

Functional (Technical) Evaluation Criteria for Plant Enquiry No: The Supply and delivery of OIL FYRQUEL EHC FIRE RESIST HYDRAULIC OIL										
Requirements	Criteria	Deliverables	Weighting	Rating	% Rating	% Rating	% Score	[Supplier Name] Response	[Supplier Name] Supplier Comments	Eskom Comments
1. SUPPLY OF PRODUCT	Supplier must meet the delivery date as per the Koeberg requirements and initial commitment date as per the tender.	LEAD TIME 2 – 3 weeks from order placement	70%		100%	0%	0%			
	All supporting documents for the new Sodium Hypochlorite Electrolyser must be available upon delivery of the items.	Returnable = Letter of Commitment A letter from the supplier committing to the deliverable to be supplied.	30%		100%	0%	0%			
	TOTAL WEIGHTING		40%		NOT MEET	0%	0%			
2. TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS	Demonstrate ability to comply with Specifications and Requirements and user specification provided.	Returnable = A letter from the supplier indicating how each specification is met and any waivers are required. - Technical Datasheet - COA upon delivery - SDS upon delivery	100%		100%	0%	0%			
	DSG-317-012; 0110/87Q; Q4:NSF:NC:NEV; OIL FYRQUEL EHC FIRE RESIST HYDRAULIC OIL; ORDER IN 208L DRUMS; RATING: 7071E	TOTAL WEIGHTING		30%		NOT MEET	0%	0%		
3. QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM	A Quality Assurance Programme that meets the intent of a typical Quality Management System (QMS) or requirements as identified on Appendix A of 238-103 rev2	The returnable is a document or method statement describing the supplier Quality Programme that provide a description of the processes and supporting information that reflects how processes are managed and work/operation is prepared, reviewed, carried out, recorded, assessed and improved. Examples of processes to be described can include Resource Selection, Quality Control, Receipt Inspection, Purchasing, etc.	35%		100%	0%	0%			
4. QUALITY CONTROL PROGRAM	DSG-317-012	Returnable is a sample certificate of analysis of the product requested as per DSG-317-012	35%		100%	0%	0%			
5. NONCONFORMING PRODUCT/SERVICE	Control of nonconforming product and application for concession	Submit a supplier Method Statement or documented procedure that defines processes followed for dealing with nonconforming product/service	30%		100%	0%	0%			
TOTAL WEIGHTING		30%		NOT MEET	0%	0%				
FINAL ANALYSIS										
1. Supply of Product		40%		0%						
2. Technical Ability		30%		0%						
3. Quality Assurance Program										
4. Quality Control Program		30%		0%						
5. Non-Conforming Product/Service										
TOTAL		100%		0%						

The scoring of the Functional Evaluation is conducted as follows:
A supplier is given a score in each of the sub-categories. These sub-categories are requirements detailed in the specification or contract. Scores are allocated as follows:
0 - 0% - Does not meet
1 - 50% - Partial meet (Large gap)
2 - 75% - Partial Meet (Small gap)
3 - 100% - Meet
The score is then summed to a weighted average per category. The category scores are analysed as follows:
0% - 79% - Does not meet
80% - 100% - Meet

Compile By Nosi Baliso

Reviewed By Amanda Mlakalaka

Date: 2023/10/30

CONCLUSION:

Compiled by: A. Timotheus

Signature:

Date: 2023/12/06

CONCLUSION: