

 Eskom	Technical Evaluation Criteria	Eskom Academy of Learning
--	--------------------------------------	----------------------------------

Title: **Technical Evaluation Criteria for EAL Integrated Security Systems**

Document Identifier: **559-1237098231**

Alternative Reference Number: **363-ERE-CDDD-D00185-74**

Area of Applicability: **Eskom Academy of Learning**

Functional Area: **Security**

Revision: **4**

Total Pages: **21**

Next Review Date: **N/A**

Disclosure Classification: **Controlled Disclosure**

Content

	Page
1 Introduction	4
2 Supporting Clauses.....	4
2.1 Scope	4
2.1.1 Purpose	4
2.1.2 Applicability	4
2.1.3 Effective date	4
2.2 Normative/Informative References	4
2.2.1 Normative	4
2.2.2 Informative	5
2.3 Definitions.....	5
2.4 Abbreviations	6
2.5 Roles and Responsibilities	6
2.6 Process for Monitoring	6
2.7 Related/Supporting Documents	6
3 Technical Evaluation Criteria.....	6
3.1 General.....	6
3.2 Scoring Method	7
3.3 Technical Evaluation Team	8
3.4 Mandatory Criteria Evaluation	9
3.4.1 Mandatory Requirements.....	9
3.4.2 Qualitative Technical Evaluation.....	11
Criterion	11
3.5 Scoring Methodology	19
4 Acceptance	20
5 Revisions	20
6 Development Team.....	20
7 Acknowledgements	21
8 Annexure	21

Tables

Table 1: Scoring Method	8
Table 2: TET Members.....	8
Table 3: Mandatory Requirements.....	10
Table 4: Company Experience	17
Table 5: Employee Experience	17

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Table 6: Employee Qualifications.....	18
Table 7: Project Plan	19
Table 8: TET Members Responsibilities.....	20

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

1 Introduction

This document contains the technical evaluation criteria for the design, supply, installation, testing, commissioning and maintenance and support of an integrated physical security system proposals for Eskom Academy of Learning. The solutions may comprise of the Integrated Alarm System, CCTV system with intruder detection, Integrated Access Control System (IACS), Public Address (PA) System, Intrusion pre-detection system, System Integration and project services. The solution proposed will be a technology as a service model and will be paid as a service.

2 Supporting Clauses

2.1 Scope

This document contains the technical evaluation criteria to be used for evaluating the request for proposal submissions for the design, supply, installation, testing, commissioning, maintenance and support of an integrated security system at Eskom Academy of Learning. The detailed scope of work is included in the scope of work document, 559-487902534.

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this document is to define the technical evaluation criteria for the design, supply, installation, commissioning, maintenance and support of an integrated security system at Eskom Academy of Learning.

2.1.2 Applicability

This document shall apply to Eskom Academy of Learning.

***Note:** This document has been derived from the generic Technical Evaluation Criteria, 240-170000257. The content thereof been specific to EAL.*

2.1.3 Effective date

Not applicable.

2.2 Normative/Informative References

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

[1] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

[2] 559-487902534: Scope of work for integrated security systems at Eskom Academy of Learning

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

- [3] 240-86738968: Specification for Integrated Security Alarm System for Protection of Eskom Installations and its subsidiaries
- [4] 240-91190304: Specification for CCTV Surveillance with Intruder Detection
- [5] 240-102220945: Specification for Integrated Access Control System (IACS) for Eskom Sites
- [6] 240-78980848: Specification for Non-Lethal Energized Perimeter Detection System (NLEPDS) Electrical Components
- [7] 240-170000098: Security Public Address Systems for Substations and Telecoms High Sites
- [8] 240-170000096: Physical Security Integration Standard
- [9] 240-139282493: Security Lighting for Eskom Applications
- [10] 240-170000691: Standard for Intrusion Pre-detection System used at Eskom sites
- [11] 240-170000723: Generic Technical Requirements for Physical Security Technologies Contracts
- [12] 240-170000257: Technical Evaluation Criteria for the Integrated Physical Security System
- [13] 240-170000614 Cyber Security Controls Guide for Physical Security Systems
- [14] 240-55410927: Cybersecurity Standard for Operational Technology
- [15] 32-373: Information Security – IT and OT Third Party And Remote Access Standard

2.2.2 Informative

Not Applicable

2.3 Definitions

Definition	Explanation
Tenderer or Bidder	Refers to person/company that will be involved in an open or closed competitive request for quotations/prices against a clearly defined scope/specification.
Integrated Access Control System	It is an electronic system that aims to collaborate and align efforts across the logical and physical security domains to standardise access control within Eskom.
Control Centre	Where alarms and CCTV footage are monitored and needed response/s initiated from. The alarms and CCTV footage can be aggregated to a National Security Control Centre that can initiate requisite actions from a national perspective.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

2.4 Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Explanation
EAL	Eskom Academy of Learning
CCTV	Closed Circuit Television
DVR/NVR	Digital Video Recorder/Network Video Recorder
GUI	Graphical User Interface
PA system	Public Address system
IPSS	Integrated Physical Security System
IACS	Integrated Access Control System
LAN	Local Area Network
PSIM	Physical Security Information Management
UPS	Uninterrupted Power Supply
PTZ	Pan Tilt Zoom
PSIRA	Private Security Industry Regulatory Authority
WAN	Wide Area Network
PIR sensor	Passive Infra-Red sensor
SSP	Security Solutions Physical
AI	Artificial Intelligent
TET	Technical Evaluation Team

2.5 Roles and Responsibilities

This shall follow this document, 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure.

2.6 Process for Monitoring

Not applicable.

2.7 Related/Supporting Documents

Not applicable.

3 Technical Evaluation Criteria

3.1 General

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

- A. The assessments are performed to assess the tenderer's capability to enter into a Outcome-Based contract with Eskom with respect to a specific product or service and meet Eskom's requirements.
- B. This report and any actions that are listed or recommended because of the assessments are by no means a confirmation or guarantee that any contract will be entered into by Eskom.
- C. Any actions undertaken by the tenderer because of this report is for the tenderer's account. Any liability for the said actions undertaken by the tenderer is not transferrable to Eskom in any way.
- D. The assessment team has no authority or responsibility in the decision taken by Eskom with respect to contracting for a product or service.
- E. Any statements, intentions and/or actions expressed by the assessment team during the assessment and after the assessment should not be interpreted as the awarding of a contract and does not constitute any liability to Eskom with regards to contract placement or post-contract performance guarantees.
- F. Tenderer's that do not achieve the minimum 70 points threshold will not be evaluated further.

3.2 Scoring Method

Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria (gatekeepers) are 'must meet' criteria. These criteria shall not be weighted, or point scored but shall be assessed on a Yes/No basis as to whether the criteria are met. An assessment of 'No' against any criterion shall technically disqualify the tenderer and shall not be further evaluated against Qualitative Criteria.

Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria are weighted evaluation criteria used to identify the highest technically ranked tenderer after determining that all the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria have been met. The Qualitative Evaluation Criteria are weighted to reflect the relevant importance of each criterion. The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 70%.

The following scoring method will be used:

Score	Percentage (%)	Description
5	100	COMPLIANT 1. Meet the technical requirement(s); and 2. No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements
4	80	COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS 1. Meet the technical requirement(s) with; 2. Acceptable technical risks and/or; 3. Acceptable exceptions and/or; 4. Acceptable conditions
2	40	NON-COMPLIANT 1. Does not meet the technical requirement(s) and/or; 2. Unacceptable technical risk(s) and/or; 3. Unacceptable exceptions and/or; 4. Unacceptable conditions
0	0	TOTALLY DEFICIENT / NON-RESPONSIVE

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Table 1: Scoring Method**3.3 Technical Evaluation Team**

TET Member Number	TET Member Name	Designation
TET 1	Andre Van Den Berg	Senior Engineer C&I and BMS
TET 2	Charmaine Mokoena	ERE Security Manager
TET 3	Thabo Pitso	ERE Security Manager
TET 4	Celumusa Zulu	ERE Security Manager
TET 5	Matsobane Phosa	Senior Advisor Security
TET 6	Mabongi Ngidi	IM Security Senior Advisor
TET 7	Bafana Thobejane	IM Security Officer
TET 8	Kameel Burath	Senior Engineer C&I
TET 9	Kgotso Moloi	Senior Advisor Security

Table 2: TET Members**CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

3.4 Mandatory Criteria Evaluation

This evaluation exercise is performed by the Eskom evaluating representatives. This part of the technical evaluation starts when submissions are opened for the first time. The Eskom evaluating representatives will peruse the tender submissions to ensure that the Mandatory criteria are met. Submissions that receive a “No” on any of the Mandatory criteria will not be able to proceed to the next stage and therefore will fail the technical evaluation.

3.4.1 Mandatory Requirements

This is the assessment of compliance with the minimum Mandatory Requirements as detailed in the table below. No points or score will be allocated in criteria. Non-compliance with any of these Administrative Requirements will result in disqualification. All requested documents need to be valid at time tender closes.

3.4.1.1 Company Requirements

Requirement	Verification Method	Pass Criteria	Yes/No
Valid PSIRA registration of company	Certified copy	Valid certificate by the time tender closes	
Valid PSIRA good standing letter	Certified copy	Valid certified letter by the time tender closes	

3.4.1.2 Personnel Requirements

Requirement	Verification Method	Pass Criteria	Yes/No
Valid PSIRA registration for personnel (Minimum Grade C)	Certified copy	Valid PSIRA registrations	

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the document management system, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the system. No part of this document may be reproduced in any manner or form by third parties without the written consent of Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, © copyright Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, Reg No 2002/015527/30

Requirement	Verification Method	Pass Criteria	Yes/No
Valid PSIRA registration (All Directors)	Certified copy	Certified copy of Grade A certificate, valid by the time tender closes	
Valid ECSA registration	Certified Copy	Valid proof of ECSA registration as Technologist/Engineer	
Valid Registration as Electrician	Certified Copy	Valid Proof of Registration with Department of Employment and Labour as an Electrician	

Table 3: Mandatory Requirements

Note: All documents must be clear and readable as this will lead to disqualification.

Note: Certification of documents/certificates to be done within 3 months prior to tender closing date.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the document management system, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorized version on the system. No part of this document may be reproduced in any manner or form by third parties without the written consent of Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, © copyright Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd, Reg No 2002/015527/30

3.4.2 Qualitative Technical Evaluation

Only bids that comply with the indicated Mandatory Administrative Requirements will be considered for the Technical/Functional Evaluation Stage.

The Technical/Functional Evaluation criteria for this bid is indicated in tables below including the scoring system and weight allocation that will be used for the evaluation of the bids. Bidders are required to achieve a minimum of 70 points out of 100 points to proceed further.

3.4.2.1 Technology Integration Services (50 Points)

Item	Criterion:	Measurement:	Weight	Required Returnable/Evidence:	Sub-score
CCTV Surveillance System Design & Coverage (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Time-bound):	Technical specifications of proposed CCTV systems, camera placements, and coverage plans to ensure 100% critical area coverage for the site.	Review of system architecture, camera specifications (resolution, night vision, analytics capabilities), coverage maps, and proposed integration points with Eskom's control room in EAL. Implementation plan must show commissioning within 6 months of contract award for the site.	10 points	- CCTV System Design Document: Including camera types, specifications, and proposed locations. - Coverage Maps/Drawings: Indicating 100% coverage of critical areas for Level 2 and 3 sites. - Technical Specifications of DVR/NVRs, Storage Solutions: Including video analytics capabilities. - Integration Plan for Eskom Control Room: Detailing software/hardware compatibility and data flow. - Project Plan: Highlighting commissioning timeline for CCTV systems.	5 - Fully meets design, coverage, analytics, and integration requirements, no material risk. 4 - Design meets core requirements with minor limitations (acceptable integration constraints), low risks. 2 - Partially meets requirements, coverage or integration gaps present. 0 - Does not meet requirements or insufficient evidence.
Intrusion Detection System (IDS), Automated Perimeter lighting & Integration (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant):	Proposed IDS technologies (perimeter and internal), their effectiveness in deterring/detecting intrusions, Light auto activation on alarm and seamless integration with the overall security ecosystem and control room.	Evaluation of IDS technology types, false alarm rate mitigation strategies, trigger activation, lighting layout and integration architecture with alarm monitoring systems.	10 points	- IDS Technology Specifications: Detailing sensor types (e.g., fence detection, thermal cameras, microwave barriers). - Lighting layout and trigger matrix. - Integration Architecture Diagram: Showing how IDS connects to the control room and other systems. - False Alarm Mitigation Strategy: Outlining proposed methods to minimize false alarms.	5 - Fully meets detection, integration, and false-alarm mitigation requirements, no material risk. 4 - Meets core IDS requirements with minor, acceptable limitations, integration and false-alarm controls effective, low technical risk. 2 - Partial detection or integration, notable gaps or moderate risk.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Item	Criterion:	Measurement:	Weight	Required Returnable/Evidence:	Sub-score
					0 - Does not meet requirements or insufficient evidence.
Integrated Access Control and Visitor management Systems (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Time-bound):	Supports remote monitoring via WAN, Coverage of all entry points, Integration with access control + eNatis for automated vehicle entry/exit, Pre-registration and host notifications, Compliance with SAHPRA; integration into PSIM/SMS	Review architecture diagrams, device layouts, controller topology, WAN readiness Review hardware specifications and deployment plan Review integration workflow, App/Web pre-registration, API/SDK documentation Review certification and integration capability	10 Points	- IACS Architecture Diagram; Device Layouts; Network Topology - OEM Datasheets; Authentication Plan - Integration Diagram; LPR Specifications - Certificates; Integration Plan - VMS Web/App designs and integration diagram	5 - Fully meets all access control and integration requirements, no material risk. 4 - Meets requirements with minor, acceptable limitations, low risk. 2 - Partially meets requirements, notable gaps or moderate risk. 0 - Does not meet requirements or insufficient evidence provided.
Advanced Technology Implementation (AI Analytics, PA Systems) (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Time-bound):	Detailed plan for deploying advanced technologies specified for the site (AI analytics, CCTV-integrated PA system) and Network Infrastructure	Tenderers must provide case studies or proof of concept for similar deployments. For virtual guarding system, detail types, operational capacity, and data management. For AI, specify algorithms and functionalities. Deployment plan must ensure initial operational capability for these technologies within 9 months of contract award	10 points	- AI Analytics Solution Description: Detailing specific functionalities (e.g., object detection, behavioral anomaly, facial recognition capability if applicable and permissible). - Proposed virtual guarding Specifications: Including tagging system, and real-time streaming capabilities. - Integration plan: Outlining how perimeter detection and PA system will be integrated with associated PTZ and data management plan. - CCTV-Integrated PA System Design: Demonstrating how audio alerts and messages will be managed. - Project Plan: Highlighting initial operational capability timeline for advanced technologies. - Relevant Case Studies/Proof of Concepts: For previous deployments of similar advanced technologies.	5 - Fully meets advanced technology requirements, clear integration and delivery plan, network infrastructure, no material risk. 4 - Meets requirements with functional limitations, low risk. 2 - Partial AI, automation, or integration, moderate risk. 0 – No advanced technology or insufficient evidence provided.
Control Room, Virtual Guarding and Integration (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant,	Robust plan for system monitoring, alarm verification, controllers and the process for integrating and commissioning newly	Assessment of proposed control room software, hardware, data flow diagrams, dashboards, and a comprehensive commissioning plan for all systems. The plan must demonstrate full integration	10 points	- Integration & Commissioning Plan: Detailed phased plan for integrating new and existing/inactive systems into Eskom's control room, including timelines and resource allocation. - Proposed SMS/PSIM (Physical Security	5 - Fully integrated, scalable control room solution; complete commissioning and reporting; no material risk. 4 - Integration mainly achievable with

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Item	Criterion:	Measurement:	Weight	Required Returnable/Evidence:	Sub-score
Time-bound):	installed and existing/inactive security systems into the Eskom control room, virtual guarding system.	and commissioning of all relevant systems, as per Eskom's internal project timelines. Review tag areas and escalation reports.		Information Management) Software Specifications: Highlighting integration capabilities. ▪ Data Flow Diagrams: Illustrating data exchange between various security systems and the control room. ▪ Dashboards: Outline how system information and incidents will be put on the dashboards. ▪ Sample reports, screenshots and incidents logs. ▪ Project Plan: Confirming commissioning by estimate date.	minor limitations; low risk. 2 - Partial or unclear integration; operational risk present. 0 - Integration requirements not met or insufficient evidence.
Total			50 points		/50

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

3.4.2.2 Maintenance, Support & Technology Roadmap (30 Points)

This section assesses the tenderer's long-term sustainability plan for the security systems and their commitment to innovation.

Maintenance and Support Plan (10 Points)

Item	Criterion:	Measurement:	Weight:	Required Returnable/Evidence:	Sub-score
Preventive & Corrective Maintenance: (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Time-bound)	Comprehensive preventive maintenance schedules, detailed fault resolution procedures, and guaranteed response times to meet the KPIs of "Fault Resolution Time ≤ 24 hours" and "Equipment Functionality ≥ 99% operational status".	Review of proposed maintenance schedules, SLA adherence (including penalties), escalation matrix, and reporting mechanisms for system uptime.	4 points	- Preventive Maintenance Schedule: Detailed plan for all proposed technologies and systems (e.g., 6 monthly maintenance). - Corrective Maintenance Procedures: Outlining fault reporting, diagnosis, repair, and testing processes (monthly). - Service Level Agreement (SLA) Matrix: Specifying response and resolution times for different severity levels, including proposed penalties for non-adherence. - Sample Maintenance Report: Demonstrating data capture and reporting format.	5 - Comprehensive, measurable maintenance model, SLAs fully defined, response times and KPIs achievable with low risk. 4 - Adequate plan with minor gaps (e.g. reporting detail, escalation clarity) but acceptable operational risk. 2 - Maintenance approach poorly defined, unclear SLAs, weak escalation or reporting. 0 - No credible maintenance or support plan submitted.
System Upgrades & Obsolescence Management: (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant)	Proactive approach to system upgrades, patching, and a strategy for managing technology obsolescence to ensure continuous security posture.	Assessment of proposed upgrade cycles, compatibility assessments, and long-term support plans for installed equipment.	4 points	- Technology Upgrade & Obsolescence Management Plan: Detailing approach to software/firmware updates, hardware refresh cycles, and compatibility assessments. - Product Lifecycle Guarantees/Support Statements: From technology partners/manufacturers (if applicable).	5 - Proactive upgrade and obsolescence strategy, clear lifecycle planning, no material risk. 4 - Adequate upgrade strategy with minor limitations, low risk. 2 - Adequate upgrade strategy with minor limitations, low risk. 0 - No upgrade or obsolescence strategy provided.
Personnel Training & Certification (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Time-bound):	Detailed plan for ongoing personnel development and certification, ensuring "100% personnel certified" throughout the contract duration.	Review of training curriculum, frequency, trainers' qualifications, and methods for tracking personnel certifications (PSIRA, FCA, OEM accreditations).	2 points	- Comprehensive Training Program Outline: For all operational and technical staff. - Certification Tracking Methodology: Explaining how 100% certification will be maintained. - CVs of Key Trainers: Highlighting relevant qualifications and experience.	5 - Comprehensive training and certification plan, ensures continuous compliance, no material risk. 4 - Training plan adequate with minor gaps, low risk. 2 - Partial or poorly defined training approach, moderate risk.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Item	Criterion:	Measurement:	Weight:	Required Returnable/Evidence:	Sub-score
					0 - No credible training or certification plan.
Total			10 points		/10

3.4.2.3 Technology Roadmap & Innovation (10 Points)

Item	Criterion:	Measurement:	Weight:	Required Returnable/Evidence:	Sub-score
Implementation Plan & Scalability (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Time-bound):	Realistic and phased timeline for deploying all technologies, including pilot testing if applicable and full-scale implementation, demonstrating scalability to meet Eskom's evolving needs.	Evaluation of project plan milestones, resource allocation, and technical architecture's ability to scale. The plan must align with Eskom's "100% planned deployments on schedule" KPI (Innovation KPIs) within the first 12 months.	5 points	- Master Implementation Project Plan: Detailing phases, timelines, resource allocation, and dependencies for all technology deployments. - Technical Architecture Diagram: Illustrating how the proposed solution is designed for scalability and future expansion. - Pilot Project Plan (if applicable): Detailing scope, objectives, and success criteria for any proposed pilot phases.	5 - Clear, realistic, and scalable technology roadmap, phased implementation aligned to Eskom needs and strong, measurable innovation plan, no material risk. 4 - Roadmap and innovation strategy largely sound with minor gaps or refinements required, low risk. 2 - Roadmap or innovation approach partially defined, scalability, timelines, or innovation benefits unclear, moderate risk. 0 - No credible technology roadmap or insufficient supporting evidence.
Innovation Strategy (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Time-bound):	Commitment to adopting modern technologies and an actionable plan to achieve strategy (Innovation KPIs).	Assessment of proposed innovative solutions, their alignment with cost optimization, and a clear methodology for tracking "1 new technology per year", (Innovation KPIs).	5 points	- Innovation Strategy Document: Outlining proposed technologies beyond the baseline, their benefits, and implementation approach. - Technology rollout Plan: Detailing how technology implementation will assist to reduce physical guards. - Cost Optimization Methodology:	5 - Actionable innovation strategy aligned to Eskom objectives, measurable benefits, no material risk. 4 - Innovation approach adequate with minor gaps or refinement required, low risk. 2 - Innovation weak, generic, or poorly

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Item	Criterion:	Measurement:	Weight:	Required Returnable/Evidence:	Sub-score
				Explaining how the cost reduction will be achieved and measured through technology. ▪ Innovation Tracking Framework: How the tenderer will identify, test, and propose 1 new technology per year.	defined, limited measurable value, moderate risk. 0 - No credible innovation approach or insufficient evidence.
Total			10 points		/10

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

3.4.2.4 Company Experience and Location (8 Points)

References Letters	No. of Letters	Points Claimed
Reference letters issued by the Bidders' previous client for similar work completed on the referee's company's letterhead. The letters should have contactable references. 10 years' experience in providing similar (supply, installation, commission and maintenance of CCTV with intruder detection, Alarm System, IACS, Intrusion Pre-detection System, etc. as described in the scope of work) services.	4 References of similar completed project.	5
	3 References of similar completed project.	4
	2 References of similar completed project.	2
	No Reference of similar completed project.	0
	No submission	
Total Points Claimable		/8

Table 4: Company Experience

3.4.2.5 Staff experience/ CV (4 Points)

Personnel Experience	Level of Details	Points claimed
Attach detailed Curriculum Vitae of all the Directors and supervisor for integrated Security Systems installation and commission, including certified copies of PSIRA Grade B or A.	Over 5 Years	5
	Less than 5 Years	2
	0 Years	0
Attach detailed Curriculum Vitae of the Technician/s for integrated Security Systems installation and commission.	Over 5 Years	5
	Less than 5 Years	2
	0 Years	0
Total Points Claimable		/4

Table 5: Employee Experience

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

3.4.2.6 Qualification of the Technician(s) (4 Points)

Employee Screening:

- Any employee who is deployed on this project must be screened. This is to include members of the professional team; sub-contractors and all labourers deployed to site.
- The checks must include criminal and credit checks and must be carried out with the written permission of the employee concerned.
- The cost incurred will be for the cost of the bidder.
- Eskom may require the appointed contractor to undergo a State Security Agency (SSA) clearance. This clearance is without any cost to the bidder.

Personnel Experience	Level of Details	Points claimed
The Technician(s) should submit a copy of 1. Matric or N3 certificate 2. Proof of training as the installer from the manufacturer/OEM's for the installation of CCTV, security monitoring and access control system. 3. Valid PSIRA Grade C minimum certification showing that all the technicians are an accredited installer (Not expired).	All three qualifications/ Accreditations.	5
	Less 1 qualification/ Accreditation.	4
	Less 2 qualification/accreditation	2
	0 Qualifications	0
Total Points Claimable		/4

Table 6: Employee Qualifications

3.4.2.7 Project Plan (4 Points)

Project plan	Level of Details	Points claimed
The Bidder must provide a comprehensive site-specific project implementation plan with details on how the work, installation and commissioning will be carried out.	Good understanding of what is required in the scope of work and proposed action plan.	5

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

• A clear Project Plan on how the below will be managed. • How Quality/Technical will be managed. • How Safety, Health and Environmental will be managed. • The Organogram of the project team.	Satisfactory understanding of what is required in the scope of work and proposed action plan.	4
	Poor understanding of what is required in the scope of work and proposed action plan.	2
	No response.	0
Total Points Claimable		/4

Table 7: Project Plan

3.4.2.8 Cyber Security (10 Points)

Cyber Security will be evaluated as a separate technical criterion (Annexure A, B and C attached) and allocated a maximum score of 10 points. This score shall form part of, and be included in, the total technical evaluation score of 100 points.

3.5 Scoring Methodology

Each item will be assigned a score by the Eskom technical evaluation team based the tenderer response/demonstrated functionality and cross-checked with the supporting documents provided (where applicable).

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4	TET 5	TET 6	TET 7	TET 8	TET 9
1. Professional Registrations	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
2. Electrical CoC's	X				X			X	X
3. PSIRA Registrations	X	X	X	X	X				X

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4	TET 5	TET 6	TET 7	TET 8	TET 9
1. IPSS	X	X	X	X	X				X
2. Electrical	X				X			X	X
3. Cyber Security						X	X		
4. Project Plan	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Table 8: TET Members Responsibilities

4 Acceptance

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Full Name and Surname	Designation
Remone Govender	Senior Manager Security Solutions Physical
Ronald Mandavha	Middle Manager ERE
Pat Skosana	Senior Manager ERE
Samaria Mabona	Middle Manager ERE Security
Celumusa Zulu	Project Manager
Tshepo Phiri	Stream Lead

5 Revisions

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
July 2026	4	Matsobane Phosa	- Removed Company location under qualitative section. - Added Kgotso Moloi to technical evaluation team.
February 2026	3	Matsobane Phosa	- Added cybersecurity criteria - Amended signatories
August 2025	2	Matsobane Phosa	Aligned technical evaluation to OBC
February 2025	1	Matsobane Phosa	Technical Evaluation for EAL

6 Development Team

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

- Celumusa Zulu, ERE security manager

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

- Matsobane Phosa, Senior Advisor SSP
- Andre Van Den Berg, ERE Senior Engineer
- Samaria Mabona, Middle Manager ERE
- Bafana Thobejane, Officer IM Security
- Mabongi Ngidi, Senior Advisor IM Security

7 Acknowledgements

Thank you all for your inputs.

8 Annexure

- A. Cyber Security Requirements
- B. Cyber Security Demo Requirements
- C. Cyber Security Priority Rating

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE