICAN REVENUE SERVICE (SARS) AND IF NOT REGISTER AS PER 2.3 BELOW.							

## PART B

### TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR BIDDING

<b>1. BID SUBMISSION:</b>	
1.1.	BIDS MUST BE DELIVERED BY THE STIPULATED TIME TO THE CORRECT ADDRESS. LATE BIDS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED FOR CONSIDERATION.
1.2.	<b>ALL BIDS MUST BE SUBMITTED ON THE OFFICIAL FORMS PROVIDED–(NOT TO BE RE-TYPED) OR IN THE MANNER PRESCRIBED IN THE BID DOCUMENT.</b>
1.3.	THIS BID IS SUBJECT TO THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT POLICY FRAMEWORK ACT, 2000 AND THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2017, THE GENERAL CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT (GCC) AND, IF APPLICABLE, ANY OTHER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT.
1.4.	<b>THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WILL BE REQUIRED TO FILL IN AND SIGN A WRITTEN CONTRACT FORM (SBD7).</b>
<b>2. TAX COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS</b>	
2.1	BIDDERS MUST ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THEIR TAX OBLIGATIONS.
2.2	BIDDERS ARE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT THEIR UNIQUE PERSONAL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (PIN) ISSUED BY SARS TO ENABLE THE ORGAN OF STATE TO VERIFY THE TAXPAYER'S PROFILE AND TAX STATUS.
2.3	APPLICATION FOR TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS (TCS) PIN MAY BE MADE VIA E-FILING THROUGH THE SARS WEBSITE <a href="http://WWW.SARS.GOV.ZA">WWW.SARS.GOV.ZA</a> .
2.4	BIDDERS MAY ALSO SUBMIT A PRINTED TCS CERTIFICATE TOGETHER WITH THE BID.
2.5	IN BIDS WHERE CONSORTIA / JOINT VENTURES / SUB-CONTRACTORS ARE INVOLVED, EACH PARTY MUST SUBMIT A SEPARATE TCS CERTIFICATE / PIN / CSD NUMBER.
2.6	WHERE NO TCS PIN IS AVAILABLE BUT THE BIDDER IS REGISTERED ON THE CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD), A CSD NUMBER MUST BE PROVIDED.
2.7	NO BIDS WILL BE CONSIDERED FROM PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE, COMPANIES WITH DIRECTORS WHO ARE PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE, OR CLOSE CORPORATIONS WITH MEMBERS PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE."

**NB: FAILURE TO PROVIDE / OR COMPLY WITH ANY OF THE ABOVE PARTICULARS MAY RENDER THE BID INVALID.**

SIGNATURE OF BIDDER: .....

CAPACITY UNDER WHICH THIS BID IS SIGNED: .....  
(Proof of authority must be submitted e.g. company resolution)

DATE: .....

## BIDDER'S DISCLOSURE

### 1. PURPOSE OF THE FORM

Any person (natural or juristic) may make an offer or offers in terms of this invitation to bid. In line with the principles of transparency, accountability, impartiality, and ethics as enshrined in the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa and further expressed in various pieces of legislation, it is required for the bidder to make this declaration in respect of the details required hereunder.

Where a person/s are listed in the Register for Tender Defaulters and / or the List of Restricted Suppliers, that person will automatically be disqualified from the bid process.

### 2. Bidder's declaration

2.1 Is the bidder, or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest<sup>1</sup> in the enterprise, employed by the state? **YES/NO**

2.1.1 If so, furnish particulars of the names, individual identity numbers, and, if applicable, state employee numbers of sole proprietor/ directors / trustees / shareholders / members/ partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise, in table below.

Full Name	Identity Number	Name of institution	State

2.2 Do you, or any person connected with the bidder, have a relationship with any person who is employed by the procuring institution? **YES/NO**

2.2.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....  
 .....

2.3 Does the bidder or any of its directors / trustees / shareholders / members / partners or any person having a controlling interest in the enterprise have any interest in any other related enterprise whether or not they are bidding for this contract? **YES/NO**

2.3.1 If so, furnish particulars:

.....  
 .....

### 3 DECLARATION

---

<sup>1</sup> the power, by one person or a group of persons holding the majority of the equity of an enterprise, alternatively, the person/s having the deciding vote or power to influence or to direct the course and decisions of the enterprise.

I, the undersigned, (name)..... in submitting the accompanying bid, do hereby make the following statements that I certify to be true and complete in every respect:

- 3.1 I have read and I understand the contents of this disclosure;
- 3.2 I understand that the accompanying bid will be disqualified if this disclosure is found not to be true and complete in every respect;
- 3.3 The bidder has arrived at the accompanying bid independently from, and without consultation, communication, agreement or arrangement with any competitor. However, communication between partners in a joint venture or consortium<sup>2</sup> will not be construed as collusive bidding.
- 3.4 In addition, there have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements with any competitor regarding the quality, quantity, specifications, prices, including methods, factors or formulas used to calculate prices, market allocation, the intention or decision to submit or not to submit the bid, bidding with the intention not to win the bid and conditions or delivery particulars of the products or services to which this bid invitation relates.
- 3.4 The terms of the accompanying bid have not been, and will not be, disclosed by the bidder, directly or indirectly, to any competitor, prior to the date and time of the official bid opening or of the awarding of the contract.
- 3.5 There have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangements made by the bidder with any official of the procuring institution in relation to this procurement process prior to and during the bidding process except to provide clarification on the bid submitted where so required by the institution; and the bidder was not involved in the drafting of the specifications or terms of reference for this bid.
- 3.6 I am aware that, in addition and without prejudice to any other remedy provided to combat any restrictive practices related to bids and contracts, bids that are suspicious will be reported to the Competition Commission for investigation and possible imposition of administrative penalties in terms of section 59 of the Competition Act No 89 of 1998 and or may be reported to the National Prosecuting Authority (NPA) for criminal investigation and or may be restricted from conducting business with the public sector for a period not exceeding ten (10) years in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act No 12 of 2004 or any other applicable legislation.

I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION FURNISHED IN PARAGRAPHS 1, 2 and 3 ABOVE IS CORRECT.  
I ACCEPT THAT THE STATE MAY REJECT THE BID OR ACT AGAINST ME IN TERMS OF

PARAGRAPH 6 OF PFMA SCM INSTRUCTION 03 OF 2021/22 ON PREVENTING AND COMBATING  
ABUSE IN THE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT SYSTEM SHOULD THIS DECLARATION PROVE TO  
BE FALSE.

.....  
Signature

.....  
Date

.....  
Position

.....  
Name of bidder

---

<sup>2</sup> Joint venture or Consortium means an association of persons for the purpose of combining their expertise, property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge in an activity for the execution of a contract.



## PREFERENCE POINTS CLAIM FORM IN TERMS OF THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS 2022

This preference form must form part of all tenders invited. It contains general information and serves as a claim form for preference points for specific goals.

**NB: BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM, TENDERERS MUST STUDY THE GENERAL CONDITIONS, DEFINITIONS AND DIRECTIVES APPLICABLE IN RESPECT OF THE TENDER AND PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2022**

### 1. GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.1 The following preference point systems are applicable to invitations to tender:

- the 80/20 system for requirements with a Rand value of up to R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included); and
- the 90/10 system for requirements with a Rand value above R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included).

1.2 **To be completed by the organ of state**

*(delete whichever is not applicable for this tender).*

- a) ~~The applicable preference point system for this tender is the 90/10 preference point system.~~
- b) The applicable preference point system for this tender is the 80/20 preference point system.
- c) ~~Either the 90/10 or 80/20 preference point system will be applicable in this tender. The lowest/highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the accurate system once tenders are received.~~

1.3 Points for this tender (even in the case of a tender for income-generating contracts) shall be awarded for:

- (a) Price; and
- (b) Specific Goals.

1.4 **To be completed by the organ of state:**

The maximum points for this tender are allocated as follows:

	POINTS
PRICE	80
SPECIFIC GOALS	20
<b>Total points for Price and SPECIFIC GOALS</b>	<b>100</b>

1.5 Failure on the part of a tenderer to submit proof or documentation required in terms of this tender to claim points for specific goals with the tender, will be interpreted to mean that preference points for specific goals are not claimed.

1.6 The organ of state reserves the right to require of a tenderer, either before a tender is adjudicated or at any time subsequently, to substantiate any claim in regard to preferences, in any manner required by the organ of state.

### 2. DEFINITIONS

- (a) **“tender”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation to provide goods or services through price quotations, competitive tendering process or

any other method envisaged in legislation;

- (b) **“price”** means an amount of money tendered for goods or services, and includes all applicable taxes less all unconditional discounts;
- (c) **“rand value”** means the total estimated value of a contract in Rand, calculated at the time of bid invitation, and includes all applicable taxes;
- (d) **“tender for income-generating contracts”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation for the origination of income-generating contracts through any method envisaged in legislation that will result in a legal agreement between the organ of state and a third party that produces revenue for the organ of state, and includes, but is not limited to, leasing and disposal of assets and concession contracts, excluding direct sales and disposal of assets through public auctions; and
- (e) **“the Act”** means the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, 2000 (Act No. 5 of 2000).

### 3. FORMULAE FOR PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES

#### 3.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

##### 3.1.1 THE 80/20 OR 90/10 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEMS

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{80/20} & \mathbf{or} & \mathbf{90/10} \\ \\ \mathbf{Ps = 80 \left( 1 - \frac{Pt - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right)} & \mathbf{or} & \mathbf{Ps = 90 \left( 1 - \frac{Pt - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right)} \end{array}$$

Where

Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration  
Pt = Price of tender under consideration  
Pmin = Price of lowest acceptable tender

#### 3.2. FORMULAE FOR DISPOSAL OR LEASING OF STATE ASSETS AND INCOME GENERATING PROCUREMENT

##### 3.2.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{80/20} & \mathbf{or} & \mathbf{90/10} \\ \\ \mathbf{Ps = 80 \left( 1 + \frac{Pt - P_{max}}{P_{max}} \right)} & \mathbf{or} & \mathbf{Ps = 90 \left( 1 + \frac{Pt - P_{max}}{P_{max}} \right)} \end{array}$$

Where

Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration  
Pt = Price of tender under consideration  
Pmax = Price of highest acceptable tender

### 4. POINTS AWARDED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS

- 4.1. In terms of Regulation 4(2); 5(2); 6(2) and 7(2) of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, preference points must be awarded for specific goals stated in the tender. For the purposes of this tender the tenderer will be allocated points based on the goals stated in table 1 below as may be supported by proof/ documentation stated in the conditions of this tender:

- 4.2. In cases where organs of state intend to use Regulation 3(2) of the Regulations, which states that, if it is unclear whether the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system applies, an organ of state must, in the tender documents, stipulate in the case of—
- (a) an invitation for tender for income-generating contracts, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system; or
  - (b) any other invitation for tender, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the lowest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system,
- then the organ of state must indicate the points allocated for specific goals for both the 90/10 and 80/20 preference point system.

**Table 1: Specific goals for the tender and points claimed are indicated per the table below.**

***(Note to organs of state: Where either the 90/10 or 80/20 preference point system is applicable, corresponding points must also be indicated as such.***

***Note to tenderers: The tenderer must indicate how they claim points for each preference point system.)***

The specific goals allocated points in terms of this tender	Number of points allocated (90/10 system) (To be completed by the organ of state)	Number of points allocated (80/20 system) (To be completed by the organ of state)	Number of points claimed (90/10 system) (To be completed by the tenderer)	Number of points claimed (80/20 system) (To be completed by the tenderer)
Black Ownership	/	10	/	
Black Women Ownership		4		
Black Youth Ownership		3		
Disability		3		

#### **DECLARATION WITH REGARD TO COMPANY/FIRM**

4.3. Name of company/firm.....

4.4. Company registration number: .....

4.5. TYPE OF COMPANY/ FIRM

- ☐ Partnership/Joint Venture / Consortium
- ☐ One-person business/sole propriety
- ☐ Close corporation
- ☐ Public Company
- ☐ Personal Liability Company
- ☐ (Pty) Limited

- ☐ Non-Profit Company  
☐ State Owned Company  
[TICK APPLICABLE BOX]

4.6. I, the undersigned, who is duly authorised to do so on behalf of the company/firm, certify that the points claimed, based on the specific goals as advised in the tender, qualifies the company/firm for the preference(s) shown and I acknowledge that:

- i) The information furnished is true and correct;
- ii) The preference points claimed are in accordance with the General Conditions as indicated in paragraph 1 of this form;
- iii) In the event of a contract being awarded as a result of points claimed as shown in paragraphs 1.4 and 4.2, the contractor may be required to furnish documentary proof to the satisfaction of the organ of state that the claims are correct;
- iv) If the specific goals have been claimed or obtained on a fraudulent basis or any of the conditions of contract have not been fulfilled, the organ of state may, in addition to any other remedy it may have –
  - (a) disqualify the person from the tendering process;
  - (b) recover costs, losses or damages it has incurred or suffered as a result of that person's conduct;
  - (c) cancel the contract and claim any damages which it has suffered as a result of having to make less favourable arrangements due to such cancellation;
  - (d) recommend that the tenderer or contractor, its shareholders and directors, or only the shareholders and directors who acted on a fraudulent basis, be restricted from obtaining business from any organ of state for a period not exceeding 10 years, after the *audi alteram partem* (hear the other side) rule has been applied; and
  - (e) forward the matter for criminal prosecution, if deemed necessary.

<p>.....</p> <p><b>SIGNATURE(S) OF TENDERER(S)</b></p>	
<b>SURNAME AND NAME:</b>	.....
<b>DATE:</b>	.....
<b>ADDRESS:</b>	.....
	.....