

**T2-2-04 Evaluation: Company Experience: (weighting 25)**

Furnish a record indicating Magnetic Flux Leakage (MFL) In-line inspection projects accomplished on medium range (14 to 24 inch) diameter pipelines in the last ten (10) years. The submission must include signed reference letter or PO accompanying with completion certificate and other evidence from completed projects on the stated range and reporting format with contactable references indicating a proven record of accomplished projects.

**NB: This criterion will not be evaluated if the required evidence is not provided.**

|     | Scoring guideline                                                                                                                      |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 0   | The record has less than nine projects completed in the last ten years on required ranges (14 to 24 inch) diameter pipelines.          |
| 80  | The record has nine to ten projects completed in the last ten years on required ranges (14 to 24 inch) diameter pipelines.             |
| 100 | The bidder has submitted more than ten projects completed in the last ten years on required ranges (14 to 24 inch) diameter pipelines. |

**RETURNABLE TEMPLATE: Company Experience (In-line inspection)**

| For the provision of In-line Inspection Services: MAGNETIC FLUX LEAKAGE (MFL) Wall Loss/<br>Corrosion detection survey of various pipeline sections of petrol, diesel and crude oil-product<br>onshore pipeline. |        |                       |                      |                                       |                                                                         |                    |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| SERVICE HISTORY                                                                                                                                                                                                  |        |                       |                      |                                       |                                                                         |                    |
| Year                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Client | Technology<br>(MFL-A) | Duration<br>(Months) | Distance<br>Inspected<br>(Kilometers) | Evidence attached<br>(Reference Letter<br>/Completion sample<br>report) | Diameter<br>(Inch) |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |        |                       |                      |                                       |                                                                         |                    |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |        |                       |                      |                                       |                                                                         |                    |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |        |                       |                      |                                       |                                                                         |                    |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |        |                       |                      |                                       |                                                                         |                    |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |        |                       |                      |                                       |                                                                         |                    |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |        |                       |                      |                                       |                                                                         |                    |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |        |                       |                      |                                       |                                                                         |                    |

Bidders Name: .....

Signature: .....

**T2.2-05 Evaluation: Company accumulated length: (weighting 25)**

Furnish a record demonstrating the cumulative pipeline length inspected across all projects listed on T2.2-04 with other organizations on the medium range (14 to 24 inch) diameter pipelines. The submission must include a list indicating the cumulative distance of inline inspections completed in the last ten (10) years.

**Failure to submit supporting documents will result in a score of zero for this criterion.**

|     | Scoring guideline                                                                                                                    |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 0   | The record indicates less than 5000km accumulated in the last 10 years or has submitted inadequate information to determine a score. |
| 80  | The record indicates 5000km to 6000km accumulated In-line Inspection in the last 10 years.                                           |
| 100 | The record indicates more than 6000km accumulated In-line Inspection in the last 10 years.                                           |

**RETURNABLE TEMPLATE: Company Experience (Accumulative length inspected)**

Bidders Name: .....

Signature: .....

**T2.2-06: Evaluation Schedule: Method Statement (weighting 25)**

Indicates comprehensive understanding of all required services and the ability to execute full scope of work, feasible timelines, program, and prudent technical approach, notwithstanding POF 320 and 321 documents. The submission must demonstrate the technical approach and understanding including the following:

1. Bore proving, Pre-Inspection and cleaning
2. Condition monitoring survey and XYZ mapping survey
3. Geometry survey
4. Final reporting and proposed reporting application
5. Tools tracking and techniques to be used to achieve objectives.

|     | Scoring guideline                                                                                                                               |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 0   | The method statement has irrelevant information to determine a score or submitted information that do not satisfy points 2, 3 & 4.              |
| 80  | The method statement addresses technical approach and understanding of four project deliverables and implementation including points 1,2,3 & 4. |
| 100 | The method statement addresses technical approach and understanding of all five project deliverables and implementation.                        |

**RETURNABLE TEMPLATE: Method Statement**

Bidders Name: .....

Signature: .....

## **T2.2 -07 Evaluation Program Schedule: (weighting 25)**

The submission must include a programme detailing the sequence and timing of activities to carry out the works in terms of the Employer's requirements and within the stipulated timeframes. The proposed programme shall comply with, but not limited to, the minimum format requirements as follows:

- 1.** A level 3 detailed schedule aligned to the works information.
- 2.** Programme software: Primavera P6/MS Projects detailed programme as per the works information.
- 3.** Project Timeline: The bidder to demonstrate capability to meet the required timeframe of 13 months aligning with the Scope of Works.
- 4.** Key Milestones: The bidder to clearly indicate the key milestones for all activities e.g., Project start date, Site Access date, Completion date, equipment delivery date, employer documents review & approval date.
- 5.** Columns Required: The columns that should reflect as per activity the date requirements include the Start date, Finish date, Duration, Predecessors, Successors.
- 6.** Critical Path: Clearly show the sequencing of all deliverables/activities. All activities should be linked with no open-end activities, critical path method to be used, and critical path clearly visible.
- 7.** Project Activities: The bidder to list all activities involved to complete the works, including but not limited to SHERQ submissions, Time Risk Allowance for Employer's review and approval activities, Time risk allowance (TRA) for e.g. allowance for inclement weather conditions and project close out activities.
- 8.** Basis of Schedule: Schedule Narrative that explains schedule development, resources utilized (personnel and equipment) in the schedule to determine duration schedule risks, project calendar, project exclusions and assumptions.

**Failure to submit a program schedule will be scored zero for this criterion.**

|     | <b>Scoring guideline</b>                                                                                                                  |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 0   | The submitted programme addresses less than 7 of the requirements. The proposed duration is more than 13 months.                          |
| 80  | The submitted programme addresses 7 of the requirements containing points 1,2,3,4,5,6 and 7. The proposed duration is equal to 13 months. |
| 100 | The submitted programme addresses all 8 of the requirements. The proposed duration is less than 13 months.                                |

**Index of documentation attached to this schedule:**

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

Bidders Name: .....

Signature: .....